

Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee Special Meeting West Coast Regional Council Chambers 12 October 2023 10.30am Via zoom

Meeting URL:

 $\frac{\text{https://wcrcnz.zoom.us/j/82060793013?pwd=Mnk2VzVFc1NGWHY2OVRTeDVGVkhaUT09\&from=addon}}{\text{don}}$

Meeting ID: 820 6079 3013 Passcode: 697424

AGENDA

10.30	Welcome and Apologies	Chair
	Confirm previous minutes	Chair
	Matters arising from previous meeting	Chair
10.45	Report – Proposal for Variation to Activities on the Surface of Water Chapter	Project Manager
11.00	Report – Update to Proposal to Prepare a Coastal Hazards Variation to the Plan	Project Manager
11.30	Report - Implications of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity and Te Tai o Poutini Plan	Project Manager
12.00	Financials – July, August, September	Project Manager
12.15	Project Manager Update	Project Manager
1.00	Meeting Ends	

Lunch will be provided.

Dates for the final 2023 meeting

• To be confirmed



MINUTES OF MEETING OF TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN COMMITTEE HELD AT BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT 10.32AM ON 29 AUGUST 2023

PRESENT:

S.Gibley (GDC), J.Howard (BDC), T.Gibson (GDC), R.Williams (Chairman), P.Morris (GDC), A.Cassin (WDC), H.Lash (WDC), S.Bastion (WDC), G.Neylon (BDC)

Via Zoom- D.Lew (WCRC), B.Cummings (WDC), P.Haddock (WDC), P.Madgwick (PNT)

IN ATTENDANCE:

R. Vaughan (WCRC), B. Douglas (WCRC), T. Mehrtens (WCRC), L. Easton (WCRC)

Via Zoom- K.Sims (WCRC)

WELCOME:

Chairman Williams opened the meeting and called for apologies. Welcome to Councillor Howard standing in for Mayor Cleine.

APOLOGIES:

F.Tumahi (PNT) and J.Cleine (BDC)

Previous Meeting Minutes:

Chair Haddock thanked for standing in for Rex Williams.

Recommendation that the minutes of 20th June 2023 be accepted subject to an amendment to reflect that the meeting paused at 10:00am and restarted 10:20am.

Moved (Mayor Gibson/Cr Cassin)

Report – Variation to contracts

Project Manager presented report.

Moved (Mayor Gibson/Mayor Lash)

Report – Delegation of technical expert services

Project Manager presented report.

General discussion:

- Costs included in budget
- Contracting to WCRC
- Technical experts need to be qualified and different to the submitters' experts with no conflict of interest.
- Important to use local experts for technical input to the mineral extraction topic.

- Mineral extraction can be discussed again at a later date. Contracting expertise for the Plan topics being considered now.
- The budget for planners' consultants and technical expert is already set. New project manager to keep everyone fully informed on budget.

Recommendations

Moved (Haddock/Howard)

Carried

Financials - May, June

Budget had no change in the forecast but there was chance in the actuals. The forecast is done yearly and will change this financial year.

Moved (Gibson/Cassin)

Carried

Project Manager Update

R. Vaughans last week.

Plans going forward. Questions for hearings go to T.Mehrtens.

Risks

- People aren't happy
- Budget
- Changes to the national legislation
- Plan can still be heard under the Resource Management Act
- Staff safety

How is recruitment, roles being merged and being advertised. Won't be a fulltime role, advertised for a month. Being helped by Fiona, Tayla, and Ben.

R.Williams says the ball can't be dropped in the meantime.

Appeals have been budgeted and the team will hold as many Prehearings as possible.

WCRC are to stress test the plan.

Decision on the role was meant to go back to all council members.

Project manager to send handover document to Steering Committee and meeting invitations for October and December.

R.Williams thanked R.Vaughan.

