

Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation?: Individual

First Name: William

Last Name: Sage

If able to gain such an advantage:: My/our submission relates to environmental effects of this Variation only

Postal address: 491C Kohaihai Road, RD3 Karamea

Email address: wsage@xtra.co.nz

Phone number: 0292013269

Variation being submitted on: Variation 2 – Coastal Natural Hazards Mapping

My submission: I do not support the variation to the Coastal Natural Hazards Mapping and believe the variation process needs to be put on hold until ALL data has been received.

My property in Karamea sits within the area north of Hector/south of Kahurangi Point that improved LIDAR data has not yet been received for. The letter sent by TTPP Senior Policy Planner, Doug Bray states it was sent as we have a property directly affected by this variation. However, the accompanying information sheet states the area north of Hector, to Kahurangi Point are not included in the proposed variation as data & modelling is still being developed. This reads to me as a complete contradiction and has lead to confusion.

The current overlays on the map clearly do not follow the contour of the land, TTPP themselves state the data is inaccurate, therefore I believe the overlays should be removed for those areas until the updated LIDAR data is received. It is unfair to put property owners at a disadvantage (ramifications on insurance, finance, re-sale etc..) before you even have the data to be used.

We have two properties next to each other 491C and 491D
491C is the one with our house and other building on. The hazard map shows this property is out of the hazard area other than a small corner with no buildings on it.

The other block 491D has the top end of it in the hazard aera. The line on the hazard map is a straight lime and doesn't seem to have any logic in relation to distance from the coast or elevation. These properties sit well elevated compared with others and are at no risk or erosion or flooding

We will not see an issue on our properties with coastal erosion in my lifetime & beyond , nor given its elevation be at risk of being

flooded. I question why one property is in the hazard zone and the other one next to it the same distance from the coast line and the same elevation is not affected.

I would like the following decision(s) to be made with respect to this Variation:

Actions Requested:

Remove overlays for areas yet to be provided accurate LIDAR data for.

Put the variation on hold until ALL accurate LIDAR data is received.

Remove the Alert overlay from 491D and the corner of 491C as there is no chance of it flooding or being exposed to coastal erosion

Please indicate if you wish to speak to your submission:

I wish to speak to my submission

If any others making similar submissions wish to be heard:

Yes, I would consider presenting a joint case with them