



Plan Sections: General District Wide Matters – Earthworks, Light, Noise, Signs, Temporary Activities

This is a summary of decisions requested in submissions made on the Proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan. Note that this document may only contain a subset of decisions requested. Summaries of all decisions requested and details on how to make a further submission are available at www.ttpn.nz

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
G.E. and C.J. Coates on behalf of Nikau Deer Farm Limited (S415)	S415.009	Earthworks	EW	Oppose in part	The rules are restrictive for any overlays such as SASM's.	Remove any overlays.
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.173	Earthworks	EW	Neutral	DOC is neutral as these do not affect priority conservation values, biodiversity values, or DOC's interests.	NA
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.083	Earthworks	EW	Amend	subsequent amendment	Remove all references to "Site or Area of Significance to Māori" in the Chapter
Westland District Council (S181)	S181.028	Earthworks	Earthworks	Support	Westland District Council supports these Objectives, Policies and Rules	Retain the objectives, policies and rules.
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S299)	S299.057	Earthworks	Earthworks	Amend	Transpower has concerns as to the provision of earthwork rules across multiple chapters. Such an approach will lead to a myriad of applicable rules which is potentially confusing to plan users and creates the potential for contradictory provisions.	Provide all relevant earthwork rules within the Earthworks Chapter
Inger Perkins (S462)	S462.036	Earthworks	Earthworks	Amend	As people become more aware of natural burials ⁷ , it would be marvellous to see all Councils on the Coast have their cemeteries certified to allow such burials. In addition, or instead, it may be sensible for Councils to seek new sites or extensions to existing cemeteries for	Ensure earthworks provisions enable the development of natural burial sites.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					natural burial sites where a forest is allowed to grow above the graves, a sapling of a native tree being planted for each new grave. Moves in this direction to allow natural burials and new natural burial sites could be supported through TTPP.	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.314	Earthworks	Earthworks	Support in part	See Key Issues for submission points.	Amend in line with decisions sought in Key Issues above, with respect to referring to other chapters in the Plan and the use of the term 'overlay chapters'.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.315	Earthworks	Earthworks	Amend	The explanation of when a consent may be needed from the Regional Council, in particular in relation to the requirements of the NESFM, should give much more direction to Plan users about the circumstances in which a different consent might be needed. For example, the reference to earthworks that may 'affect wetlands' is very vague and doesn't flag to the user that works within certain margins of wetlands will require consent, as well as where works may drain or partially drain the wetland.	Amend to include much more detail about when a consent from the regional may be needed, including a very clear statement setting out the circumstances in which earthworks near a wetland may require consent.
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.170	Earthworks	Earthworks	Amend	Amend Rule EW - R2 to remove duplication and simplify the rule.	Amend: Activity Status Permitted Where: 1. All standards in Rule EW - R1 are complied with; and 2. These earthworks are: Associated with the construction of an approved building platform and access; These are earthworks associated with an approved subdivision consent; or These are earthworks associated with an approved well or bore; or These are earthworks including stockpiles required for network utility or critical infrastructure maintenance, operation, repair,

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						upgrade, or installation of new network utilities including public roads; or These are earthworks associated with installation of swimming pools; or The earthworks are for interments in a cemetery or urupā; The earthworks are for natural hazard mitigation structures constructed by a statutory agency or their authorised contractor; or The earthworks are test pits for geotechnical or contaminated land assessment where the land is reinstated within 48 hours; or ...
Fuel Companies (S613)	S613.009	Earthworks	Earthworks	Support	Fuel Companies are supportive of a policy framework based on management or risk to human health to ensure contaminated land is appropriate for its intended use.	Retain Objective EW-O1 and Policies EW-P1, EW-P2, EW-P3, EW-P4 as notified.
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (S140)	S140.042	Earthworks	Overview	Oppose in part	<p>1. The Earthworks overview provides the NPS definition for 'earthworks', however this definition is incorrect when referencing the HNZPTA 2014 because it is too narrow - specifically, earthworks in the NPS definition 'excludes gardening, cultivation, and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts', but the HNZPTA 2014 refers to any 'activity that will or may modify or destroy'. HNZPT therefore requests that when referring to the HNZPTA 2014, the term 'works' or 'works within an archaeological site' is used rather than 'earthworks'.</p> <p>2. HNZPT supports the archaeological advice note within the overview of the Earthworks chapter, which will act as a reminder for owners to check the status</p>	HNZPT requests the wording be amended: 'Works and land disturbance affecting archaeological sites may also require authorisation under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. The Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 makes it unlawful for any person to modify or destroy, or cause to be modified or destroyed, the whole or any part of an archaeological site without the prior authority of Heritage New Zealand. This is regardless of whether the site is scheduled in Te Tai o Poutini Plan or not, and is in addition to any resource consent obtained.'

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					of their land prior to undertaking work. For clarity, and to ensure the Plan user understands the extent of archaeological requirements, we also request additional wording.	
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.455	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	Minor amendments are required for consistency of wording.	Earthworks can adversely affect amenity values ... noise and traffic), energy activities, including critical infrastructure , and result in changes to the ...
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.456	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	To highlight matters relating to Energy Activities and related infrastructure.	Insert new point under Other Relevant Te Tai o Poutini Plan provisions: Energy Activities - this Chapter contains provisions for activities in the vicinity of energy activities and infrastructure.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.457	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	To highlight matters relating to Energy Activities and related infrastructure	Add under Other relevant regulations: Earthworks in the vicinity of electrical infrastructure are also regulated under the New Zealand Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZCEP 34:2001)
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.458	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	Consistency of wording with previous chapters.	Insert section on Strategic Objectives and Policies as per previous chapters.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.137	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	Too often drainage hydraulics are overlooked.	The scope and scale of earthworks range from large bulk earthworks, which can alter the landform, and its topography, and drainage hydraulics , to small and discrete areas of works most often associated with minor development
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.138	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	The WCRC L&W Plan has a strong focus on earthworks, including many rules.	A number of other regulatory and non-regulatory methods also manage the effects of earthworks, particularly addressed in the Regional Land and Water Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.138	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	The WCRC L&W Plan has a strong focus on earthworks, including many rules.	A number of other regulatory and non-regulatory methods also manage the effects of earthworks, particularly addressed in the Regional Land and Water Plan.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.313	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	This chapter needs to clearly state that it does not address vegetation clearance, and that any vegetation clearance associated with earthworks must comply with the ECO chapter.	Include in the overview clarification of the relationship between EW and vegetation clearance: "Vegetation will often cover the area to be affected by earthworks. Where that is the case, the earthworks must also comply with the vegetation clearance rules and other provisions in the ECO chapter. This EW chapter does not manage the effects on vegetation, so the Eco chapter must also apply."
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.167	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	Make it clear that earthworks not only affect amenity values, but can also affect natural environment values. This more effectively aligns the objective with its subsequent policies, and specifically policy P2.	Amend paragraph 2: Earthworks can adversely affect amenity values (visual, dust nuisance, noise and traffic), natural environment values (such as indigenous biodiversity, habitat, environmental quality and landscape) and result in changes to natural landforms. Earthworks can cause changes to the appearance and character of the neighbourhoods they are located in and can impact on people's experience of their environment.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.312	Earthworks	Earthworks Objectives	Support	Council supports the objectives and policies for Earthworks	Retain as notified. Objective EW-O1 Policies EW P1 - P4
Snodgrass Road submitters (S619)	S619.048	Earthworks	Earthworks Objectives	Support	The earthworks objectives and policies are supported	Retain Objective EW-O1 and Policies EW P1 - P4

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.502	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	We support this objective.	Retain objective.
Te Tumu Paeroa - The office of the Māori Trustee (S440)	S440.041	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	The Māori Trustee is generally comfortable with the objective in the 'Earthworks' chapter.	N/A
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.026	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support in part	Silver Fern Farms support the principle for earthworks to facilitate subdivision, use and development of the West Coast Te Tai o Poutini's land resource. However, Silver Fern Farms consider the word "remedied" should be included here.	Silver Fern Farms seeks that the following change is made. Amend as follows: EW - O1 To provide for earthworks to facilitate subdivision, use and development of the West Coast/Te Tai o Poutini's land resource, while ensuring that their adverse effects on the surrounding environment are avoided, remedied , or mitigated.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.146	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the objective as it provides for earthworks in the West Coast / Te Tai o Poutini while ensuring that adverse effects on the surrounding environment are avoided or mitigated.	Retain as proposed.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.075	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	Submission seeks for minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities to be excluded from earthworks.	Retain as notified.
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.086	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.092	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	Captures intention for earthworks with avoiding/mitigating adverse effects	Retain as notified
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.459	Earthworks	EW - O1	Amend	A minor amendment to reflect the Act regarding remediation of effects.	Amend: To provide for earthworks ... on the surrounding natural and physical environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated.".
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.139	Earthworks	EW - O1	Oppose	Protection of natural values must come before exploitation of those values.	Separate into 2 objectives, the first for protection of the natural environment, the

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						second for exploitation of that environment.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.139	Earthworks	EW - O1	Oppose	Protection of natural values must come before exploitation of those values.	Separate into 2 objectives, the first for protection of the natural environment, the second for exploitation of that environment.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.303	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.077	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.088	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.303	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.366	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.303	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.067	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.080	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	It is noted that the submission seeks for minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities to be excluded from the definition of earthworks.	Retain as notified.
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.061	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	Seeks for minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities to be excluded from the definition of earthworks.	Retain as notified.
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.168	Earthworks	EW - O1	Amend	Amend earthworks objective EW-O1 to make it clear that adverse effects on the immediate, as well as surrounding environment, are managed, and to enable adverse effects to be remedied in addition to avoided and mitigated.	Amend Objective EW-O1: To provide for earthworks to facilitate subdivision, use and development of the West Coast/Te Tai o Poutini's land resource, while ensuring that their adverse effects on the surrounding environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.039	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	Seeks for minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities to be excluded from the definition of earthworks.	Retain as notified.
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.054	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	This objective is supported	Retain as notified.
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.119	Earthworks	EW - O1	Amend	The submission seeks for minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities to be excluded from the definition of earthworks.	Consequential amendment
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.040	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	Seeks for minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities to be excluded from the definition of earthworks.	Retain as notified.
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.038	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support	Seeks for minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities to be excluded from the definition of earthworks.	Retain as notified.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.084	Earthworks	EW - O1	Amend	The plan therefore needs to take an enabling approach to Earthworks	Amend wording by replacing " avoided " & " limited " with " mitigated " Rule to read: "To provide for earthworks to facilitate subdivision, use and development of the West Coast/Te Tai o Poutini's land resource, while ensuring that their adverse effects on the surrounding environment are mitigated ."
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.109	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.109	Earthworks	EW - O1	Support		Retain
Te Tumu Paeroa - The office of the Māori Trustee (S440)	S440.042	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support	The Māori Trustee is generally comfortable with the policies in the 'Earthworks' chapter.	N/A
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.087	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.313	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support	Council supports the objectives	Retain as notified. Objective EW-O1 Policies EW P1 - P4

