

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
AT WELLINGTON
TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA**

ENV-2025-CHC-000125

IN THE MATTER

the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

An appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of
the Resource Management Act 1991

BETWEEN

Buller Conservation Group

Appellant

AND

TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN COMMITTEE

Respondent

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS UNDER
SECTION 274**

29 January 2026

To The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS
UNDER SECTION 274 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT
1991**

1. The New Zealand Minerals Council (**NZMC**) (formerly Straterra) wishes to be a party to the appeal by Buller Conservation Group on the proposed Te Tai O Poutini Plan (**Plan**) (ENV-2025-000125) (**Appeal**) pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (the **Act**).
2. NZMC made submission 536 about the subject matter of the Appeal.
3. NZMC has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because it is the industry body that represents the minerals and extractives industry throughout New Zealand. A number of NZMC members have made independent submissions on the Plan and are concerned that the provisions of the Plan as notified will significantly impact on their current and future operations.
4. NZMC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Act.
5. NZMC is interested in the following parts of the Appeal:
 - a. Relief sought in respect of the Natural Environmental Values section of the Plan;
 - b. Relief sought in respect of the Light chapter of the Plan;
 - c. Relief sought in respect of Part 3 – Area Specific Matters of the Plan that relate to mineral prospecting, exploration, extraction and ancillary activities;
 - d. All other relief and any consequential amendment sought that has potential to remove or restrict consenting pathways for

mineral prospecting, exploration, extraction and ancillary activities.

6. NZMC opposes the relief sought because –

- a. The relief sought does not account for the importance of mineral prospecting, exploration and extraction to the social, cultural and economic wellbeing of the people and communities of the West Coast;
- b. The importance of the mining and extractives industry to the wider West Coast and New Zealand warrants the special provisions, and the special purpose zones, contained in the Plan.
- c. It is considered appropriate that there are more permissive rules for Special Purpose Zones and areas that have not or do not meet criteria to be deemed significant or hold significant values.
- d. The relief sought will not assist the Councils in carrying out their statutory functions under the Act, fails to give effect to the higher order documents or meet the requirements of section 32 and 32AA of the Act (in that the proposed relief is not the most appropriate method for achieving the Act's purpose, nor is it the most efficient and effective means for achieving the objectives); and
- e. The relief sought does not promote the sustainable management purpose, or accord with Part 2, of the Act.
- f. NZMC seeks to ensure that the relief sought does not give rise to unintended consequences for the mining and extractives industry under the Plan provisions.

7. NZMC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated: 29 January 2026

Jeremy Harding

On behalf of the New Zealand Minerals Council

Address for service of person wishing to be a party

E-mail: jeremy@mineralscouncil.co.nz

Contact Person: Jeremy Harding

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Christchurch.