Moved (Gibson/Cassin)



Prepared for: Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee

Prepared by: Lois Easton, Principal Planner

Date: 12 October 2022

Subject: Te Tai o Poutini Plan - Proposal for Variation to Activities on the Surface of Water Chapter

BACKGROUND

- 1. As part of Te Tai o Poutini Plan, the General District Wide Matters section contains a chapter Activities on the Surface of Water.
- 2. This chapter has rules that had legal effect at the time of notification of the Plan.
- 3. An issue of whether the rules applied to an activity that was transporting mineral material to the Port was raised by consultant planners working on the West Coast.
- 4. This is because the Regional Coastal Plan defines the Coastal Marine Area as ending downstream of both Westport and Greymouth Ports.
- 5. Legal Advice on this was obtained which identified that the activity proposed would not trigger TTPP rules but it was agreed that there is a degree of ambiguity on the applicability of these rules to the Ports, and that this should be clarified through a Variation.
- This matter has been discussed with the Technical Advisory Team who support the development of Variation to address this matter.

SCOPE OF A VARIATION

7. A Variation to the TTPP would involve the addition of a new rule to allow for port activities and vessels entering and using Westport and Greymouth Ports as a Permitted Activity. A draft rule is proposed as follows:

ASW — R4A — Use of watercraft for Commercial Use and Port Activities on the Surface of Water Activity Status: Permitted

Where: This is the use of the surface of water for commercial use of water and other Port Activities

- 1. Associated with Greymouth Port and harbour and seaward of the State Highway 6 Bridge on the Māwheranui/Grey River and including within the Erua Moana Lagoon; or
- 2. Associated with Westport Port and harbour and seaward of the State Highway 67 Bridge on the Kawatiri/Buller River.
- 8. In addition Rule ASW R6 would require amendment to the title as follows: (additions underlined)

ASW – R6 Commercial Activities on the Surface of Rivers, Lagoons and Lakes <u>not meeting Permitted</u> <u>Activity standards</u>

- 9. Additional policy would also be required to support the amendment of the rules with a draft policy proposed as follows:
 - **ASW P4** Enable the use of Greymouth and Westport Ports and their use of the Māwheranui/Grey and Kawatiri/Buller Rivers for port activities.
- 10. A Variation would need to be accompanied by an updated Section 32 analysis.
- 11. Provided the Variation is publicly notifed by March 2024 staff consider that there should be time for the

Variation to "catch up" with the main TTPP hearing process, and be heard as part of the "mop up" hearing planned at the end of the process.

PROPOSED CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS PROCESS

12. The proposed Variation is a relatively minor matter and a targeted consultation process only is considered necessary. This would involve providing the draft Variation on the TTPP website, a public notice and drawing it to the attention of the Port companies with provision for feedback by mid December 2023.

NEXT STEPS

- 13. Consultation with the community would be undertaken over November early December 2023. A report on the consultation outcomes and recommendations around the Variation would be brought back to the Committee at its February meeting.
- 14. If the Committee decides to proceed with a Variation then this could be notified at the end of February 2024.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. That the information be received.
- 2. That staff proceed with the steps outlined in this report to progress a Variation for Activities on the Surface of Water in relation to Greymouth and Westport ports.

Lois Easton

Principal Planner



Prepared for: Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee

Prepared by: Lois Easton, Principal Planner

Date: 12 October 2022

Subject: Te Tai o Poutini Plan - Update to Proposal to Prepare a Coastal Hazards Variation to the Plan

BACKGROUND

- 15. At the April meeting of the Committee the updated coastal hazard mapping was brought to the Committee.
- 16. At that time the Committee approved staff undertaking further work with the view to preparing a Variation to Te Tai o Poutini Plan to update the mapping.
- 17. This updated mapping is as a result of the coastal inundation modelling being re-run to reflect the LIDAR that has been flown from Jackson Bay to Hector.
- 18. As well as updated model outputs, NIWA have also provided updated shapefiles for the three major coastal hazard overlays in TTPP Coastal Hazard Alert, Coastal Hazard Severe and Coastal Setback.
- 19. The updated modelling shows significant differences in the extent of coastal inundation across the West Coast. This is perhaps not surprising, given the LIDAR gives accuracy to levels of 10cm, whereas the satellite data has considerably lower resolution.
- 20. Because of the extensive difference between the proposed TTPP and the updated information, staff recommended that the best approach to deal with this issue would be to prepare and publicly notify a Variation to the TTPP.

