

## **Barrytown Flats MINZ - further information**

Thank you for the opportunity to further comment on the MINZ as covered in Minute 57.

I continue to oppose the proposed MINZ on the Barrytown Flats, and in general the creation of the MINZ.

Specifically on the Barrytown Flats, it is entirely unnecessary and unjust to change the current longstanding rural zone to a MINZ when mineral extraction can be managed within the GRUZ.

A change to MINZ is blatantly biased to the mineral extraction industry and the plans of one company, TiGa. It disregards the rural uses and rural community, particularly as it is a site surrounded by GRUZ.

TiGa have no social licence to operate (SLTO) from the community that live in the area and will be impacted by their plans. TiGa may now hold a resource consent but it is not certain that they will be successful in raising the significant capital required to implement their project, particularly given the risk of no SLTO.

TiGa have repeatedly stated that the mining at the proposed MINZ site will be completed within 4 to 7 years. So why change to MINZ for a limited mineral extraction timeframe? What happens when TiGa are done? (Or if the mining never actually proceeds?) Will the MINZ revert to GRUZ with a plan change? If the MINZ remains then the only permitted rural activity is MINZ R5 Grazing of Animals. The much broader range of rural activities of GRUZ R1 Agriculture, Pastoral or Horticulture Activities and Buildings and GRUZ R3 Residential Activities and Residential Units are non-complying in the MINZ. This severely restricts the normal options for rural zoned land that are long established, understood and anticipated by the community.

Please also note the TiGa RC has a specific condition that the processing plant is removed at the end of the mining on the site, i.e. there is no longterm mineral extraction use permitted under the resource consent.

Regards  
Suzanne Hills  
028 4156 994