

IN THE MATTER of
the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of
Hearing of submissions and further
submissions on the Proposed Te Tai O Poutini
Plan

MINUTE 4 – PROCESS FOR MANAGING SUBMISSIONS THAT
ARE MISSING FROM S42A HEARINGS REPORTS
OR INCORRECTLY SUMMARISED

Introduction

While TTPP staff have done their best to be as accurate as possible with submissions and further submissions, it has become apparent some mistakes have been made.

This minute outlines how missing or incorrectly summarised submissions points will be managed through the s42A reporting process.

For all correspondence please email info@tppp.nz

Process

1. Where a primary submission or further submission is not referred to in the Section 42A report but included in the Appendix.
 - If there is no commentary in the s42A report, but the Appendix has a recommendation, then the submission will be dealt with in that hearing. Submitters should take the Appendix recommendation as the officer's recommendation and address the hearings panel in their verbal evidence appropriately.
2. Where a primary submission or further submission is missing from both the Section 42A report and the Appendix.
 - The submitter can choose to address a missing submission(s) in their verbal evidence at the hearing. Please signal that in your evidence. If that option is taken, the reporting officer will address the missing submission(s) in their right of reply.
 - If the submitter chooses not to address the missing primary submission(s) in their verbal evidence, the primary submission and any associated further submissions will be referred to the next most relevant s42A report, if there is one. If there is no clear relevant next s42A report, the submissions will be dealt with in the "mop up" hearing and associated s42A report.
3. Miscoded or Incorrectly Summarised Submissions – where a primary submission has been incorrectly summarised, or a further submission has been misallocated to the wrong primary submission.
 - Submitters should advise the TTPP team on info@tppp.nz as soon as they become aware of the error. Where possible the submission point should be addressed as part of the evidence at the hearing – and any mistake corrected there. This can then be responded to in the reporting officer's right of reply.

If the submission has been allocated to the wrong hearing due to an incorrect summary, or an incorrect coding of a further submission, then the submission will be referred to the correct s42A report for an officer response. If the correct hearing has already occurred the submission will be addressed in the "mop up hearing and associated s42A report.



Dean Chrystal

Independent Commissioner – Chair - on behalf of the Hearing Panel members

29 September 2023