UPDATED TTPP COASTAL HAZARD OVERLAYS

- 21. NIWA provided the updated coastal modelling and were then asked to review the coastal natural hazard overlays in light of that modelling. They have now provided updated recommended coastal hazard layers and where they recommend the boundaries for Coastal Hazard Severe, Coastal Hazard Alert and Coastal Setback should apply.
- 22. Region-wide there are significant changes, with increased extent of Coastal Hazard Alert in some locations and decreases in other, minor decreases in extent of Coastal Severe Hazard and a recommendation that the Coastal Setback overlay should only apply to a 30m buffer area, rather than the 100m in the proposed
- 23. The degree of change varies in some locations it is minimal, in others there are properties that should be identified as at risk not currently included in the overlays, in other locations there are properties identified within the overlays, where the new information would suggest that they are not subject to significant risk from coastal hazards.
- 24. The mapping covers a much wider extent of the West Coast than the original TTPP work, and this is a significant benefit as it means restrictions in a range of areas where the Coastal Setback applied can be reduced. However the area north of Hector has not yet had LIDAR flown so unfortunately has not been able to be included in this remapping.
- 25. The updated model has been applied to Greymouth, however it is a "bathtub" model, and is not considered sufficiently accurate to be used to update the hazard layers for such a large town. The hazard layers at Hokitika and Westport are based on a hydrodynamic river and coastal flooding model which is very accurate in modelling storm events. A similar model is proposed to be developed for Greymouth although a timeframe for when it will be available is not yet certain.

SCOPE OF A VARIATION

- 26. A Variation to the TTPP would involve the replacement of the existing Coastal Alert, Coastal Severe and Coastal Setback maps. The Variation would cover the West Coast from Jackson Bay to the Taramakau River and from north of Cobden to Hector. Greymouth north of the Taramakau River, and north of Hector would not be included in the Variation, however could be the subject of a future Variation once the LIDAR for north of Hector, and the hydrodynamic model for Greymouth are available.
- 27. A Variation would need to be accompanied by an updated Section 32 analysis and appropriate technical reports.
- 28. Provided the Variation is publicly notifed by March 2024 staff consider that there should be time for the Variation to "catch up" with the main TTPP hearing process, and be heard alongside the other natural hazard provisions in the Plan.

PROPOSED CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS PROCESS

- 29. The proposed changes to the maps are significant and raising community awareness and seeking feedback on this is an important part of the planning process. Staff have prepared a Communications Plan for this.
- 30. It is proposed that a range of communication measures letters to Plan submitters on the coastal hazard topic, public notices and press releases be used to raise awareness of the proposed Variation. Alongside this a public drop in series is proposed for mid November to give communities the opportunity to have a detailed look at the maps and how their property might be affected. Written feedback is also proposed to be sought with a cut-off of mid December 2023.

NEXT STEPS

- 31. Consultation with the community as set out in the Communications Plan in Appendix One would be undertaken over November early December 2023. A report on the consultation outcomes and recommendations around the Variation would be brought back to the Committee at its February meeting.
- 32. If the Committee decides to proceed with a Variation then this could be notified at the end of February 2024.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3. That the information be received.
- 4. That the consultation on a draft Variation for the Coastal Hazard Overlays be undertaken in accordance with the steps outlined in this paper.

Lois Easton

Principal Planner



Prepared for: Te Tai o Poutini Plan Committee

Prepared by: Lois Easton, Principal Planner

Date: 12 October 2023

Subject: Technical Update – Implications of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous

Biodiversity and Te Tai o Poutini Plan

SUMMARY

This report outlines the relevant content of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB) for district planning and what implementation requirements it places on Te Tai o Poutini Plan (TTPP).

The report identifies that Significant Natural Area assessment will be required across the West Coast and that a Variation or Plan Change to TTPP will be required to implement the NPSIB.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the Committee receive the report.

Lois Easton

Principal Planner

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The management of natural heritage matters (ecosystems, landscape, natural features, natural character and the coastal environment) are matters are addressed in Te Tai o Poutini Plan (TTPP).
- 2. In preparing TTPP the Tai Poutini Plan Committee was aware of the preparation of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPSIB) a draft of which was first released in 2021.
- 3. The four West Coast Councils put in a joint and comprehensive submission on the NPSIB, seeking a wide range of changes, as did many other submitters.
- 4. One of the key issues was the criteria and methodology for identification of significant natural areas (SNAs) as the West Coast Regional Policy Statement includes criteria that are different to those that were included in the draft NPSIB.
- 5. The final NPSIB was not released prior to the release of the proposed TTPP.
- 6. As a consequence the Committee decided to delay the implementation of the SNA identification programme, to await the NPSIB and the final criteria for SNA identification.
- 7. A policy was included in TTPP as follows:

ECO – P1 Identify areas of significant indigenous vegetation and fauna habitat:

- 1. In the Grey District these areas are identified in Schedule Four;
- 2. In the Buller and Westland Districts:
 - i. The criteria set out in Appendix 1 of the West Coast Regional Policy Statement will be used to assess significance;
 - ii. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and fauna habitat will be identified through the resource consent process until such time as district wide identification and mapping of significant natural areas is undertaken;
 - iii. Buller and Westland district wide assessment, identification and mapping of significant natural areas will be undertaken and completed by June 2027; and
 - iv. Identified areas of significant indigenous vegetation and fauna habitat will be added to Schedule Four through a Plan Change.

NATIONAL POLICY STATEMENT FOR INDIGENOUS BIODIVERSITY 2023 (NPSIB)

- 8. The NPSIB was released on 7 July 2023 came into effect 28 days after gazettal.
- 9. The NPSIB sets in place a new framework for the management of indigenous biodiversity, and as a National Policy Statement the West Coast Councils and Tai o Poutini Plan Committee are required to give effect (implement) this.

KEY IMPLICATIONS OF THE NPSIB FOR TTPP

- 10. The NPSIB sets out a number of requirements for both the West Coast Regional Council and for implementation in TTPP. There are also implications for other sectors of the West Coast Community, for example mineral extraction.
- 11. This report focuses just on the TTPP implications, however the full NPSIB is contained in the Appendix to this report for the Committee's information. .

Criteria for SNA identification

12. As outlined in point 7, above, TTPP does state that significant natural areas will be identified, however it specifies that the criteria in the West Coast Regional Policy Statement will be used to assess these. This will no longer be possible. In giving effect to the NPSIB, the criteria for identifying SNAs set out in the NPSIB must be used.

Timeframe for SNA identification

- 13. Policy ECO P1 states that SNAs have already been identified in Grey District (and are Scheduled in TTPP) and that the SNA identification process will be undertaken in Buller and Westland and completed by June 2027. Some preliminary desktop work has already been undertaken, but staff expect that it will take 2-3 years to undertake field assessments of all potential SNAs to develop a final list of sites to be included in TTPP. Currently this work is not budgeted, but is being looked at carefully by the Project Manager in order to provide costings for the WCRC Long Term Plan.
- 14. The NPSIB states that SNA identification must be completed, and a Plan Change notified within 5 years of commencement in effect this will be by August 2028. So the timeframes are similar to those proposed in TTPP.

Review of Grey SNAs

- 15. The NPSIB allows for no reassessment of the existing identified Grey SNAs provided that within 4 years of gazettal (ie by August 2027) the methodology is reviewed to ensure that sites identified meet the NPSIB criteria for being an SNA. Staff believe that all currently identified Grey SNAs will meet the NPSIB criteria, however this formal step must be undertaken by an ecologist.
- 16. It does appear however the NPSIB will require a reassessment of all other indigenous vegetation in the Grey District to determine whether there are additional SNAs that meet the NPSIB criteria that have not been identified. The criteria are very inclusive and it is likely that there are additional sites in Grey District that are not currently identified as SNAs. This additional assessment work would also need to be completed and included in a Plan Change by August 2028.

SNAs on Public Conservation Land

17. The NPSIB expects that SNAs will also be identified on Public Conservation Land (PCL). However it does not require the level of field assessment that is specified for privately owned land. The desk top evaluation already undertaken for TTPP may well be sufficient to make a judgement about whether sites are SNAs or not on the PCL. However in locations where it is known there are important minerals or other resources (such as renewable energy potential) the Committee may want to undertake a more detailed site assessment, in order to support the potential of those activities and land uses.

Review of TTPP Objectives, Policies and Rules

18. The NPSIB sets a new framework for the biodiversity provisions in TTPP. However generally it is considered that these changes will be able to be considered as part of the hearings process for TTPP. There are over 1000 submission points in relation to just the Ecosystems and Biodiversity Chapter, including those seeking to align this better with the (then draft) NPSIB. Therefore, staff consider that there will be scope to align the provisions with the NPSIB 2023 without the need for a further Plan Change process, thus avoiding some potential costs.