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					and policies for Earthworks	
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.140	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Amend	Protection of natural values must come before exploitation of those values.	P4 2 Manage the effects of earthworks to minimise impacts on landscape character, amenity, natural features, water quality, biodiversity, cultural and heritage sites and the quality of the environment. P-2 1 Enable temporary and small scale earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and hazard mitigation, while managing those with the potential to create significant adverse effects.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.140	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Amend	Protection of natural values must come before exploitation of those values.	P4 2 Manage the effects of earthworks to minimise impacts on landscape character, amenity, natural features, water quality, biodiversity, cultural and heritage sites and the quality of the environment. P-2 1 Enable temporary and small scale earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and hazard mitigation, while managing those with the potential to create significant adverse effects.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.304	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.078	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.089	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.304	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.367	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.304	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.068	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support		Retain
Snodgrass Road submitters (S619)	S619.049	Earthworks	Earthworks Policies	Support	The earthworks objectives and policies are supported insofar as they apply to the Snodgrass Road submitters' properties.	Retain Objective EW-O1 and Policies EW P1 - P4
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.503	Earthworks	EW - P1	Support	We strongly support this policy which provides for natural hazard mitigation structures and earthworks on the grounds of health and safety of people and communities.	Retain policy..
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.147	Earthworks	EW - P1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it enables temporary and small-scale earthworks for the use and development of land, provision of utilities and hazard mitigation, while managing significant adverse effects. This provides for the need for earthworks related to state highway infrastructure.	Retain as proposed.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.076	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Enable all earthworks, instead of just temporary or small scale earthworks.	Amend: Enable temporary and small scale earthworks... .
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.093	Earthworks	EW - P1	Support	These provide for the practical requirements of earthworks while also recognising the need to minimise any adverse effects	Retain as notified
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.460	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Minor amendment for consistency of wording throughout the plan.	Amend: Enable temporary and small scale ... land, the provision of utilities, including energy activities and critical infrastructure , and hazard ...".
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.316	Earthworks	EW - P1	Oppose in part	The approach set out is not clear that adverse effects that are more than minor should be managed.	Replace 'significant' with 'more than minor'.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.081	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Should enable all earthworks, instead of just temporary or small scale earthworks.	Amend EW - P1 as follows: Enable temporary and small scale earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and hazard mitigation, while managing those with the potential to create significant adverse effects."
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.062	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Should enable all earthworks, instead of just temporary or small scale earthworks.	Amend EW - P1 as follows: Enable temporary and small scale earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and hazard mitigation, while managing those with the potential to create significant adverse effects.
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.040	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Should enable all earthworks, instead of just temporary or small scale earthworks.	Amend EW - P1 as follows: Enable temporary and small scale earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and hazard mitigation, while managing those with the potential to create significant adverse effects.
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.055	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Should enable all earthworks, instead of just temporary or small scale earthworks.	Amend EW - P1 as follows: Enable temporary and small scale earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and hazard mitigation, while managing those with the potential to create significant adverse effects.
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.041	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Should enable all earthworks, instead of just temporary or small scale earthworks.	Amend EW - P1 as follows: Enable temporary and small scale earthworks for the subdivision, use and development of land, the provision of utilities, and hazard mitigation, while managing those with the potential to create significant adverse effects.
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.039	Earthworks	EW - P1	Amend	Should enable all earthworks, instead of just temporary or small scale earthworks.	Amend EW - P1 as follows: Enable temporary and small scale earthworks ...

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.110	Earthworks	EW - P1	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.110	Earthworks	EW - P1	Support		Retain
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.504	Earthworks	EW - P2	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.128	Earthworks	EW - P2	Support	These provide for the practical requirements of earthworks while also recognising the need to minimise any adverse effects	Retain as notified
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.461	Earthworks	EW - P2	Amend	Avoid duplication of regulation.	Avoid duplication of compliance by removing reference to "water quality" from the policy where already provided for in regulations administered by regional plans.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.462	Earthworks	EW - P2	Amend	The policy is generally supported with minor amendment to, (1) Avoid duplication of regulation, and minimise compliance costs, with existing provisions of the West Coast Regional Land and Water Plan. While water quality is a relevant matter in relation to provisions already in place. This would be consistent with the RPS, Chapter 4, particularly Policy 2. (2) To provide for the managed approach intended where, given the topography and conditions on the West Coast, it may not always be	(1) Avoid duplication of compliance by removing reference to "water quality" from the policy where already provided for in regulations administered by regional plans. (2) Amend the Policy: Manage the effects of earthworks to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on landscape character, amenity, natural features, biodiversity

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					possible to achieve minimal effects.	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.317	Earthworks	EW - P2	Support in part	'Minimising' effects is not an appropriate standard. Effects could still be significant, even where they are minimised.	Replace 'minimise' with 'avoid, remedy or mitigate.'
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.111	Earthworks	EW - P2	Amend		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.111	Earthworks	EW - P2	Amend		Retain
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.0588	Earthworks	EW - P2	Amend	Further, it should be made clear that effects, in particular effects on biodiversity, must be managed in accordance with the ECO provisions.	Add an amendment to make it clear that effects on biodiversity at least are managed in accordance with the ECO provisions. Consider amendments to ensure that this chapter does not apply a lesser standard of effects management than other chapters in the Plan, e.g., NFL.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.505	Earthworks	EW - P3	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.027	Earthworks	EW - P3	Support in part	Silver Fern Farm support this policy insofar as it relates to their activities; however, they consider that the protocols for accidental discovery should be clear in that operational works can continue at Site, providing that the 20 m exclusion area around the site discovery is not encroached upon. Additionally, there is a minor grammatical typo in this objective which requires amending "... potential risk to earthworks to archaeological sites..."	Amend as follows: EW - P3 Require the use of accidental discovery protocols to mitigate the potential risk to of earthworks to archaeological sites and areas of significance to Māori and archaeological sites that are not scheduled in the Plan.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.129	Earthworks	EW - P3	Support	These provide for the practical requirements of earthworks while also recognising the need to minimise any adverse effects	Retain as notified
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.463	Earthworks	EW - P3	Amend	This is an appropriate mechanism	REtain
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.169	Earthworks	EW - P3	Amend	Minor amendment to clarify that it is the risks of earthworks that are managed, rather than risks to earthworks.	Amend: Require the use of accidental discovery protocols to mitigate the potential risk to of earthworks to archaeological sites and sites and areas of significance to Māori and archaeological sites that are not scheduled in the Plan.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.112	Earthworks	EW - P3	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.112	Earthworks	EW - P3	Support		Retain
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu, Te Runanga o Ngati Waewae, Te Runanga o Makaawhio (S620)	S620.208	Earthworks	EW - P3	Support	The use of an accidental discovery protocol ensures that tikanga is followed if earthworks disturb kōiwi or taonga.	Retain as notified
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.506	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support	We strongly support this policy which protects natural hazard mitigation structures and earthworks on the grounds of health and safety of people and communities	Retain policy.
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S299)	S299.058	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support	Transpower supports Policy P4 on the basis it recognises critical infrastructure.	Retain Policy EW-P4
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.081	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support	KiwiRail supports policy to protect critical infrastructure from the adverse effects of infrastructure.	Retain as proposed
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.148	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this policy as it provides for the protection of critical infrastructure and natural hazard defences from adverse effects of others.	Retain as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.046	Earthworks	EW - P4	Oppose in part	An objective of 'protect' critical infrastructure is not supported. It should ensure that critical infrastructure is not compromised from the adverse effects of earthworks.	Amend EW-P4: Ensure that critical infrastructure and natural hazard defences are not compromised by the adverse effects of earthworks.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.094	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support in part	An objective to 'protect' critical infrastructure would be better focused on ensuring that critical infrastructure is not compromised from the adverse effects of earthworks.	Amend EW-P4 Ensure that critical infrastructure and natural hazard defences are not compromised by the adverse effects of earthworks.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.130	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support	These provide for the practical requirements of earthworks while also recognising the need to minimise any adverse effects	Retain as notified
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.464	Earthworks	EW - P4	Amend	Amendment for consistency of wording.	Amend: Protect critical infrastructure, including energy activities and infrastructure , and natural hazard ...
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.113	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.113	Earthworks	EW - P4	Support		Retain
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S299)	S299.059	Earthworks	EarthworksRules	Amend	(a) Specific to earthworks, Transpower supports the provision of standards specific to earthworks on the basis such activities can compromise the National Grid and are a form of development contemplated by the NPSET. Specifically, earthworks restrictions are supported as earthworks have the potential to undermine transmission line structures, generate dust, and reduce the clearances between the ground and conductors. They also have the potential to restrict Transpower's ability to access the line and locate the heavy machinery required to maintain support structures around the lines and may lead to potential tower failure and significant constraints on the	Amend Rule EW-R2 and EW-R7 to exclude earthworks within the National Grid and to provide a stand-alone earthworks rule:

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>operation of the line. The provision of a rule framework gives effect to Policies 2 and 10 of the NPSET in that it protects the integrity of the National Grid and the ability to operate it. Based on the above, Transpower supports the provision of earthworks provisions on the basis such activities can compromise the National Grid and are a form of development contemplated by the NPSET. However, its preference is for a standalone rule specific to the National Grid that would provide the appropriate framework and activity status for earthworks that may affect the Grid. Key features of the recommended rule are: Inclusion of reference to vertical holes as the National Planning Standards definition of earthworks specifically excludes the disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts. For this reason, the rule needs to be amended to cover vertical holes. Inclusion of conditions relating to conductor clearance (iii), stability of support structures (iv), and access (v). Such conditions are required to ensure the National Grid assets are not compromised. Insertion of a non-complying activity status where compliance with the standards within 1.a is not achieved, A non-complying activity status is considered the most effective means of giving effect to the NPSET's objective of managing the adverse effects of the network and managing the adverse effects of other activities on the network. In particular, a non-complying activity status: Most appropriately recognises and provides for the effective operation,</p>	

