

# NOTES FOR HEARING HISTORIC HERITAGE

**Frida Inta S553 Buller Conservation Group S552**

07 December 2023

Representing myself and Buller Conservation Group, I have read the s42A report of Lois Easton.

## **Historic heritage**

### **HH M2**

Shouldn't it say P3 instead of P2?

### **HH M4**

S42A@179

I am wondering what will happen with the detailed documentation? will it be included in the plan? M4 should be more explanatory in this respect.

### **Schedule 1B**

1 s42A@199, 200 S552 and 553 @052, 054, 204

These 3 submission points refer to a midden site at Mokihinui estuary area, and railway history at the Chasm Creek Walkway, Seddonville.

Rejected by the s42A author because not enough information or archaeological merits nor exact location have been provided.

I present here the Archsite records for both sites, a letter of confirmation from Sumner Museum concerning the adze head made from D'Urville Island stone, and an archaeological assessment of the heritage value of the railway history at Chasm Creek Walkway.

2 S42A@206, 207. S552, 553.205

Transfer all listed archaeological and historic sites from the Buller District Plan to this proposed plan.

My concern is that the archaeological value of some of the sites listed will be lost forever if they are not transferred. One in particular for me is BDC Plan's 96 up the Mokihinui River, which is described as a 'track'.

Contrary to what the S42A report says, locations of all BDC scheduled sites are marked on the district plan map, as well as having location co-ordinates, although descriptions are mostly, if not always, omitted.

I consider the BDC Plan's schedule of sites to be valuable historic information, and if they can't be transferred then maybe some reference to that schedule could be put in the plan (suggestion: as an appendix to Schedules) , where the schedule could be placed in archives in the local museum or library.

=====