

# Variation 2 to Proposed TTPP – Coastal Natural Hazards Mapping

## Submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

### Your details:

Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation?

☒ Individual

☐ Organisation

First Name: Anna

Surname: Leary

Organisation (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Would you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission ☐ Yes ☒ No

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following:

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Postal Address: PO Box 104 Franz Josef

Email Address: anna@annaleary.com

Phone Number: 021542438

Signature: 

Date: 30 Aug 2024

### My submission:

*(Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended, and the reasons for your views.)*

Understanding the need to detail, plan for, and mitigate coastal risks, I would like data from GNS Science in 2016 to be considered that shows that the land in Okarito is rising (see map below). Further reporting via CLIMsystems, who provide location-specific climate risk assessments and insights, demonstrated that the potential climate change risk associated with our property in Okarito was less than anticipated. This was via their Property Climate Risk Report that provides reporting and information about a property's climate resilience (References: <https://climsystems.com> and <https://www.gns.cri.nz>)

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

I would like the following decision(s) to be made with respect to this Variation:

---

---

---


---

All submitters have the opportunity to present their submission to Commissioners during the hearing process. Please indicate if you wish to speak to your submission

☒ I do not wish to speak to my submission

Source: GNS in 2016.

GNS Science is a New Zealand Crown Research Institute. It focuses on geology, geophysics (including seismology and volcanology), and nuclear science.



If any others making similar submissions wish to be heard:

- ☐ Yes, I would consider presenting a joint case with them
- ☐ No, I would prefer to present my own individual case

## Enquiries

All queries regarding this variation or the TTPP in general can be addressed to the TTPP Team at [info@tppp.nz](mailto:info@tppp.nz), 03 768 0466, or 0508 800 118.

## Public information

All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. The content provided in your submission form will be published on the Te Tai o Poutini Plan website and made available to the public.

We collect, use and share your information for the following purposes as directed by Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991:

- Original submission, and the associated address for service, is required (and made public) for:
  - Further submitters to serve their submission on an original submitter
  - TTPP Planning Technician to contact you about making an oral presentation supporting your written submission and/or advising you of the decision of the hearing panel.
- A summary of submissions report is produced following the close of submissions. This report assists the hearings panel and the public to review the submissions made.
- All submission data is required to ensure a sound and accurate consultation and hearings process.

If you wish to update or correct your name or address for service, please contact the TTPP Team on 0508 800 118 or by email at [info@tppp.nz](mailto:info@tppp.nz)

## Validity of Submissions

Please note that submissions may be struck out in whole or in part if authorities (including Council staff, Independent Commissioners or Legal authorities delegated jurisdiction with respect to such decision-making) deem any submission partially or entirely:

- Is frivolous or vexatious in its content;
- Discloses no reasonable or relevant case for a position taken;
- Contains offensive language; and/or
- Is supported only by material that *purports* to be independent expert evidence, but has in fact been prepared by a person who is not independent and/or does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Those hearing submissions may also refuse to take a submission further in whole or in part if believing that there allowing otherwise would be an abuse of the hearing process.