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					maintenance, upgrading and development of the network, as required by NPSET Policy 2; Is the best method to manage other activities to ensure the operation, maintenance, upgrading, and development of the network is not compromised, as required by Policy 10. The NPSET provides a strong direction that cannot be achieved by use of the restricted discretionary activity status. Such policy direction can only be achieved by way of a non-complying activity status.	
Te Tumu Paeroa - The office of the Māori Trustee (S440)	S440.043	Earthworks	EarthworksRules	Support	The Māori Trustee is generally comfortable with the rules in the Earthworks' chapter.	N/A
Rocky Mining Limited (S474)	S474.048	Earthworks	EarthworksRules	Amend		clarification that, as standalone activities in the rural, open space and mineral extraction zones, additional earthworks rules do not apply to mineral extraction;
Papahaua Resources Limited (S500)	S500.032	Earthworks	EarthworksRules	Amend		clarification that, as standalone activities in the rural, open space and mineral extraction zones, additional earthworks rules do not apply to mineral extraction;
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.141	Earthworks	EarthworksRules	Amend	Cumulative changes to water hydraulics.	R3 & R4 per site or 10% whichever is the greater
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.141	Earthworks	EarthworksRules	Amend	'per site' needs to be changed.	R3 & R4 per site or 10% whichever is the greater
Snodgrass Road submitters (S619)	S619.050	Earthworks	EarthworksRules	Amend	The earthworks rules are supported insofar as they apply to the Snodgrass Road submitters' properties subject to the changes sought to EW-R1 and EW-R2.	Retain Rules EW-R1 to EW-R8 subject to the changes sought to EW-R1 and EW-R2 below.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the	S190.507	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)						
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.082	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	KiwiRail supports the permitted activity status of earthworks by network utility operations, subject to standards.	Retain as proposed
Margaret Montgomery (S446)	S446.076	Earthworks	EW - R1	Oppose in part	The standard requiring clean fill material could be difficult for some activities - or if the proposal is a redistribution of material across the site to create a level platform. The standard with the exception of vertical alteration contains no other rules - for example you could remove 1.5m across 10ha - some controls could include area or volume. Standard 1 should also include an exemption for piles for earthworks considering that basically all development is required to be raised significantly	Amend Standard 1 to provide an exemption for piles, amend the standard requiring clean fill material to provide for redistribution of material, amend the standard for the exception of vertical alteration to include a maximum area or volume.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.149	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it provides general standards for any earthworks to comply with. Specifically, EW-R1.4 is supported as it ensures that no diversion of stormwater and overland flow shall occur beyond the site boundary and must not be directed to the road.	Retain as proposed.
Russell and Joanne Smith (S477)	S477.019	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	This rule is a duplication of regional council rules and the 1.5m cut height is unduly restrictive, particularly in existing subdivisions with existing dwellings,.	Remove 1.5m cut height or alternatively provide a more generous cut height which enables residential development as intended in existing subdivisions.
Tim Macfarlane (S482)	S482.019	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	This rule is a duplication of regional council rules and the 1.5m cut height is unduly restrictive, particularly in existing subdivisions with existing dwellings,.	Remove 1.5m cut height or alternatively provide a more generous cut height which enables residential development as intended in existing subdivisions.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.047	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	The earthworks general standards are supported in particular the reference to NZECP34:2001.	Retain EW-R1 as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Bathurst Resources Limited and BT Mining Limited (S491)	S491.032	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	In mining as not all material moved on a mine-site meets the definition of cleanfill.	Amend Advice Notes: 1. Clause 2 does not apply to mining activities in the BCZ, MINZ, General Rural Zones or Open Space Zone where a Mineral Extraction Plan is prepared in accordance with Appendix Seven. 2.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.077	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	The spreading of vegetative matter is provided for within this permitted activity rule.	Amend EW - R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards.; All fill must consist of cleanfill material except for any vegetative matter which is being used as fill on the same site;
Claire & John West (S506)	S506.019	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	This rule is a duplication of regional council rules and the 1.5m cut height is unduly restrictive, particularly in existing subdivisions with existing dwellings,.	Remove 1.5m cut height or alternatively provide a more generous cut height which enables residential development as intended in existing subdivisions.
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.088	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Lauren Nyhan Anthony Phillips (S533)	S533.019	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	This rule is a duplication of regional council rules and the 1.5m cut height is unduly restrictive, particularly in existing subdivisions with existing dwellings,.	Remove 1.5m cut height or alternatively provide a more generous cut height which enables residential development as intended in existing subdivisions.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.314	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	Rule 1 is supported.	Retain as notified.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.465	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	The above ground height of earthworks in relation to boundaries.	Amend 1. Earthworks must not exceed a maximum depth of 1.5m or height above ground of 2m measured vertically within 1.5m of a boundary except
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.466	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	The installation of underground electrical equipment.	Add d. Installation of underground equipment for as part of the electricity supply or distribution network.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.467	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Earthworks required to achieve safe separation distances.	Add e. achieving safe separation between conductors and the ground.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.468	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	To avoid duplication of compliance with regional provisions.	Avoid duplication of compliance by removing items 3. and 4. Where these matters are already provided for in regulations administered by regional plans in regard to earthworks.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.305	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support		Retain
Stewart & Catherine Nimmo (S559)	S559.019	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	This rule is a duplication of regional council rules and the 1.5m cut height is unduly restrictive, particularly in existing subdivisions with existing dwellings,.	Remove 1.5m cut height or alternatively provide a more generous cut height which enables residential development as intended in existing subdivisions.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.318	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	The general standards must include a requirement that any vegetation clearance that is caused by, or associated with, the earthworks, must be permitted by the ECO chapter. This cannot be limited to 'overlays' as it is not clear that this would protect all SNAs, given the lack of an appropriate SNA schedule.	Add the following standard: 8. Any vegetation clearance that is caused by the earthworks, or by the associated works (e.g., smothering by the excavated materials) must meet the Permitted Activity Standards of the ECO chapter.
Joel and Jennifer Watkins (S565)	S565.031	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	This rule is a duplication of regional council rules.	Remove 1.5 metre cut height.
Joel and Jennifer Watkins (S565)	S565.032	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend		Alternative relief: provide a more generous cut height which enables residential development as intended in existing subdivisions.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.305	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.368	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.305	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support		Retain
Tim and Phaedra Robins (S579)	S579.028	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Duplication of regional council rules.	Amend to remove 1.5 metre cut height or alternatively provide a more generous cut height which enables residential development as intended in existing subdivisions.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.082	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	It is suggested that the spreading of vegetative matter is provided for within this permitted activity rule.	Amend EW - R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards. Earthworks must not exceed a maximum depth or height above ground level of 1.5m measured vertically within 1.5m of a boundary except where these are undertaken by a network utility operator for the purpose of: Pole foundations; Backfilled trenches; or Installation of services by trenchless methods such as directional drilling; All fill must consist of cleanfill material except for any vegetative matter which is being used as fill on the same site; "
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.063	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Seek that the spreading of vegetative matter is provided for within this permitted activity rule.	Amend EW - R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards. Earthworks must not exceed a maximum depth or height above ground level of 1.5m measured vertically within 1.5m of a boundary except where these are undertaken by a network utility operator for the purpose of: Pole foundations; Backfilled trenches; or Installation of services by trenchless methods such as directional drilling; All fill must consist of cleanfill material except for any vegetative matter which is

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						being used as fill on the same site;
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.041	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Suggested that the spreading of vegetative matter is provided for within this permitted activity rule.	Amend EW - R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards. Earthworks must not exceed a maximum depth or height above ground level of 1.5m measured vertically within 1.5m of a boundary except where these are undertaken by a network utility operator for the purpose of: Pole foundations; Backfilled trenches; or Installation of services by trenchless methods such as directional drilling; All fill must consist of cleanfill material except for any vegetative matter which is being used as fill on the same site;
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.056	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	The spreading of vegetative matter is provided for within this permitted activity rule.	Amend EW - R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards. Earthworks must not exceed a maximum depth or height above ground level of 1.5m measured vertically within 1.5m of a boundary except where these are undertaken by a network utility operator for the purpose of: Pole foundations; Backfilled trenches; or Installation of services by trenchless methods such as directional drilling; All fill must consist of cleanfill material except for any vegetative matter which is being used as fill on the same site;
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.042	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Spreading of vegetative matter is provided for	Amend EW - R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards.; All fill must consist of cleanfill material, but may also include vegetative matter used on and sourced from the same site, for the purposes of fill;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.040	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	The spreading of vegetative matter is provided for within this permitted activity rule.	Amend EW - R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards.; All fill must consist of cleanfill material except for any vegetative matter which is being used as fill on the same site;
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.085	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Earthworks are an essential part of a building process.	Amend Condition 1 to read: 1. Earthworks must not exceed a maximum depth or height above ground level of 1.5m measured vertically within 1.5m of a boundary except where these are undertaken by a network utility operator for the purpose of: a. Pole foundations; b. Backfilled trenches; or c. Installation of services by trenchless methods such as directional drilling; or Earthworks that are or will be subject to a building consent and occur within 2m of the outer edge of the exterior wall of the building
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.667	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support in part	Standards appear to be best practice however, as with NC rules below, the restriction in the general rule condition 1 may render the PA rule EW-R2 for maintenance associated with local road networks unusable.	As per below, clarification around application of the rules to typical Council infrastructure activities is needed. Amend R1.1 to include "d. These are earthworks including stockpiles required for network utility or critical infrastructure maintenance, operation, repair, upgrade, or installation of new network utilities including public roads
Fuel Companies (S613)	S613.010	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	Would like the maintenance and replacement and removal of underground petroleum storage tanks exempt	Amend Rule EW-R1 as follows: All Permitted activities must comply with the following relevant standards. 1. Earthworks must not exceed a maximum depth or height above ground level of 1.5m measured vertically within 1.5m of a boundary except where these are for the maintenance, removal or replacement of an underground

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						<p>petroleum storage tank or are undertaken by a network utility operator for the purpose of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Pole foundations; Backfilled trenches; or Installation of services by trenchless methods such as directional drilling; <p>2. All imported fill must consist of cleanfill material;</p> <p>3. Erosion and sediment control measures must be put in place designed, installed and maintained for the duration of earthworks to avoid minimise sediment run-off from earthworks activities entering a Council reticulated network or into waterbodies.</p>
Snodgrass Road submitters (S619)	S619.051	Earthworks	EW - R1	Amend	The purpose of flood hazard protection works is to divert overland flow and it will be impossible to demonstrate that some of the diverted water will not end up on a neighbouring property.	Exempt earthworks for flood hazard protection works from needing to comply with Rule EW-R1(4).
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu, Te Runanga o Ngati Waewae, Te Runanga o Makaawhio (S620)	S620.209	Earthworks	EW - R1	Support	see submission point on EW - P3	Retain (7) as notified
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.508	Earthworks	EW - R2	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Manawa Energy Limited (Manawa Energy) (S438)	S438.121	Earthworks	EW - R2	Not Stated	Earthworks are a critical component of the number of repair, maintenance, operation, upgrading and establishment of renewable electricity generation activities undertaken by Manawa. The earthworks chapter is the primary control over the activity of earthworks across the region, and accordingly Manawa	Amend EW - R2(d) as follows: Where: 1. All standards in Rule EW - R1 are complied with; and 2. These earthworks are: a) ... b) c) ...

