

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Mua I Te Kōti Taiao O Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2025-CHC-000117

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act)
In the matter of	an appeal under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the Act on a decision on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan
Between	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated
	Appellant
	Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee
	Respondent

Notice of wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 of the Act

30 January 2026

Section 274 party's solicitors:

Alex Booker | Kelsey Barry
Anderson Lloyd
Floor 2, The Regent Building, 33 Cathedral Square, Christchurch 8011
PO Box 13831, Christchurch 8141
DX Box WX10009 Christchurch
p + 64 3 379 0037
alex.booker@al.nz | kelsey.barry@al.nz

**anderson
lloyd.**

Notice of Tasman Mining Limited's wish to be party to proceedings

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

- 1 Tasman Mining Limited, trading as Endura Mining Limited (**Tasman**), wish to be a party to the appeal by The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan (ENV-2025-CHC-000117) (**Appeal**) pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
- 2 Tasman has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because the Appeal directly impacts Tasman's operations.
- 3 Tasman is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Act.
- 4 Tasman is interested in part of the proceedings. Tasman is interested in the following parts:
 - (a) Appeal points 4., 5. and 8. relating to the definitions of *Area of Significant Indigenous Biodiversity*, *Lake*, and *Riparian Margin* respectively;
 - (b) Appeal points 9., 10., 12. – 14. relating to Strategic Directions;
 - (c) Appeal points 44. – 45. relating to Transport;
 - (d) Appeal points 46. – 74. relating to Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity;
 - (e) Appeal point 75. relating to cross-referencing of ECO requirements in several Natural Features and Landscapes rules;
 - (f) Appeal points 76. – 81. relating to Natural Character and Margins of Waterbodies;
 - (g) Appeal points 104. – 106. relating to Earthworks;
 - (h) Appeal points 107. – 108. relating to Light;
 - (i) Appeal points 109. – 113. relating to Open Space and Recreation Zones;
 - (j) Appeal points 116. – 121. relating to Rural Zones and the General Rural Zone;
 - (k) Appeal point 123. relating to the Mineral Extraction Zone; and

- (l) Appeal point 125. relating to Appendix 13 on SNA identification.
- 5 Tasman oppose the relief sought above, to the extent it restricts or constrains mineral extraction, including because it—
- (a) Fails to acknowledge the importance of mineral extraction to the West Coast and New Zealand, instead seeking to restrict ongoing and future mineral extraction;
 - (b) does not assist the councils in carrying out their statutory functions under the Act;
 - (c) does not give effects to the higher order documents, and is inconsistent with New Zealand's Mineral Strategy;
 - (d) fails to meet the requirements of section 32 of the Act (in that the amendments sought are not the most appropriate, efficient and effective means of achieving objectives); and
 - (e) does not promote the sustainable management purpose, or accord with Part 2, of the Act.
- 6 Tasman agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated 30 January 2026



Alex Booker | Kelsey Barry
Counsel for Tasman Mining Limited T/Endura Mining Limited

Address for service of person wishing to be a party

Anderson Lloyd
Level 2
The Regent Building
33 Cathedral Square
Christchurch 8011
Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: alex.booker@al.nz | kelsey.barry@al.nz

Contact persons: Alex Booker | Kelsey Barry