

IN THE MATTER OF

Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan (pTTPP)

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

A hearing into the above pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991

DATE OF HEARING

4 September 2024

**QUESTION FROM COMMISSIONERS RELATED TO SUBMISSIONS AND
FURTHER SUBMISSIONS OF WESTPOWER LTD TO THE PROPOSED TE
TAI O POUTINI PLAN**

TOPIC:

Signs – Objective O1(2)

Martin Kennedy

Response to Commissioners Question re Objective – O1(2)

- 1.0 The Commissioners at the hearing in relation to noise, of 5th September 2024, requested that I review the now recommended wording in relation to *O1* of the signs chapter with respect to the word “*proposed*”.
- 1.1 In providing these comments I note that I did not submit evidence in regard to the matter.
- 1.2 In responding to this matter I have referred to Appendix 1 of the s42A Report as, following the recommendation to “*accept*” the submission of Westpower, I understand that sets out the wording of O1 as now proposed, ie “2. *Ensuring that any proposed signage is compatible with the ...*”.
- 1.3 I accept the recommendation as it adopts the outcome sought through the Westpower submission in regard to management of effects of *proposed signage*.
- 1.4 I note that *Policy 2* as recommended includes the management of *cumulative effects* which would include, when considering any proposed signage, recognition of any existing signage.

Martin Kennedy
Planning Consultant
(West Coast Planning Ltd)

12 September 2024