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					requests that specific provision is made for activities that it undertakes.	d) These are earthworks including stockpiles required for repair, maintenance, operation, upgrading and establishment of network utility or critical regionally significant infrastructure maintenance, operation, repair, upgrade, or installation of new network utilities including public roads; or e) ...
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.083	Earthworks	EW - R2	Support	The permitted activity status of earthworks including stockpiles required for critical infrastructure maintenance, operation, repair, upgrade or installation is supported by KiwiRail.	Retain as proposed
Margaret Montgomery (S446)	S446.077	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	EW - R2 - 2e Most swimming pools will fail the vertical alteration standard... EW - R2 - 2f Same as above the depth of a grave is usually beyond 1.5m	Ensure graves and swimming pools are exempt from standards.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.150	Earthworks	EW - R2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it provides for earthworks associated with natural hazard mitigation structures when undertaken by a statutory agency to be a permitted activity. However, clarification is sought on what is defined as a statutory agency.	Provide a definition for statutory agency.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.048	Earthworks	EW - R2	Support	Provision for cultivation in the National Grid Yard is supported.	Retain EW-R2 2) i)
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.078	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be assessed.	Amend: EW - R2 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities - All Zones
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.089	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	I believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.034	Earthworks	EW - R2	Oppose	Rule EW - R2 includes provision for permitted earthworks across all zones for a small number of specifically listed	Review the general permitted activity earthworks rules to ensure that all activities that comply with the relevant standards can

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					activities only. Rules EW - R3 - R6 outline additional permitted earthworks across the respective District Plan zones. The effect of Rule EW - R2 appears to be that earthworks for activities which are not specifically identified would require consent, regardless of how minor / small-scale these earthworks are, including where they meet the permitted activity standards in Rule EW - R1. Similarly, earthworks for activities which are permitted in Rules EW R3 - R6 may require consent under Rule EW - R2. This does not reflect an 'effects-based' approach as anticipated by the RMA.	proceed on a permitted activity basis i.e. not just the activities that are specifically identified. Delete Rule EW - R2 and include the standards in Rule EW - R2 in the other earthworks rules as appropriate.
Straterra (S536)	S536.050	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	For avoidance of doubt mineral extraction should be included in this list as earthworks are a large part of mineral extraction.	Add, j "mineral extraction and associated activities"
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.315	Earthworks	EW - R2	Oppose in part	As a general comment, it is noted that there are earthwork limits mentioned in other chapters of the plan e.g. CE-R7.2 limits earthworks to 250m ² /ha and 250m ³ /ha in High Coastal Natural Character areas and NFL-R6(3)(b) limits earthworks to 500m ³ within ONLFs; these limits are not referenced in the Earthworks rules. Council is concerned that this could result in confusion and/or plan users inadvertently missing additional earthworks controls. It is noted that other recently proposed plans include maximum earthworks threshold tables and this could be a helpful addition to this chapter to ensure all earthwork controls are summarised according to zone or overlay requirements.	Give consideration to including an earthworks threshold table that sets out limits for the respective zones and overlays.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Martin & Lisa Kennedy (S545)	S545.010	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	While we understand the need for rules we do not understand why the existing rules have not just been reinstated.	Replace the rules with those developed in the operative Grey District Plan with regard to National Grid matters.
Nick Pupich Sandy Jefferies (S546)	S546.010	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	While we understand the need for rules we do not understand why the existing rules have not just been reinstated.	Replace the rules with those developed in the operative Grey District Plan with regard to National Grid matters
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.469	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Minor amendment for consistency of wording.	Amend d. These are earthworks including stockpiles required for network utility, including energy activities and infrastructure , or critical infrastructure ... of new network utilities, including energy activities and infrastructure , and public roads.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.306	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.319	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Permitted activity status on the basis of "approval" needs to be consistent with a lawful authorization under the RMA.	Clarify the meaning of: "approved subdivision" "approved access" "approved well or bore".
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.079	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.082	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	We believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.090	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.093	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.306	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.369	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.306	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.069	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.083	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R2 as follows: EW - R2 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities - All Zones
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.064	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed	Amend EW - R2 as follows: EW - R2 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities - All Zones
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.042	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R2 as follows: EW - R2 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities - All Zones
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.057	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R2 as follows: EW - R2 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities - All Zones
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.043	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	This rule would apply to minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting	Amend EW - R2 as follows: EW - R2 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities - All Zones
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.041	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities	Amend EW - R2 as follows: EW - R2 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities - All

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						Zones
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.668	Earthworks	EW - R2	Support	Standards are best practice however, as with NC rules below, the restriction in the general rule condition 1 may render the PA rule EW-R2 for maintenance associated with local road networks unusable.	As per below, clarification around application of the rules to typical Council infrastructure activities is needed.
Fuel Companies (S613)	S613.011	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	The Fuel Companies would like to see the maintenance and replacement and removal of underground petroleum storage tanks exempt from these earthworks requirements	j. These are earthworks associated with the removal, upgrade or replacement of an underground petroleum storage tank.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.114	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.116	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.114	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.116	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	Believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development
Snodgrass Road submitters (S619)	S619.052	Earthworks	EW - R2	Amend	There is also no rationale provided as to why natural hazard mitigation structures work constructed by a third party cannot be a permitted activity	Remove the requirement in Rule EW-R2(g) for natural hazard mitigation structures to be constructed by a statutory agency or authorized contractor.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.509	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Manawa Energy Limited (Manawa Energy) (S438)	S438.122	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	Manawa considers that the pTTPP contains excessive and overlapping requirements relating to earthworks - particularly as these apply to renewable electricity generation and regionally	Amend EW - R3 as follows: Activity Status Permitted Where: 1. All standards in Rule EW - R1 are complied with; and

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>significant infrastructure activities. It considers that any sufficient controls relating to earthworks are either covered by the ENG chapter or Rule EW - R2, and that compliance with the overlay chapters is excessive and unjustified, or in the alternative the requirements in the overlay chapters override those in the earthworks chapter rather than all the rules being cumulative.</p> <p>To ensure that consistent terminology is used throughout the pTTPP Manawa requests that the term 'community infrastructure' (which it notes is not defined in the pTTPP) be replaced with 'regionally significant infrastructure'.</p>	<p>2. These are ancillary earthworks for:</p> <p>i. A Permitted Activity, except that in the Rural Lifestyle Zone a maximum of 500m2/site of land is disturbed in any 12 month period; or</p> <p>ii. An Energy Renewable Electricity Generation Activity, Regionally Significant Infrastructure, Network Utility Operation or Transport Activity,</p> <p>3. Where the earthworks (other than those provided for in clause 2 above) are undertaken within an Overlay Chapter area these earthworks meet the Permitted Activity standards for the relevant Overlay chapter.</p>
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.151	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it provides for earthworks in the identified zoned if related to Network Utility Operation or for Transport Activities.	Retain as proposed.
Russell and Joanne Smith (S477)	S477.020	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	500m2 is insufficient for building platform and access on land which has been identified for subdivision and where existing residential dwellings in the subdivision is well established.	Remove 500m2 limit on earthworks in the RLZ . Alternatively, provide a more generous earthworks limit such as 2000m2
Tim Macfarlane (S482)	S482.020	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	500m2 is insufficient for building platform and access on land which has been identified for subdivision and where existing residential dwellings in the subdivision is well established.	Remove 500m2 limit on earthworks in the RLZ . Alternatively, provide a more generous earthworks limit such as 2000m2
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.049	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	Horticultural activities would be provided for in the GRUZ, so earthworks are permitted as long as the standards in EW-R1 are met. This is supported.	Retain EW-R3 as notified.
Bathurst Resources Limited and BT Mining Limited (S491)	S491.033	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	To be greater provision for earthworks where there is a functional or operational need.	Amend: Where: 1. ... 2. The activity is associated with a lawfully established activity, or ...