Implications for Poutini Ngāi Tahu

- 19. The NPSIB sets in place framework for biodiversity management that recognises the role of Tangata Whenua as kaitiaki in their rohe. It envisages a strong role in decision making around biodiversity matters
- 20. It also contains provisions (Section 3.18) specifically for "specified Māori land" being Māori customary land and Māori freehold land as defined in Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993.
- 21. Through the work undertaken on the West Coast, Poutini Ngāi Tahu Land has specifically been excluded from SNA evaluation and separate provisions which better recognise tino rangatiratanga on this land are included in TTPP to address management of important natural heritage in these areas
- 22. It appears that the NPSIB will require assessment and identification of SNAs on Poutini Ngāi Tahu land, however it may be that the approach used in TTPP around biodiversity management on Poutini Ngāi Tahu land could meet the NPSIB requirements for specified Māori land. This matter will probably be a key point for consideration in TTPP hearings about these lands.
- 23. The Ministry for the Environment has identified that it will be developing an iwi/Māori implementation plan for the NPSIB by the end of 2023 and this might provide more information and support for understanding whether TTPP approach to biodiversity on Poutini Ngāi Tahu land is compliant with the NPSIB requirements.

NEXT STEPS

- 24. The NPSIB also puts in place requirements for the West Coast Regional Council around developing a biodiversity strategy and identifying used by "highly mobile fauna".
- 25. TTPP and WCRC staff will continue to work together to understand the implications and work programme that arises from the NPSIB and SNA identification requirements with a view to developing a budget and project plan to inform the WCRC Long Term Plan. Once this is clearer, there will be further reporting back to this Committee.
- 26. As the NPSIB must be given effect to in TTPP, staff preparing s42A hearings reports will consider the implications of the NPSIB as part of their analysis. This should enable some aspects of the NPSIB requirements around Objectives, Policies and Rules to be put into effect through the hearings and decisions process, reducing the likelihood of a need for a comprehensive Plan Change.

Te Tai o Poutini Plan Statement of Financial Performance to September 2023

	Year to date		Full year			
	Actual	Budget	Variance	Forecast	Budget	Variance
INCOME						
Targeted Rates	1,412,603	83,333	1,329,270	1,000,000	1,000,000	-
	1,412,603	83,333	1,329,270	1,000,000	1,000,000	-
EXPENDITURE						
Employee Costs	70,492	39,508	(30,984)	348,957	348,957	-
Consultant Planners	49,659	47,500	(2,159)	730,000	730,000	-
Governance	15,804	51	(15,753)	1,610	1,610	-
Poutini Ngai Tahu	-	1,250	1,250	15,000	15,000	-
TTPP Website	1,435	667	(768)	8,000	8,000	-
Isovist e-plan	4 220	2 224	2.007	20.004	20.004	
Platform	1,238	3,334	2,097	20,001	20,001	-
Research Engagement Travel	519	-	(519)	-	-	-
& Accomm	2,930	3,321	391	85,251	85,251	-
Workshops & Events	-	-	_	15,000	15,000	-
Design & Printing	-	-	-	-	-	-
Media Costs	-	5,000	5,000	40,000	40,000	-
Mail Outs	-	-	-	-	-	-
Legal Costs	11,402	10,000	(1,402)	200,000	200,000	-
Hearings	24	41,667	41,643	500,000	500,000	-
Mediation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Environment Court	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest Charges	-	-	-	52,133	52,133	-
Share of WRC						
Overhead	37,500	12,500	(25,000)	-	150,000	150,000
	191,002	164,798	(26,204)	2,015,952	2,165,952	150,000
Net Surplus / (Deficit)	1,221,601	(81,465)	1,303,066	(1,015,952)	(1,165,952)	150,000

Accumulated deficit / borrowing

requirement

Start of year	1,062,617	1,062,617
During period	(1,221,601)	1,015,952
End of period	(158,984)	2,078,569



Project Manager Update

30 August 2023 - 12 October 2023

Prepared By: Fiona Thomson, Acting Project Manager

Date Prepared: 5 October 2023

Te Tai o Poutini Plan Team

A lot has happened since the last meeting. With the further submissions period closed, much of the focus has been on preparing for hearings:

