

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Mua I Te Kōti Taiao O Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2025-CHC-000103

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act)
In the matter of	an appeal under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the Act on a decision on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan
Between	Birchfield Coal Mines Limited
	Appellant
	Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee
	Respondent

Notice of wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 of the Act

30 January 2026

Section 274 party's solicitors:

Alex Booker | Kelsey Barry
Anderson Lloyd
Floor 2, The Regent Building, 33 Cathedral Square, Christchurch 8011
PO Box 13831, Christchurch 8141
DX Box WX10009 Christchurch
p + 64 3 379 0037
alex.booker@al.nz | kelsey.barry@al.nz

**anderson
lloyd.**

Notice of Tasman Mining Limited's wish to be party to proceedings

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

- 1 Tasman Mining Limited, trading as Endura Mining Limited (**Tasman**), wish to be a party to the appeal by Birchfield Coal Mines Limited on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan (ENV-2025-CHC-000103) (**Appeal**) pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
- 2 Tasman has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because the Appeal directly impacts Tasman's operations.
- 3 Tasman is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Act.
- 4 Tasman is interested in part of the proceedings. Tasman is interested in the following parts:
 - (a) Relationships between spatial layers – Rural Zone Descriptors;
 - (b) Relationships between spatial layers – Special Purpose Zone Descriptions - Mineral Extraction Zone;
 - (c) Relationships between spatial layers - Overlays - Significant Natural Areas;
 - (d) Definitions;
 - (e) Strategic Direction – parts relating to relationship with other plan objectives and policies, Mineral Extraction, Natural Environment;
 - (f) Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity;
 - (g) Natural Character and Margins of Waterbodies;
 - (h) Natural Features and Landscapes;
 - (i) Earthworks;
 - (j) Open Space and Recreation Zone;
 - (k) General Rural Zone;
 - (l) Mineral Extraction Zone;

(m) Schedule 5: Outstanding Natural Landscape – ONL 33;

(n) Appendices 7, 9, 13 – 15.

5 Tasman generally supports the relief sought in relation to the above parts of the proceeding. The relief is supported because it –

(a) Promotes an enabling policy framework for mineral development while managing effects – including through explicit recognition of the functional and operational needs of mining;

(b) More appropriately gives effect to the higher order documents;

(c) Strengthens provisions enabling mineral extraction within the Mineral Extraction Zone;

(d) Provides workable and consistent mineral extraction provisions;

(e) Assists the Councils in carrying out their statutory functions under the Act, meets the requirements of section 32 (by ensuring that policies and rules are the most appropriate, efficient and effective means of achieving objectives), promotes the sustainable management purpose and accords with Part 2 of the Act.

6 Tasman agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated 30 January 2026



Alex Booker | Kelsey Barry
Counsel for Tasman Mining Limited T/A Endura Mining Limited

Address for service of person wishing to be a party

Anderson Lloyd
Level 2
The Regent Building
33 Cathedral Square
Christchurch 8011
Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: alex.booker@al.nz | kelsey.barry@al.nz

Contact persons: Alex Booker | Kelsey Barry