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Claire & John West (S506)	S506.020	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	500m2 is insufficient for building platform and access on land which has been identified for subdivision and where existing residential dwellings in the subdivision is well established.	Remove 500m2 limit on earthworks in the RLZ . Alternatively, provide a more generous earthworks limit such as 2000m2
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.090	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.091	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	I believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.095	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	Agricultural activities are permitted in the GRUZ so earthworks ancillary to agricultural activities are provided for as a permitted activity as long as standards in EW-R1 are met. This approach is supported.	Retain as notified
Lauren Nyhan Anthony Phillips (S533)	S533.020	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	500m2 is insufficient for building platform and access on land which has been identified for subdivision and where existing residential dwellings in the subdivision is well established.	Remove 500m2 limit on earthworks in the RLZ . Alternatively, provide a more generous earthworks limit such as 2000m2
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.316	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose in part	Clause 2(i) limits the area of earthworks within Rural Lifestyle Zone to a maximum of 500m ² per site in any 12 month period. This is considered too restrictive given the definition of earthworks is any alteration or disturbance of land and will capture access, building platforms and landscaping areas. Council seeks that adequate allowance is made for, among other activities, earthworks associated with access and building platforms and considers that a more reasonable area limit is 2500m ² .	Amend Rule 3 as follows: 2. These are ancillary earthworks for: (i) A Permitted Activity, except that in the Rural Lifestyle Zone a maximum of 500 2500 m ² /site of land is disturbed in any 12 month period; or
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.470	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	Consistency of wording in the Plan relating to the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport.	Amend item 2.ii.,ii. An Energy Activity, Infrastructure Activity or Transport Activity.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.308	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
Stewart & Catherine Nimmo (S559)	S559.020	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	500m2 is insufficient for building platform and access on land which has been identified for subdivision and where existing residential dwellings in the subdivision is well established.	Remove 500m2 limit on earthworks in the RLZ . Alternatively, provide a more generous earthworks limit such as 2000m2
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.320	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	Add a limit for earthworks in the NOSZ	b. Add to Rule EW - R3 the following conditions: "4. Where the earthworks are in the NOSZ they are: A maximum of 250m2/site of land is disturbed in any 12-month period; A maximum of 200m3 of material is transported off site in any 12-month period; and c. There is a maximum 1m change of existing ground level. "
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.321	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	See key Issues above for submission points on the use of the term 'Overlay Chapters' and 'Overlay' area	Replace term 'Overlay', or otherwise clarify in line with Key Issue above.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.080	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.081	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	We believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.091	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.092	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Joel and Jennifer Watkins (S565)	S565.033	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	500m2 is insufficient for building platform and access.	Remove 500m2 limit on earthworks in the RLZ .
Joel and Jennifer Watkins (S565)	S565.034	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend		Alternative relief: provide a more generous earthworks limit such as 2000m2

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.308	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.370	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.308	Earthworks	EW - R3	Support	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.070	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to be more enabling of development and provide more clarity.
Tim and Phaedra Robins (S579)	S579.029	Earthworks	EW - R3	Oppose	500m2 is insufficient	Remove 500m2 limit on earthworks in the RLZ .
Tim and Phaedra Robins (S579)	S579.030	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	500m2 is insufficient	Alternative relief, amend to provide a more generous earthworks limit such as 2000m2
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.171	Earthworks	EW - R3	Neutral	DOC is neutral in relation to these rules, on the basis that the amendments sought in DOC's other submission points regarding earthworks are made.	DOC is neutral with regards to: EW - R3 Earthworks in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone EW - R6 Earthworks in the BCZ - Buller Coalfield Zone and MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.115	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.117	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	We believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.115	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	Earthworks rules are difficult to understand in the way they are currently structured.	Amend to provide more clarity.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.117	Earthworks	EW - R3	Amend	We believe these rules are too restrictive.	Amend to be more enabling of development
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.510	Earthworks	EW - R4	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Margaret Montgomery (S446)	S446.078	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	EW-R4 - 4. If you meet the permitted standards - do you require a code of compliance for this standard/ how would you monitor this standard... 4c - is inconsistent with EW-R1 vertical alteration. Agree to the restricted discretionary matters.	Clarify how compliance is assessed for standard 4. Make 4c consistent with EW - R1. Retain escalation to Restricted Discretionary
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.152	Earthworks	EW - R4	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it provides for earthworks in the identified zoned if related to Network Utility Operation or for Transport Activities.	Retain as proposed.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.079	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be assessed.	Amend: Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ - Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.317	Earthworks	EW - R4	Oppose in part	Clause 4(a) limits the area of earthworks within the Residential, Neighborhood or Settlement Zone to a maximum of 250m ² per site in any 12 month period. This is considered too restrictive given the definition of earthworks is any alteration or disturbance of land and will capture access, building platforms and landscaping areas. Council seeks that adequate allowance is made for, among other activities, earthworks associated with access and building platforms and	Amend Rule 4 as follows: 4. Where earthworks are undertaken for any other activity: a. A maximum of 250 2500 m ² /site of land is disturbed in any 12 month period;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>considers that a more reasonable area limit is 2500m².</p> <p>Furthermore, it is noted that if a site has any particular natural values (e.g. coastal settlement) then this will still be subject to the respective overlay which may impose additional controls.</p>	
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.471	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	Consistency of wording in the Plan relating to the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport.	Amend 3. An Energy Activity, Infrastructure Activity or Transport Activity.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.322	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	See key Issues above for submission points on the use of the term 'Overlay Chapters' and 'Overlay' area	Replace term 'Overlay', or otherwise clarify in line with Key Issue above.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.084	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	Not considered necessary, because other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R4 as follows: Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ - Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone"
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.065	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed.	Amend EW - R4 as follows: Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ - Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.043	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R4 as follows: Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ - Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.058	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R4 as follows: Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ - Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.044	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	This rule would apply to minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting	Amend EW - R4 as follows: Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ - Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.042	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities	Amend EW - R4 as follows: Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone ...
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.086	Earthworks	EW - R4	Amend	Earthworks are an essential part of a building process	Amend Condition 4(a) changing 250m2 to 350m2. Condition 4(a) to read: "A maximum of 350m2 /site of land is disturbed in any 12 month period"
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.511	Earthworks	EW - R5	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.153	Earthworks	EW - R5	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it provides for earthworks in the identified zoned if related to Network Utility Operation or for Transport Activities.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.318	Earthworks	EW - R5	Oppose in part	Clause 4 limits the area of earthworks within Commercial and Mixed Use, Scenic Visitor, Hospital or Stadium Zones to a maximum of 1000m ² per site in any 12 month period. This is considered too restrictive when the definition of earthworks covers any alteration or disturbance of land. Council seeks that adequate allowance is made for earthworks associated with access and building platforms and considers that a more reasonable area limit is 2500m ² . If a site has any particular natural values, then this will still be subject to the respective overlay controls.	Amend Rule 5 as follows: 4. Where earthworks are undertaken for any other activity a maximum of 40002500m ² /site of land is disturbed in any 12 month period.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.472	Earthworks	EW - R5	Amend	Consistency of wording in the Plan relating to the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport.	Amend 3. An Energy Activity, Infrastructure Activity or Transport Activity.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.323	Earthworks	EW - R5	Amend	See key Issues above for submission points on the use of the term 'Overlay Chapters' and 'Overlay' area	Replace term 'Overlay', or otherwise clarify in line with Key Issue above.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.512	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.080	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	Control over earthworks separately is not considered necessary.	Delete EW - R6
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.092	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.319	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support	Rules 6 to 8 are supported.	Retain as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.473	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support	Appropriately provides for activities undertaken by Westpower.	Retain
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.309	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.324	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	For reasons set out with respect to the BCZ and MINZ that those zones are deleted.	Delete EW - R6
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.083	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support	We support this rule	Retain
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.094	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.309	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.371	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.309	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.071	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.085	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	not considered necessary, as the zone provisions adequately provide for consideration of these activities.	Delete EW - R6
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.066	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	The zone provisions adequately provide for consideration of these activities.	Delete EW - R6
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.172	Earthworks	EW - R6	Neutral	DOC is neutral in relation to these rules, on the basis that the amendments sought in DOC's other submission points regarding earthworks are made.	DOC is neutral with regards to: EW - R3 Earthworks in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone, RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone, any INZ - Industrial Zone, FUZ - Future Urban Zone, AIRPZ Airport Zone, any OSRZ - Open Space and Recreation Zone and the MPZ - Māori Purpose Zone EW - R6 Earthworks in the BCZ - Buller Coalfield Zone and MINZ - Mineral Extraction

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						Zone
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.044	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	The zone provisions adequately provide for consideration of these activities.	Delete EW - R6
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.059	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	The zone provisions adequately provide for consideration of these activities.	Delete EW - R6
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.045	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	Control over earthworks separately is not considered necessary	Delete EW - R6
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.043	Earthworks	EW - R6	Oppose	Not considered necessary, as the zone provisions adequately provide	Delete EW - R6
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.118	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.118	Earthworks	EW - R6	Support		Retain
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.093	Earthworks	Restricted Discretionary Activities	Support		Retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.084	Earthworks	Restricted Discretionary Activities	Support	We support these rules	Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.372	Earthworks	Restricted Discretionary Activities	Support		Retain
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu, Te Runanga o Ngati Waewae, Te Runanga o Makaawhio (S620)	S620.210	Earthworks	Restricted Discretionary Activities	Amend	Earthworks not only impact on cultural sites but other values important to Poutini Ngāi Tahu e.g. mahinga kai, cultural landscapes etc.	Amend to include the following wording as a matter of discretion for both EW -R7 and EW-R8: e) any adverse effects on landscape, amenity, Poutini Ngāi Tahu Values , water quality, cultural and heritage sites.....
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.513	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.050	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support	HortNZ supports a restricted discretionary activity rule for earthworks	Retain EW-R7 as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					in the National Grid Yard that do not meet EW-R2.	
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.320	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support	Rules 6 to 8 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.474	Earthworks	EW - R7	Amend	Limit the consideration to matters related to the National Grid Yard.	Delete e.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.475	Earthworks	EW - R7	Amend	To provide for consideration of the needs, constraints or requirement of the activity.	Add h. Any technical, locational, functional or operational constraints or requirements of the proposed activity.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.476	Earthworks	EW - R7	Amend	To consider the benefits arising from the proposed activity.	Add i. The benefits arising from the proposed new activity.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.325	Earthworks	EW - R7	Amend	Make it clear in these rules that any vegetation clearance associated with the earthworks must comply with the ECO chapter.	Make it clear in these two rules, by way of a condition, that: any vegetation clearance that is caused by the earthworks, or by the associated works (e.g., smothering by the excavated materials) must comply with the provisions of the ECO chapter.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.095	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.072	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support		Retain
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.119	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.119	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support		Retain
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.0562	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support		Retain matters of discretion.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New	S560.0563	Earthworks	EW - R7	Support		The advice note at the bottom of R8 should be amended in line with Key Issue above.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)						
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.514	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Manawa Energy Limited (Manawa Energy) (S438)	S438.123	Earthworks	EW - R8	Not Stated	Manawa seeks that the matters of which discretion is limited are excessive and include duplication. It seeks that changes are made to provide greater certainty to applicants and to provide clarity over the extent to which effects are relevant and can be considered.	Amend EW - R8 as follows: Discretion is restricted to: a. The impact of the earthworks on visual amenity, landscape character, outlook and privacy; b. Potential dust nuisance, sedimentation, land instability, contamination and erosion effects on surrounding land uses ; c. Effects that result from the stockpiling in terms of visual amenity, landscape context and character, views, outlook, overlooking and privacy; d. The effectiveness of proposed management or mitigation measures to minimise any potential adverse effects beyond the property boundary of the activity; e. Any changes to the patterns of surface drainage or subsoil drains that could result in a higher risk of drainage problems, inundation run-off, flooding, or raise the water table; f. The impact of earthworks on critical regionally significant infrastructure; g. The impact on the road network, of heavy vehicle and other vehicular traffic generated as a result of earthworks; h. Any adverse effects on landscape, amenity, natural features, water quality, cultural and heritage sites, biodiversity and habitat of indigenous flora and fauna, and the quality of the environment; i. The impact on stormwater infrastructure and any overland flow paths; and j. The impact on any natural hazards

