

## Variation 2 to Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan (TTPP) - Coastal Hazards

### Submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

#### Please note:

Following public concern expressed over the initial notification of this Variation in June 2024, the TTPP Committee has agreed to the Variation being renotified. Importantly, it is now possible for submitters to submit on BOTH the mapping changes AND provisions of relevance in the TTPP's Natural Hazards Chapter.

- The Variation is a publicly notified one – so anyone is welcome to lodge a submission.
- All submissions received following the initial notification in June 2024 will still be considered.
- Submissions initially lodged can be added to, should the submitter desire to do so.
- Submissions close at **5.00pm on Thursday 19 December 2024.**

#### Your details:

Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation?

☒ Individual

☐ Organisation

Did you previously submit on Variation 2 when it was notified in June 2024?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, do you wish to have this particular submission:

☐ Added to your initial submission

☐ Considered an entirely new submission

First Name: David

Surname: Bloxham

Organisation (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Would you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following:

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Postal Address: 54 New Road Greenvale

Email Address: daveoz69@hotmail.com

Phone Number: 092 688 5523

Signature: P. Blazuer

Date: 18.12.24

**My submission:**

(Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended, and the reasons for your views.)

Oppose coastal hazard overlay.  
Var 2.

Because,

- 1) There is not enough information on relevant floor heights.
- 2) NO efforts made to include Mitigation in Evaluations.
- 3) All planning is based on statistical modelling - without the use of proper ongoing measurements.
- 4) Decisions are costly and don't regard the best interests of residents.
- 5) More sea level rise predictions are based on a worse case scenario which is unrealistic and unfair.

(Please feel free to use additional sheets)

I would like the following decision(s) to be made with respect to this Variation:

I would like to see people being allowed  
to have free choice over their property.  
I would like the public to be properly heard.

(Please feel free to use additional sheets)

All submitters have the opportunity to present their submission to Commissioners during the hearing process. Please indicate if you wish to speak to your submission

☒ I wish to speak to my submission

advocate

☒ I do not wish to speak to my submission

(please note that with this option you will receive less correspondence in relation to the hearings but you can keep up to date on the TTPP website)

If any others making similar submissions wish to be heard:

☐ Yes, I would consider presenting a joint case with them

☐ No, I would prefer to present my own individual case

### Enquiries

All enquiries regarding this Variation or the TTPP in general can be addressed to Doug Bray, Senior Policy Planner, TTPP Team, West Coast Regional Council, Ph (03) 768-0466 Ext 9109 or 0508 800 118 or [info@tppp.nz](mailto:info@tppp.nz).

### Public information

All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. The content provided in your submission form will be published on the Te Tai o Poutini Plan website and available to the public.

## Validity of Submissions

Please note that submissions may be struck out in whole or in part if authorities (including Council staff, Independent Commissioners or Legal authorities delegated jurisdiction with respect to such decision-making) deem any submission partially or entirely:

- Is frivolous or vexatious in its content;
- Discloses no reasonable or relevant case for a position taken;
- Contains offensive language; and/or
- Is supported only by material that *purports* to be independent expert evidence, but has in fact been prepared by a person who is not independent and/or does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Those hearing submissions may also refuse to take a submission further in whole or in part if believing that there allowing otherwise would be an abuse of the hearing process.