

## Variation 2 to Proposed TTPP – Coastal Natural Hazards Mapping

### Submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)

#### Your details:

Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation?

☒ Individual

☐ Organisation

First Name: Brette Irene-Sharel Surname: Kotshoorn

Organisation (if applicable):

Would you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission ☐ Yes ☒ No

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following:

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

?  
what does this mean?

Postal Address: 971 Seven Mile Road,  
Rapahoe, Greymouth.

Email Address: SharelK@greyStar.co.nz

Phone Number: 021 058 2483 or 027 859 8502

Signature: *Sharel Kotshoorn* Date: 27/8/2024

#### My submission:

(Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended, and the reasons for your views.)

<p>We oppose this variation and would like an amendment in part due to the lack of information.</p>	
<p>The link on the website leading to the Map which deems our property affected will not load and the information sent to us upon request does not have the appropriate colour code key for us to identify what is being proposed for our property.</p>	
<p>We need more information and in particular on the heights in which you are expecting Raleigh creek level to rise to deem our property 'affected'.</p>	
<p>Raliegh Creek is a very low flow estuary creek as opposed to being a torrent. It would have to rise substantially and at the very least, approximately 6 metres or more and even if it was to rise to such a substantial level it would still not pose any inundation or flooding risks for our property in question.</p>	



If any others making similar submissions wish to be heard:

☐ Yes, I would consider presenting a joint case with them

 ☒ No, I would prefer to present my own individual case

### Enquiries

All queries regarding this variation or the TTPP in general can be addressed to the TTPP Team at [info@tppp.nz](mailto:info@tppp.nz), 03 768 0466, or 0508 800 118.

### Public information

All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. The content provided in your submission form will be published on the Te Tai o Poutini Plan website and made available to the public.

We collect, use and share your information for the following purposes as directed by Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991:

- Original submission, and the associated address for service, is required (and made public) for:
  - Further submitters to serve their submission on an original submitter
  - TTPP Planning Technician to contact you about making an oral presentation supporting your written submission and/or advising you of the decision of the hearing panel.
- A summary of submissions report is produced following the close of submissions. This report assists the hearings panel and the public to review the submissions made.
- All submission data is required to ensure a sound and accurate consultation and hearings process.

If you wish to update or correct your name or address for service, please contact the TTPP Team on 0508 800 118 or by email at [info@tppp.nz](mailto:info@tppp.nz)

### Validity of Submissions

Please note that submissions may be struck out in whole or in part if authorities (including Council staff, Independent Commissioners or Legal authorities delegated jurisdiction with respect to such decision-making) deem any submission partially or entirely:

- Is frivolous or vexatious in its content;
- Discloses no reasonable or relevant case for a position taken;
- Contains offensive language; and/or
- Is supported only by material that *purports* to be independent expert evidence, but has in fact been prepared by a person who is not independent and/or does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Those hearing submissions may also refuse to take a submission further in whole or in part if believing that there allowing otherwise would be an abuse of the hearing process.