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						infrastructure and the effectiveness of its operation.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.154	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support	Waka Kotahi is supportive of the rule as the discretion allows consideration of the impact of earthworks on critical infrastructure, the impact on the road network of any heavy vehicles or vehicular traffic generated, the impact on stormwater infrastructure and the impact on any natural hazard's infrastructure.	Retain as proposed.
New Zealand Coal & Carbon Limited (S472)	S472.023	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support in part		Retain the Restricted Discretionary activity status of EW-R8.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.081	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be assessed.	Amend EW - R8 as follows: EW - R8 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in any Zone not meeting Permitted Activity standards
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.321	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support	Rules 6 to 8 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.477	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	To provide for the managed approach intended in the rule.	Amend d. The effectiveness of proposed management or mitigation measures for adverse effects beyond the property boundary of the activity.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.478	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Consistency of wording with regard to reference to energy activities and infrastructure.	Amend g. The impact of earthworks on energy activities and infrastructure , including critical infrastructure.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.142	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Unless the neighbours agree there should be NO adverse effects on that neighbour.	a The impact on visual amenity, landscape and natural character, outlook and privacy; d The effectiveness of proposed management or mitigation measures to avoid minimise any potential or actual adverse effects beyond the property boundary of the activity; e Any changes to the patterns of surface drainage or subsoil drains that could result in

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						a higher risk of drainage problems, inundation run-off, flooding, or raise or lower the water table;
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.142	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Unless the neighbours agree there should be NO adverse effects on that neighbour.	a The impact on visual amenity, landscape and natural character, outlook and privacy; d The effectiveness of proposed management or mitigation measures to avoid minimise any potential or actual adverse effects beyond the property boundary of the activity; e Any changes to the patterns of surface drainage or subsoil drains that could result in a higher risk of drainage problems, inundation run-off, flooding, or raise or lower the water table;
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.326	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Make it clear in these rules that any vegetation clearance associated with the earthworks must comply with the ECO chapter.	Make it clear in these two rules, by way of a condition, that: any vegetation clearance that is caused by the earthworks, or by the associated works (e.g., smothering by the excavated materials) must comply with the provisions of the ECO chapter. Retain matters of discretion. The advice note at the bottom of R8 should be amended in line with Key Issue above.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.096	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.073	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support		Retain
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.086	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Because the definition of Earthworks does not specifically exclude minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting, this rule would apply to these activities	Amend EW - R8 as follows: EW - R8 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in any Zone not meeting Permitted Activity standards
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.067	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be	Amend EW - R8 as follows: EW - R8 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction,

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	exploration and prospecting activities in any Zone not meeting Permitted Activity standards
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.045	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R8 as follows: EW - R8 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in any Zone not meeting Permitted Activity standards
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.060	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide for these activities to be appropriately assessed without adding another rule.	Amend EW - R8 as follows: EW - R8 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in any Zone not meeting Permitted Activity standards
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.046	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	This rule would apply to extraction, exploration and prospecting	Amend EW - R8 as follows: EW - R8 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in any Zone not meeting Permitted Activity standards
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.044	Earthworks	EW - R8	Amend	Other provisions already adequately provide	Amend EW - R8 as follows: EW - R8 Earthworks excluding minerals extraction, exploration and prospecting activities in any Zone not meeting Permitted Activity standards
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.120	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.120	Earthworks	EW - R8	Support		Retain
West Coast Penguin Trust (S275)	S275.016	Light	LIGHT	Amend	There are benefits to wildlife, local tourism and community wellbeing.	Provide greater protection for West Coast dark skies and provide for the requirements of the Dark Skies Park Designation in specific areas for new builds and replacement work.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Suzanne Hills (S443)	S443.034	Light	LIGHT	Amend	West Coast dark skies are an asset with benefits to nature tourism, communities, wellbeing and indigenous species	Consider incorporating International Dark Skies park criteria for Barrytown Flats/Punakaiki.
Westland District Council (S181)	S181.029	Light	Light	Support	Westland District Council supports these Objectives, Policies and Rules	Retain the objectives, policies and rules
West Coast Penguin Trust (S275)	S275.009	Light	Light	Amend	TTPP is an opportunity for a step change and we encourage the consideration of greater protection for West Coast dark skies. The requirements of Dark Skies Park designation under International Dark Skies could be included in specific areas for new builds and replacement work. This, in combination with some behaviour change, could enable the future achievement of a Dark Sky Park designation. The Punakaiki/Barrytown Flats area with the presence of Westland petrel / taiko breeding colonies is an obvious candidate and a Dark Sky Park would offer a greater level of protection to this unique West Coast species as well as benefits to local tourism and community wellbeing.	Amend the provisions to support Dark Sky Park designation in the Punakaiki/Barrytown Flats area
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.327	Light	Light	Amend	The provisions of the chapter do not appropriately protect indigenous biodiversity. Artificial light can have significant adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Of particular note is the Westland Black Petrel, which mainly lives near the coast, and which is adversely impacted by activities that involve light. In particular, industrial activities that run around the clock and involve artificial lighting are detrimental to them.	Amend provisions of the chapter to protect indigenous biodiversity more appropriately.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.479	Light	Overview	Support	Provides a general overview of lighting and the intent of the section.	Retain
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.144	Light	Overview	Amend	There must be rules around the use of streetlights.it may adversely affect the amenity of neighbourhoods , neighbouring properties

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						and light sensitive areas; result in a reduction or loss loss or reduction of views of the night sky, cloud form and landscape views ; and potentially disturb wildlife
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.322	Light	Light Objectives	Support	Council supports the objectives and policies for Lighting	Retain as notified. Objectives LIGHT O1 & O2 Policies LIGHT P1 - P3
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.516	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support	We support this objective.	Retain objective.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.028	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support	Silver Fern Farms agrees with the recognition that outdoor lighting enable night-time work, rural productive activities, transportation and public health and safety, insofar as it relates to their activities	Retain as notified
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.155	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support	The objective is supported as it enables artificial outdoor lighting for transportation and public safety, which is required for the safe operation of the state highway for all modes of transport during night-time hours.	Retain as proposed.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.051	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support	Recognition of the need for outdoor lighting for rural production activities is supported as it is important for health and safety and security purposes.	Retain LIGHT-O1
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.094	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.096	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support in part	Recognition of the need for artificial lighting for rural productive activities is supported. Adequate lighting is essential for health and safety purposes. A definition is sought for rural production activities so the term in the objective should be consistent.	Amend LIGHT-O1 as follows: Change 'rural productive activities' to 'rural production activities' Night-time work, rural production productive activities, recreation activities, sport, entertainment activities, transportation and public health and safety are enabled through

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						artificial outdoor lighting
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.480	Light	LIGHT - O1	Amend	Should refer to energy activities as these may be required throughout the region.	Amend Artificial outdoor lighting enables ... entertainment activities, transport, energy activities and public health and safety."
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.145	Light	LIGHT - O1	Amend	O1 and O2 need to be separated out into pros and cons	Artificial outdoor lighting enables night-time work, rural productive activities, recreation activities, sport, entertainment activities, transportation and public health and safety, and maintains other amenity values within zones.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.145	Light	LIGHT - O1	Amend	1 O1 and O2 need to be separated out into pros and cons	Artificial outdoor lighting enables night-time work, rural productive activities, recreation activities, sport, entertainment activities, transportation and public health and safety, and maintains other amenity values within zones.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.311	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.085	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.097	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.311	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.373	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.311	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.074	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.669	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support	Support as the objective as it enables artificial outdoor lighting for transportation safety.	Retain as proposed.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.121	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.121	Light	LIGHT - O1	Support		Retain
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.517	Light	LIGHT - O2	Support	We strongly support this objective as it acknowledges the effects of artificial outdoor lighting on health and safety of people.	Retain objective.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.029	Light	LIGHT - O2	Oppose in part	Silver Fern Farms disagrees with the requirement to not affect views of the night sky in industrial zones, where substantial lighting commensurate with 24/7 operations is necessary. Silver Fern Farms questions how resource users will comply with the requirement to "...not adversely affect the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves".	Silver Fern Farms seeks changes to this objective and associated policies, to address these concerns, and to ensure that the protection of the night sky is limited to specifically identified areas, excluding industrial zones, or is only applied to areas specifically identified as 'intrinsically dark landscapes' (see LIGHT - P-3 below).
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.156	Light	LIGHT - O2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports that artificial lighting is located, designed, and operated to maintain the character and amenity values while not adversely affecting the safe operation of the transport network, which includes the state highway.	Retain as proposed.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.082	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	Not always possible while providing for operational lighting requirements.	Amend LIGHT - O2 as follows: Artificial outdoor lighting ..., the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky, the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.481	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	The outcome sought should be the management of such effects on these matters.	Amend Artificial lighting is located, operated and designed to maintain ensure that potential adverse effects on the character

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						and amenity values within zones , the health and safety of people, the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky, the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves are avoided, remedied or mitigated.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.146	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	Character is more likely to be adversely affected by night lighting rather than enhanced.	Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain and protect the character and amenity values within zones and will so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of people, or the safe operation of the transport network, it will protects views of the night sky and landscapes , the habitats, and species within habitats , and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves .
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.146	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	Character is more likely to be adversely affected by night lighting rather than enhanced.	Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain and protect the character and amenity values within zones and will so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of people, or the safe operation of the transport network, it will protects views of the night sky and landscapes , the habitats, and species within habitats , and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves .
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.328	Light	LIGHT - O2	Support		Retain
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.087	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	Protection of views of the night sky, which is not always possible while providing for operational lighting requirements.	Amend LIGHT - O2 as follows: Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain the character and amenity values within zones, so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						people, the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky , the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves.
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.068	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	There are only certain areas where there is a need to protect views of the night sky.	Amend LIGHT - O2 as follows: Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain the character and amenity values within zones, so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of people, the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky , the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves.
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.174	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	Amend Objective LIGHT-O2 so that light is managed so that it does not adversely affect the habitats and ecosystems of all native flora fauna, not just nocturnal species.	Amend: Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain the character and amenity values within zones, so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of people, the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky, the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna and the species themselves.
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.046	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	There are only certain areas where there is a need to protect views of the night sky	Amend LIGHT - O2 as follows: Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain the character and amenity values within zones, so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of people, the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky , the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.061	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	There are only certain areas where there is a need to protect views of the night sky	Amend LIGHT - O2 as follows: Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain the character and amenity values within zones, so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of people, the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky , the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves.
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.047	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	There are only certain areas where there is a need to protect the night sky,	Amend LIGHT - O2 as follows: Artificial outdoor lighting is located, designed and operated to maintain the character and amenity values within zones, so that it does not adversely affect the health and safety of people, the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky , the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves.
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.045	Light	LIGHT - O2	Amend	Not always possible while providing for operational lighting requirements.	Amend LIGHT - O2 as follows: Artificial outdoor lighting is located, ..., the safe operation of the transport network, protects views of the night sky , the habitats and ecosystems of nocturnal native fauna and the species themselves.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.098	Light	Light Policies	Support in part	The reference in LIGHT-P2 to 'artificial outdoor lighting' requires a corresponding definition.	Include a definition for 'artificial outdoor lighting' as: Any electrically-powered exterior lighting that emits directly into the outdoor environment'
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.323	Light	Light Policies	Support	Council supports the objectives and policies for Lighting	Retain as notified. Objectives LIGHT O1 & O2 Policies LIGHT P1 - P3
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.143	Light	Light Policies	Amend	Hard-standing or paved areas need to be considered as being of high reflectivity.	New policy: All fixed exterior lighting shall, as far as practicable, be aimed, adjusted and/or screened to direct lighting away

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						from the windows of habitable spaces of sensitive activities, other than residential units located in industrial zones, so that the obtrusive effects of glare on occupants are minimised.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.147	Light	Light Policies	Amend	A repeat of P 1. c	P1; b Maintains the character and amenity values of the zone P1; e Protects the health and well-being of people and ecosystems
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.143	Light	Light Policies	Amend	When considering reflectivity, hard-standing or paved areas need to be considered.	New policy: All fixed exterior lighting shall, as far as practicable, be aimed, adjusted and/or screened to direct lighting away from the windows of habitable spaces of sensitive activities, other than residential units located in industrial zones, so that the obtrusive effects of glare on occupants are minimised.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.147	Light	Light Policies	Amend	A repeat of P 1. c	P1; b Maintains the character and amenity values of the zone P1; e Protects the health and well-being of people and ecosystems
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.518	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support	We strongly support this policy as it provides for health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain policy.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.030	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	Silver Fern Farms supports the policy in principle as it provides for the ongoing use of outdoor lighting for existing operations. SFF opposes the requirement for outdoor lighting to maintain character and amenity values of surrounding areas noting that the council has proposed to rezone adjacent land for GRZ purposes. Existing and future operations of the	Retain policy as notified subject to the following amendment to sub-paragraph (b) b. Maintains the character and amenity values of the zone and surrounding area ;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					existing plant (including artificial outdoor lighting) will likely compromise the character and amenity values of the GRZ and will give rise to reverse sensitivity effects.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.157	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support	The policy is supported as it provides for the use of artificial lighting that supports the health and safety of people and communities, including road safety.	Retain as proposed.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.052	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support in part	There should be specific provision for rural production activities to implement LIGHT-O1.	Amend LIGHT-P1 by adding: f) Enables rural production activities.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.083	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	The wording of this policy is inconsistent with the corresponding objective.	Amend: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: a. ... ; d. Minimises sky glow and light spill; and e. Protects Minimises the adverse effects on the health and well-being of people and ecosystems.
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.095	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.097	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support in part	Primary sector activities require the use of artificial light for day-to-day operations. For instance, night light is required for dairy sheds where lights will likely be on before 7am, security (particularly around fuel storage), shearing sheds (when sheep are stored in sheds lights are left on overnight in wintering barns), and harvest and spraying (where machinery may be operating at any hour). Seasonal farming operations are not included in the definition of 'temporary activity' (enabled under LIGHT - P2), therefore enablement of agricultural operations is required under P1.	Amend LIGHT-P1: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: f. Enables rural production activities.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.482	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	Does not provide for locations throughout the region where lighting may be required.	Amend LIGHT-P1, "Provide for the use of ... a. ... b. avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						effects on the character and amenity values of ... c. ... d. ... e. avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on the health and wellbeing ... f. recognises the technical, location, functional or operation constraints or requirements of activities."
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.312	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.329	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support in part	Minor amendment required to broaden paragraph (e).	Include "and avoids adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity" at end of (e).
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.086	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.098	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.312	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.374	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.312	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.075	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.088	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	The wording of this policy is inconsistent with the corresponding objective.	Amend LIGHT - P1 as follows: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: a. Allows people and communities to enjoy and use sites and facilities during night time hours and contributes to the security and safety of private and public spaces;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						b. Maintains the character and amenity values of the zone and surrounding area; c. Supports the social, cultural, and economic wellbeing or health and safety of people and communities, including road safety; d. Minimises sky glow and light spill; and e. Protects Minimises the adverse effects on the health and well-being of people and ecosystems.
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.069	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	The wording is inconsistent with the corresponding objective.	Amend LIGHT - P1 as follows: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: a. Allows people and communities to enjoy and use sites and facilities during night time hours and contributes to the security and safety of private and public spaces; b. Maintains the character and amenity values of the zone and surrounding area; c. Supports the social, cultural, and economic wellbeing or health and safety of people and communities, including road safety; d. Minimises sky glow and light spill; and e. Protects Minimises the adverse effects on the health and well-being of people and ecosystems.
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.047	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	The wording of this policy is inconsistent with the corresponding objective.	Amend LIGHT - P1 as follows: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: a. ... and e. Protects Minimises the adverse effects on the health and well-being of people and ecosystems.
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.062	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	The wording of this policy is inconsistent with the corresponding objective.	Amend LIGHT - P1 as follows: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: a. Allows people and communities to enjoy and use sites and facilities during night time hours and contributes to the security and safety of private and public spaces; b. Maintains the character and amenity values of the zone and surrounding area; c. Supports the social,

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						cultural, and economic wellbeing or health and safety of people and communities, including road safety; d. Minimises sky glow and light spill; and e. Protects Minimises the adverse effects on the health and well-being of people and ecosystems.
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.048	Light	LIGHT - P1	Oppose in part	The wording is inconsistent	Amend LIGHT - P1 as follows: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: a. Allows people and communities to enjoy and use sites and facilities during night time hours and contributes to the security and safety of private and public spaces; b. Maintains the character and amenity values of the zone and surrounding area; c. Supports the social, cultural, and economic wellbeing or health and safety of people and communities, including road safety; d. Minimises sky glow and light spill; and e. Protects Minimises the adverse effects on the health and well-being of people and ecosystems.
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.046	Light	LIGHT - P1	Amend	The wording of this policy is inconsistent with the corresponding objective	Amend LIGHT - P1 as follows: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: ... e. Protects Minimises the adverse effects on the health and well-being of people and ecosystems.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.670	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support	Support as the policy enables artificial outdoor lighting for transportation and safety.	Retain as proposed.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.122	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.122	Light	LIGHT - P1	Support		Retain
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the	S190.519	Light	LIGHT - P2	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)						
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.158	Light	LIGHT - P2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it enables artificial outdoor lighting for the purpose of public health and safety, which allows for street lighting outside of daylight hours.	Retain as proposed.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.053	Light	LIGHT - P2	Support in part	HortNZ seeks that there is provision for use of outdoor lighting for rural production activities.	Amend LIGHT-P2 by adding to a) Of short duration outside daylight hours associated with temporary activities or rural production activities.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.084	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Not always necessary to protect these views and doing so could affect operational requirements.	Amend LIGHT - P2 as follows: Control the intensity, location and direction of any artificial outdoor lighting to: a. ... ; c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in areas of outstanding coastal natural character;
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.096	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.127	Light	LIGHT - P2	Support in part	Primary sector activities require the use of artificial light for day-to-day operations. For instance, night light is required for dairy sheds where lights will likely be on before 7am, security (particularly around fuel storage), shearing sheds (when sheep are stored in sheds lights are left on overnight in wintering barns), and harvest and spraying (where machinery may be operating at any hour). Seasonal farming operations are not included in the definition of 'temporary activity' (enabled under LIGHT - P2), therefore enablement of agricultural operations is required under P1.	Amend LIGHT-P1: Provide for the use of artificial outdoor lighting that: f. Enables rural production activities.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.313	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.330	Light	LIGHT - P2	Oppose	Light associated with temporary activities should not be enabled in all circumstances.	Delete a.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.087	Light	LIGHT - P2	Support in part	Should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.099	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.313	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.375	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.313	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.076	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	This policy should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.089	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	It is not always necessary to protect these views and doing so could affect operational requirements.	Amend LIGHT - P2 as follows: Control the intensity, location and direction of any artificial outdoor lighting to: a. Ensure that any artificial outdoor lighting avoids conflict with existing light

