

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
AT CHRISTCHURCH**

**I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA
KI ŌTAUTAHĪ**

ENV-2025-CHC-000125

IN THE MATTER	of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("the RMA")
AND	
IN THE MATTER	of an appeal under clause 14 of the First Schedule of the RMA against the decision of Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee on the Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan
BETWEEN	BULLER CONSERVATION GROUP
	Appellant
AND	TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN COMMITTEE, A DULY CONSTITUTED JOINT COMMITTEE OF BULLER, GREY, AND WESTLAND DISTRICT COUNCILS
	Respondent

**NOTICE BY MANAWA ENERGY LIMITED OF WISH TO BE PARTY TO
PROCEEDINGS**

2 February 2026

Solicitors acting:

J R Welsh / I M Fowler

ChanceryGreen

PO Box 47516, Ponsonby 1144

+64 357 0600

jason.welsh@chancerygreen.com / steve.mutch@chancerygreen.com / islay.fowler@chancerygreen.com



TO: The Registrar of the Environment Court at Christchurch

INTRODUCTION

1. Manawa Energy Limited (“Manawa Energy”) wishes to be a party to the appeal by the Buller Conservation Group (the “Appeal” and the “Appellant”) against parts of a decision of Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee on the Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan (“Proposed TTPP”).
2. Manawa Energy made a submission¹ on the Proposed TTPP, including on provisions relating to the subject matter of the Appeal.
3. Manawa Energy also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest the general public has. Manawa Energy owns approximately 510MW of hydroelectricity generation assets throughout New Zealand which consist of 38 hydro-electric power stations across 25 individual schemes. In the West Coast Region, Manawa Energy owns and operates the Arnold power station, and the Dillmans, Kaniere Forks/McKaysCreek, and Wahapo hydro-electric power schemes, which are all connected to the local distribution network. Given its activities in the West Coast Region, Manawa Energy has a direct interest in many of the provisions of the Proposed TTPP, including provisions raised in the Appeal.
4. Manawa Energy is not a trade competitor for the purpose of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.

INTEREST IN THE APPEAL

5. Manawa Energy is interested in those parts of the Appeal that relate to:
 - (a) the definition of ‘riparian margin’;
 - (b) objectives, policies, and rules in the ECO chapter; and
 - (c) NC-R1.

POSITION ON RELIEF SOUGHT

6. Manawa Energy opposes the relief sought in the Appeal relating to the above for the reasons set out by Manawa Energy in its submission and at the Council hearings on the

¹ Submission #438 dated 28 October 2022.

Proposed TTPP, and because it will not appropriately recognise and provide for renewable electricity generation activities. In addition, the above relief sought:

- (a) does not promote the sustainable management of resources, and does not achieve the purpose of the RMA;
- (b) is contrary to Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA;
- (c) does not represent the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;
- (d) does not manage natural and physical resources in a manner that enables the community to provide for its social and economic wellbeing;
- (e) will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations;
- (f) does not give effect to relevant provisions of higher order planning documents, including the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation 2011; and
- (g) does not represent the most appropriate means of exercising the Council's functions and is therefore not appropriate under s32 and other provisions of the RMA.

MEDIATION

7. Manawa Energy agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution in respect of the proceedings.

MANAWA ENERGY LIMITED by its solicitors:



J Welsh / S Mutch / I Fowler
ChanceryGreen

2 February 2026

Address for service: ChanceryGreen

Jason Welsh, Steve Mutch, and Islay Fowler

jason.welsh@chancerygreen.com

steve.mutch@chancerygreen.com

islay.fowler@chancerygreen.com

To: The Registrar at the Environment Court at Christchurch
And to: TTPP Committee
And to: The Appellant