

Before the Independent Hearings Commissioners

Mai I Kā Kaikōmihana Motuhake

**Under the** Resource Management Act 1991

**In the matter** hearing of the submissions and further submissions on the  
proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan:  
Hearing – Subdivision, Financial Contributions and Public Access

---

**MEMORANDUM ON BEHALF OF TE RŪNANGA O NGĀTI WAEWAE, TE RŪNANGA  
O MAKAAWHIO AND TE RŪNANGA O NGĀI TAHU  
(Submitter 620 and Further Submission FS41)**

**07 May 2024**

---

---

**May it please the Panel:**

**1. INTRODUCTION**

**1.1** This memorandum is provided on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Waewae, Te Rūnanga o Makaawhio and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, collectively referred to as “**Ngāi Tahu**”, in response to Minute 23 from the Hearing Panel.

**1.2** Minute 23 gave submitters until 07 May 2024 to respond to the matters raised within the minute. Paragraphs 9-15 refer to, amongst other things to a tabled statement by Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Waewae, Te Rūnanga o Makaawhio and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu in response to the Subdivision, Financial Contribution and Public Access Chapters of the Te Tai o Poutini Plan (**TTPP**).

**2. SUBDIVISION RULE: SUB-R5**

**2.1** In the tabled statement for the Subdivision Chapter, Ngāi Tahu support in part SUB-R5. Ngāi Tahu provided a letter sent to Grey District Council (**GDC**) informing the Council that they may proceed to process subdivision consents in seven listed Sites of Significance to Māori (**SASM**) without the need to further consider cultural values in relation to these sites on the basis that, while significant, the sites have been developed and modified to an extent they do not wish to be notified of subdivision consents in these areas.

**2.2** The Hearing Panel seeks clarification as to the wording amendments requested in the statement to meet the relief sought by Poutini Ngāi Tahu to exclude the seven listed SASM sites. They consider it would be helpful if this could be provided within the context of the recommended wording of the rule to clarify the particular sites and activity status.

**2.3** The intent of Ngāi Tahu was to provide GDC, when processing subdivision consents, the list of sites that exclude the need to further consider cultural values when processing subdivisions due to the current level of modification of the areas. This

is important as the rule also includes Outstanding Natural Landscapes which consider SASM located within them.

- 2.4** For clarification, Poutini Ngāi Tahu seek to *include* SASM 62 No.31 Māwhera Native Reserve as part of the list of sites, given the remaining sites outlined in the GDC letter are already included within clause 4 and should remain. The recommended text is:

*Rule SUB-R5 Subdivision to create allotment(s) in all RESZ - Residential Zones, CMUZ - Commercial and Mixed Use Zones, INZ - Industrial Zones, SVZ - Scenic Visitor Zone or PORTZ - Port Zones*

*Activity Status Controlled*

*Where:*

...

4. This is not within a Site or Area of Significance to Māori except those listed below and identified in Schedule Three:

*i. SASM 10 Kawatiri Pā; SASM 12 Kawatiri Town Reserve; SASM 15 No. 42 Kawatiri (Township) Native Reserve; SASM 31 Punakaiki Area; SASM 56 Māwhera Pā 1; SASM 57 Māwhera Gardens; SASM 58 Greymouth Railway Land; SASM 59 Māwhera Pā 2; SASM 60 Māwhera Kāinga; SASM 61 Victoria Park; SASM 62 No.31 Māwhera Native Reserve; SASM 63 No. 32 Nga Moana e Rua Native Reserve; SASM 94 No. 30 Arahura Native Reserve; SASM 96 Taramakau River; SASM 104 Kawhaka Creek Catchment; SASM 112 Arahura River at Tūhua; SASM 117 Waitaiki Catchment; SASM 121 Waitaiki Historic Reserve; SASM 197 Ōkuru;...*

### **3. ADDITIONAL NOTES**

- 3.1** Further research during the preparation of this response has noted that SASM59 (Māwhera Pā 2) and SASM62 (No.31 Māwhera Native Reserve) are partially within the Rural Zone. Rule SUB-R6 controls subdivision in the Rural Zone.

**3.2** Rule SUB-R6 requires that the effects on Poutini Ngāi Tahu values within or adjacent to the site are a matter of control (matter of control (i) in rule SUB-R6). For clarity, the submission does not seek to amend rule SUB-R6 to exclude sites SASM59 and SASM62, meaning that there may be the situation where engagement with Poutini Ngāi Tahu experts will be required for subdivision on those sites in the rural area.



**Susan Aitken**

07 May 2024

Consultant for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Waewae, Te Rūnanga o Makaawhio and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu