



Variation 2 to Proposed TTPP - Coastal Natural Hazards Mapping

Submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

Your details:

Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation?

☒ Individual

☐ Organisation

First Name: LES AND KATHY Surname: MEMANAWAY

Organisation (if applicable): _____

Would you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission ☐ Yes ☒ No

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following:

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Postal Address: 156 PEEL STREET
WESTPORT 7825

Email Address: LES.MEMANAWAY@OUTLOOK.COM

Phone Number: 7896235

Signature: [Signature] Date: _____

My submission:

(Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended, and the reasons for your views.)

WE ARE TOTALLY OPPOSED TO THIS
PROPOSAL. IT HAS NO BASIS IN FACT.
IT IS POORLY WORDED AND ACTUALLY
NOTHING MORE THAN A FAIRY TALE.

(Please feel free to use additional sheets)

I would like the following decision(s) to be made with respect to this Variation:

TOTALLY THROW IT AWAY.

(Please feel free to use additional sheets)

All submitters have the opportunity to present their submission to Commissioners during the hearing process. Please indicate if you wish to speak to your submission

☐ I wish to speak to my submission

☒ I do not wish to speak to my submission

(please note that with this option you will not receive correspondence in relation to the hearings but you can keep up to date on the TTPP website)

If any others making similar submissions wish to be heard:

☒ Yes, I would consider presenting a joint case with them

☐ No, I would prefer to present my own individual case

Enquiries

All queries regarding this variation or the TTPP in general can be addressed to the TTPP Team at info@tttpp.nz, 03 768 0466, or 0508 800 118.

Public information

All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. The content provided in your submission form will be published on the Te Tai o Poutini Plan website and made available to the public.

We collect, use and share your information for the following purposes as directed by Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991:

- Original submission, and the associated address for service, is required (and made public) for:
 - Further submitters to serve their submission on an original submitter
 - TTPP Planning Technician to contact you about making an oral presentation supporting your written submission and/or advising you of the decision of the hearing panel.
- A summary of submissions report is produced following the close of submissions. This report assists the hearings panel and the public to review the submissions made.
- All submission data is required to ensure a sound and accurate consultation and hearings process.

If you wish to update or correct your name or address for service, please contact the TTPP Team on 0508 800 118 or by email at info@tttpp.nz

Validity of Submissions

Please note that submissions may be struck out in whole or in part if authorities (including Council staff, Independent Commissioners or Legal authorities delegated jurisdiction with respect to such decision-making) deem any submission partially or entirely:

- Is frivolous or vexatious in its content;
- Discloses no reasonable or relevant case for a position taken;
- Contains offensive language; and/or
- Is supported only by material that *purports* to be independent expert evidence, but has in fact been prepared by a person who is not independent and/or does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Those hearing submissions may also refuse to take a submission further in whole or in part if believing that there allowing otherwise would be an abuse of the hearing process.