

MAKE NOTE: BE NICE TO HAVE ADDED A POSTAL ADDRESS. RE OUR SUBMISSIONS ??



Variation 2 to Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan (TTPP) – Coastal Hazards

Submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)



Please note:

Following public concern expressed over the initial notification of this Variation in June 2024, the TTPP Committee has agreed to the Variation being renotified. Importantly, it is now possible for submitters to submit on BOTH the mapping changes AND provisions of relevance in the TTPP's Natural Hazards Chapter.

- The Variation is a publicly notified one – so anyone is welcome to lodge a submission.
- All submissions received following the initial notification in June 2024 will still be considered.
- Submissions initially lodged can be added to, should the submitter desire to do so.
- Submissions close at **5.00pm on Thursday 19 December 2024.**

Your details:

Are you submitting as an individual, or on behalf of an organisation?

☒ Individual

☐ Organisation

Did you previously submit on Variation 2 when it was notified in June 2024?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, do you wish to have this particular submission:

☐ Added to your initial submission

☐ Considered an entirely new submission

First Name: GERRIT Surname: GROENEVELD.

Organisation (if applicable): 68 year old (STUDENT OF COMMONSENSE).

Would you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following:

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Postal Address: 25 A MAIN RD, HECTOR

Email Address: gerit1356@gmail.com

Phone Number: 027 901 6468

Signature: *P. Greenwell*

Date: 13/12/24

My submission:

(Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended, and the reasons for your views.)

- ① I DEFINATELY OPPOSE TPP HAZARD COASTAL PLAN?
- ② "THE SUBMISSION" TIME OF APPROX 4 WEEKS IS UNDEMOCRATIC TO SAY THE LEAST (DISGUSTING).
- ③ AT 68 YEAR OLD AND HAVE MANY LIFE SKILLS IN MINING / ENGINEERING / CONSTRUCTION / PLUMBING & DRAINAGE ETC. AND "I'VE PAID" FOR EXTENSIVE ROCK WORK TO MITIGATE ANY SEA EROSION WITHOUT ANY HELP FROM ANY LOCAL GOVT IE: BDC + WCRC.
- ④ THE IMPACTS OF THIS "HUGE WHITE ELEPHANT" HAS MORE HOLES REGARDING THERE REPORT AND DATA. HAS HAD ON THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES THAT ARE EFFECTED. (I DEEM IT A BREACH IN "OSH" STANDARDS AND NO REGARD FOR THE CITIZENS OF THIS REGION CAUSING "ANXIETY AND EMOTIONAL TRAUMA" WITH NO CARE FROM OUR LOCAL GOVT AGENCIES WITH VERY LITTLE CARE AND SOCIAL IMPACTS THAT THIS "WILL CAUSE" MANY.
- ⑤ THE TOTAL MISMANAGEMENT. FROM DAY ONE / NO. INVESTIGATIVE DATA RE EROSION - RE RIVER SEDIMENTS. THE WESTPORT TIP HEAD WALL EXTENSIONS (WHAT IMPACTS HAVE THEY HAD?? WHERE ARE THE RECORDS? TO DEMONSTRATE THE SEA LEVEL RISE? AND EROSION?
- ⑥ WHY HASNT WCRC FACTORED IN THE PROPERTYS THAT HAVE EXTENSIVE REMEDIAL WORK TO MITIGATE SEA EROSION? NEVER SEEN A REPRESENTATIVE OF WCRC
- ⑦ THE 1 IN 100 YEAR. PREDICTIONS VIA SPECULATIVE / COMPUTER MODELLING (Please feel free to use additional sheets) IS A COMPLETE FARCE TO SAY THE LEAST NO ONE CAN PREDICT SUCH A THING (THEY WCRC HAVENT EVEN FACTORED IN OUR NUMBER ONE BIGGEST THREAT TO OUR LANDS. "SESMIC DISRUPTION EARTHQUAKES" SHORT MEMORIES IE: KIAKOURA / CHCH. / INUNGAHUA ETC.
- ⑧ FINANCIAL RUIN FOR MOST PEOPLE BIGGEST ASSETT HIGHER INSURANCES. (IF YOU CAN) HIGHER RATES FOR THESE COUNCILS THAT ARE PENALISING THE VERY PEOPLE THEY DEPENDENT WITH VERY LITTLE CARE + DUE DILIGENCE

I would like the following decision(s) to be made with respect to this Variation:

TO BE PUT ON HOLD UNTILL THERE IS MORE
SUFFICIENT DATA + TRANSPARENT COMMUNICATION
TO ALL RESIDENTS/ EXPLORE MANY OTHER MEANS
LOCAL KNOWLEDGE IS A KEY TO OBTAINING MANY
OF THE SOLUTIONS WE FACE " SADLY GOOD OLD
KNOWLEDGE + COMMONSENCE IS ALSO A BYGONE
THING IN TODAYS LOCAL GOVT DECISIONS AND
THIS NEED TO BE ADDRESSED AND ALSO BE FOREMOST
REGARDING MANY MAJOR DECISIONS IN MOVING FORWARD
(Please feel free to use additional sheets) HOPE IE: DOUG BRAY ETC WILL LISTEN

All submitters have the opportunity to present their submission to Commissioners during the hearing process. Please indicate if you wish to speak to your submission

☐ I wish to speak to my submission

☐ I do not wish to speak to my submission

(please note that with this option you will receive less correspondence in relation to the hearings but you can keep up to date on the TTPP website)

If any others making similar submissions wish to be heard:

☒ Yes, I would consider presenting a joint case with them

☐ No, I would prefer to present my own individual case

Enquiries

All enquiries regarding this Variation or the TTPP in general can be addressed to Doug Bray, Senior Policy Planner, TTPP Team, West Coast Regional Council, Ph (03) 768-0466 Ext 9109 or 0508 800 118 or info@tppp.nz.

Public information

All information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. The content provided in your submission form will be published on the Te Tai o Poutini Plan website and available to the public.

Validity of Submissions

Please note that submissions may be struck out in whole or in part if authorities (including Council staff, Independent Commissioners or Legal authorities delegated jurisdiction with respect to such decision-making) deem any submission partially or entirely:

- Is frivolous or vexatious in its content;
- Discloses no reasonable or relevant case for a position taken;
- Contains offensive language; and/or
- Is supported only by material that *purports* to be independent expert evidence, but has in fact been prepared by a person who is not independent and/or does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Those hearing submissions may also refuse to take a submission further in whole or in part if believing that there allowing otherwise would be an abuse of the hearing process.