- All statutory timeframes in the hearing schedule have been met to date.
- Hearings have been scheduled and those submitters and further submitters on the first round wishing to be heard have been given timeslots. A <u>schedule of hearings</u> has been uploaded to the TTP website.
- 4 of the total 30 planned Section 42a reports have been completed and uploaded on the
 website for submitters and further submitters to view. Section 42a reports are the
 mechanism by which reporting planners summarise and address the various submission and
 further submissions received on topics and provide Commissioners with recommendations
 as to their acceptance or rejection.
- 3 further reports are undergoing a final review before also being added.
- Expert evidence provided by submitters and further submitters has been uploaded on the hearings portal of the website.
- One pre-hearing meeting to the Historic Heritage chapter has been held with Heritage NZ Pouhere Taonga.

Venues have been booked and comms materials prepared for the consultation on the proposed coastal hazards (pending Committee approval at this meeting).

The last of the contracts have been awarded for planners providing section 42a reports and hearings

support to the Natural Hazards and Mineral Extraction chapters of the Plan. These topics have received a particularly large number of submissions and are expected to be reasonably contentious. The consultants who have been contracted have particularly strong experience and technical expertise in these topics and are well-placed to provide sound and defensible advice.

Plans for Next Period

- Ongoing public liaison and advice
- Hearings commence
- Ongoing preparation for hearings
- Updates to Committee on hearings process.
- Undertake consultation on variation to natural hazards mapping
- Preparation of potential variation

Key Issues, Risks & Concerns

Item	Action/Resolution	Responsible	Completion Date
Decision makers can't agree	Get agreement on pieces of work prior to plan completion	Chairman	Ongoing
Budget insufficient for timely plan delivery	Work with TTPPC to recommend budget, and with WCRC to raise rate to achieve deliverables	Project Manager TTPP Committee CE WCRC	Annually Jan/Feb
Changes to national legislation	Planning team keep selves, Committee and Community updated on changes to legislation and the implications for TTPP	Project Manager Planning Team	Ongoing
Staff safety at public consultation	Committee members to proactively address & redirect aggressive behavior towards staff	TTPP Committee	Ongoing
National emergencies such as Covid-19 lock down and weather events	Staff and Committee ensure personal safety and continue to work remotely as able. Work with contractors to expedite work.	Project Manager TTPP Committee	Ongoing
Time and Cost of Appeals Process	Realistic budget set for best case costs. Awareness that contentious issues such as SNAs, natural hazards, mineral extraction and landscape provisions could see an extended appeals process, increasing costs to reach operative plan status	TTPP Committee TTPP Steering Group Project Manager	Ongoing
Community concerns over proposed Plan content	Respond to queries by phone, email and public meetings. Update information.	TTPP Committee Project Manager	Ongoing

Status

Overall	
Schedule	Summary of submissions renotified – Plan hearings moved to October 2023
Resources	Future budgets required to cover hearings and mediation
Scope	Schedule 1 processes leading to updates to Plan to achieve operative status

Schedule

Stage	Target for Completion	Comments
Te Tai o Poutini Plan Notified	14 July 2022	This is the "Proposed" Plan
Summary of Submissions	28 April 2023	Notified 28 April 2023
Further Submissions	17 July 2023	Further submission closed on 17 July 2023
Pre-hearing meetings/Mediation	July 2023 onwards	Indicative time only
Hearings Te Tai o Poutini Plan	From 30 Oct 2023	
Decisions Te Tai o Poutini Plan	Beginning 2025	Indicative time only
Ongoing Decision Making for TTPP	2025 onward	TTPPC is a permanent Committee. Once the Plan is adopted the ongoing Committee role includes monitoring implementation and the need for any amendments, undertaking amendments and reviews, or ensuring these are undertaken, as required.
Appeals and Mediation Te Tai o Poutini Plan	From early 2025	Indicative time only. Any parts of the Plan not appealed are operative from the end of the Appeal Period.

Attachments:

- 1. Proposed Natural Hazards Variation Comms Plan
- 2. Minute 3: Requests from Bathurst Resources Limited
- 3. Minute 4: Process for Managing Missing or Incorrect Submissions
- 4. Minute 5: Requests for Extension of Timeframes for Provision of Submitter Evidence

