

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Mua I Te Kōti Taiao O Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2025-CHC-000117

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act)
In the matter of	an appeal under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the Act on a decision on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan
Between	The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated
	Appellant
	Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee
	Respondent

Notice of wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 of the Act

29 January 2026

Section 274 party's solicitors:

Alex Booker
Anderson Lloyd
Floor 2, The Regent Building, 33 Cathedral Square, Christchurch 8011
PO Box 13831, Christchurch 8141
DX Box WX10009 Christchurch
p + 64 3 379 0037
alex.booker@al.nz

**anderson
lloyd.**

Notice of Tāiko Critical Minerals Limited's wish to be party to proceedings

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

- 1 Tāiko Critical Minerals Limited (**Tāiko**), formally TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited, wish to be a party to the appeal by The Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan (ENV-2025-CHC-000117) (**Appeal**) pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
- 2 Tāiko made a submission (S493) and further submission (FS104) about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- 3 Tāiko has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because the Appeal directly impacts Tāiko's operations.
- 4 Tāiko is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
- 5 Tāiko is interested in part of the proceedings.
- 6 Tāiko is interested in the following particular issues:
 - (a) Appeal points 4. and 8. relating to the definitions of *Area of Significant Indigenous Biodiversity* and *Riparian Margin* respectively;
 - (b) Appeal points 9., 11. – 14. relating to Strategic Directions;
 - (c) Appeal points 44. – 45. relating to Transport;
 - (d) Appeal points 46. – 74. relating to Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity;
 - (e) Appeal points 76. – 81. relating to Natural Character and Margins of Waterbodies;
 - (f) Appeal points 93. – 103. relating to Coastal Environment;
 - (g) Appeal points 104. – 106. relating to Earthworks;
 - (h) Appeal points 107. – 108. relating to Light;
 - (i) Appeal points 116. – 121. relating to Rural Zones and the General Rural Zone;

- (j) Appeal point 123. relating to the Mineral Extraction Zone; and
 - (k) Appeal point 125. relating to Appendix 13 on SNA identification.
- 7 Tāiko oppose the relief sought above, to the extent it is inconsistent with what Tāiko seek in its appeal, including because it—
- (a) fails to acknowledge the importance of critical minerals, including those specifically identified in New Zealand's Critical Mineral List, to the future economy – and in particular the diversification of industry, employment and economic growth on the West Coast;
 - (b) fails to provide a consenting pathway for mineral extraction on the West Coast;
 - (c) does not assist the councils in carrying out their statutory functions under the Act;
 - (d) does not give effects to the higher order documents;
 - (e) fails to meet the requirements of section 32 of the Act (in that the amendments sought are not the most appropriate, efficient and effective means of achieving objectives); and
 - (f) does not promote the sustainable management purpose, or accord with Part 2, of the Act.
- 8 Tāiko agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated 29 January 2026



Alex Booker
Counsel for Tāiko Critical Minerals Limited

Address for service of person wishing to be a party

Anderson Lloyd
Level 2
The Regent Building
33 Cathedral Square
Christchurch 8011
Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: alex.booker@al.nz

Contact persons: Alex Booker

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Christchurch.