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						sensitive areas and uses; b. Internalise light spill within the site where the outdoor lighting is located; c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in areas of outstanding coastal natural character;
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.070	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	It is not always necessary to protect these views and doing so could affect operational requirements.	Amend LIGHT - P2 as follows: Control the intensity, location and direction of any artificial outdoor lighting to: a. Ensure that any artificial outdoor lighting avoids conflict with existing light sensitive areas and uses; b. Internalise light spill within the site where the outdoor lighting is located; c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in areas of outstanding coastal natural character;
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.048	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	It is not always necessary to protect these views and doing so could affect operational requirements.	Amend LIGHT - P2 as follows: Control the intensity, location and direction of any artificial outdoor lighting to: a. ... c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in areas of outstanding coastal natural character;
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.063	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	It is not always necessary to protect these views and doing so could affect operational requirements.	Amend LIGHT - P2 as follows: Control the intensity, location and direction of any artificial outdoor lighting to: a. Ensure that any artificial outdoor lighting avoids conflict with existing light sensitive areas and uses; b. Internalise light spill within the site where the outdoor lighting is located; c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in areas of outstanding coastal natural character;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.049	Light	LIGHT - P2	Oppose in part	It is not always necessary to protect these views and doing so could affect operational requirements.	Amend LIGHT - P2 as follows: Control the intensity, location and direction of any artificial outdoor lighting to: a. Ensure that any artificial outdoor lighting avoids conflict with existing light sensitive areas and uses; b. Internalise light spill within the site where the outdoor lighting is located; c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in areas of outstanding coastal natural character;
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.047	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	To align with the amendments sought to O2.	Amend LIGHT - P2 as follows: Control the intensity, location and direction of any artificial outdoor lighting to: ...; c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in ...
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.123	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Believe that this policy should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.123	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	Believe that this policy should extend to appropriate lighting of outdoor commercial/industrial activities.	Amend to include the enabling of artificial outdoor lighting that allows safe commercial and industrial activities.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.0559	Light	LIGHT - P2	Amend	B. should include some direction to avoid effects on indigenous biodiversity where possible.	Amend b. Artificial outdoor lighting for the purpose of emergency response or public health and safety, which complies with P2 as much as possible.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.520	Light	LIGHT - P3	Support	We strongly support this policy for minimising the adverse effects of artificial lighting, and ensuring that people and communities can still enjoy the night sky, as well as protecting habitats of species and fauna that are light sensitive.	Retain policy.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents	S441.031	Light	LIGHT - P3	Amend	Silver Fern Farms considers that the requirement of sub-clause (c) and sub-clause (e) could unduly restrict numerous	Silver Fern Farms seeks that the policy be amended as follows: [...]

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)					important industrial activities and industrial zones, particularly where industrial zones border the proposed rezoning of rural land to general residential zone. Artificial outdoor lighting is important for managing health and safety requirements for Site operations, as such, Silver Fern Farms consider a further sub-clause should be included to highlight the need for artificial outdoor lighting for health and safety purposes. Furthermore, existing and future operations of the existing plant (including artificial outdoor lighting) will likely compromise the character and amenity values of the proposed GRZ and GRUZ and will give rise to reverse sensitivity effects for the Plant.	c. Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes except in industrial zones; [...] Minimises adverse effects on the health and safety of people and communities in the surrounding area zone. Ensure that during the establishment of any new light sensitive areas or uses that conflict is avoided with existing activities that require artificial light for health and safety purposes. Silver Fern Farms also recommends that 'intrinsically dark landscapes' be mapped in the District Plan maps.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.148	Light	LIGHT - P3	Amend	Badly placed and directed streetlights can impact severely on peoples' enjoyment of life.	e. Minimizes adverse effects on amenity values , the health and , safety and enjoyment of people and communities in the surrounding area. f Minimizes light blindness caused by badly-directed light.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.148	Light	LIGHT - P3	Amend	Badly placed and directed streetlights can impact severely on peoples' enjoyment of life after dark.	e. Minimizes adverse effects on amenity values , the health and , safety and enjoyment of people and communities in the surrounding area. f. Minimizes light blindness caused by badly-directed light.
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.175	Light	LIGHT - P3	Amend	Amend Policy LIGHT-P3 so that light is managed so that it does not adversely affect the habitats and ecosystems of all native flora fauna.	Amend: Ensure that any artificial outdoor lighting avoids conflict with existing light sensitive areas and uses; Internalise light spill within the site where the outdoor lighting is located; Minimises adverse effects on views of the night sky and intrinsically dark landscapes including in areas of outstanding coastal natural character;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						Minimises adverse effects on the significant habitats of light sensitive native indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna and the species themselves; and Minimises adverse effects on the health and safety of people and communities in the surrounding area.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.0510	Light	LIGHT - P3	Support in part	<p>The policy seeks to control lighting to achieve certain outcomes. This intent is supported for items "b.". however (1) Item "a." seeks to "avoid conflict" with certain Light sensitive areas or uses which are undefined. It is submitted taking the intent of lighting provisions as a whole that it is the management of effects on these areas that is intended. The policy should be amended to reflect that.</p> <p>(2) To provide for the managed approach intended in the rule items "c.-e." should provide for management measures.</p> <p>(3) The policy should also recognise the constraints and requirements of activities needing to be sited in a range of locations throughout the region.</p>	<p>1) Amend LIGHT-P3, "Control the intensity, location ...</p> <p>a. ensure that adverse effects of any artificial outdoor lighting on light sensitive areas and uses are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>b. ...</p> <p>c. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on views ...</p> <p>d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the significant habitats ...</p> <p>e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the health and safety ...f. recognises the technical, location, functional or operation constraints or requirements of activities.".</p>
Westland District Council (S181)	S181.050	Light	Light Rules	Amend	Council on behalf of Hokitika Airport would like to raise concerns with laser light use within the Hokitika aircraft flight path	Introduce laser light displays reaching more than 50m, to be considered a permitted activity, but introduce a permitted standard stating that Council shall be provided proof of Airport management approval no less than 10 days in advance of the display.
Margaret Montgomery (S446)	S446.079	Light	Light Rules	Support	Agree in full	Retain as notified.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.149	Light	Light Rules	Amend	There needs to be rules around district council-controlled streetlights.	Includes district council-controlled streetlights.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.331	Light	Light Rules	Amend	The rules need to be amended to protect the fauna, in particular the Westland Black Petrel. Consent should be required for any industrial activity (e.g., mining, truck movements) outside of daylight hours in the coastal environment at least.	Amend: d. Minimises Avoids adverse effects on the significant habitats of light sensitive native fauna and the species themselves;
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.0557	Light	Light Rules	Amend	The rules need to be amended to protect the fauna, in particular the Westland Black Petrel.	Amend permitted activities to exclude any light sources that are in or near habitat of indigenous fauna, in particular the Westland Black Petrel. No overnight lighting in these areas should be permitted (see next submission point). These activities should require at least a discretionary consent.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.0558	Light	Light Rules	Amend	It is not clear that the rules adequately provide for the significant habitats of fauna.	Include new rules, or amend existing, to ensure that areas of significant biodiversity (including ones that aren't on Schedule Four), wherever they occur, are protected by the rules. Any artificial light at night in these areas should require consent.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.149	Light	Note:	Amend	There needs to be rules around district council-controlled streetlights.	Includes district council-controlled streetlights.
Rocky Mining Limited (S474)	S474.049	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend		amend so that appropriate Lux limits are applied in the Mineral Extraction, Open Space and Rural zones
Papahaua Resources Limited (S500)	S500.033	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend		amend so that appropriate Lux limits are applied in the Mineral Extraction, Open Space and Rural zones
Steve Croasdale (S516)	S516.097	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.088	Light	Permitted Activities	Oppose	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.100	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.376	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.521	Light	LIGHT - R1	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.032	Light	LIGHT - R1	Amend	Silver Fern Farms considers that sub-clause 3 does not recognise the existing essential operations which occur at the Plant (including artificial outdoor lighting) and submits that it cannot be realistically achieved at the boundary with the proposed adjoining zones GRUZ and surrounding GRZ.	Silver Fern Farms seeks that the policy be amended as follows: 3. Where an activity is located on a site which adjoins or is separated by a road from a different zone, the activity on the site must meet the relevant zone standards for light for the adjoining zone at the zone boundary, except for the GIZ located at no. 140 Kumara Junction Highway, Hokitika.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.159	Light	LIGHT - R1	Support in part	Waka Kotahi generally supports the rule as it ensures that any artificial outdoor lighting must be directed away from state highways or onto any oncoming traffic. However, it is unclear how this rule would apply to street lighting which is intended to be pointed towards roads to make them visible for road users. Albeit it is noted that the state highway network is covered by a designation, which permits such lighting to occur.	Amend the rule to provide clarification on how street lighting is intended to work with this rule.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.085	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	Requires the discretion of the relevant territorial authority to assess compliance.	Delete LIGHT - R1
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.324	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	Council considers the lighting rules are too prescriptive for the low level of development in the District and Region and the reference to hours in Rules 2, 3	Delete Rules 1 to 5 and insert the following: LIGHT - R1: Artificial Outdoor Lighting Associated with Roads and Pedestrian/Cycle Pathways

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>and 4 is confusing. A simpler rule framework is requested, based on the BDP that uses the 10 lux maximum light spill limit as this has proven to provide adequate control for light spill. However, Council recognises that higher levels of light spill around General Industrial Zones, Port Zones and Mixed Use Zones is appropriate given the lower standards of amenity associated with these zones.</p> <p>A definition of 'Outdoor Artificial Lighting' is also sought to clarify that the rules do not capture residential activities, along with an additional permitted activity rule for lighting associated with roads and shared pathways.</p> <p>Council considers lighting controls are not needed within Outstanding Natural Character Areas as the rule framework across the Chapters is such that any activity of scale will trigger the need for consent where the effects of lighting on natural character and wildlife values will be assessed.</p>	<p>Activity Status Permitted LIGHT - R2: Activities with Artificial Outdoor Lighting Activity Status Permitted Where: 1. The level of light overspill when measured at the notional boundary of any dwelling or building accommodating sensitive activities located within the General Rural Zone or Rural Lifestyle Zone does not exceed 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes); The level of light overspill when measured at a distance of 2m or greater from the boundary of any receiving site must not exceed: 20 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes) within the Mixed Use Zone, Port Zone and General Industrial Zone; and for all other zones 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes). Advice Note Lighting limits must be measured and assessed in accordance with Standard AS/NZS 4282- Control of the Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting.</p> <p>Consequential amendment to Rule 6 as follows: Artificial Outdoor Lighting within the Outstanding Coastal Natural Character Overlay and not meeting the Permitted Activity Standards.</p>
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.077	Light	LIGHT - R1	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.090	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	This rule requires the discretion of the relevant territorial authority to assess compliance, and is unnecessary	Delete LIGHT - R1

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.071	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	This rule requires the discretion of the relevant territorial authority to assess compliance.	Delete LIGHT - R1
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.176	Light	LIGHT - R1	Amend	Amend Rule LIGHT-R1 to include a standard to require that light is directed away from any adjoining and adjacent overlay areas. This will protect the significant values of these areas from light spill.	Amend: Where Activity Status is Permitted All artificial outdoor lighting must: Be directed so that light is emitted away from any adjoining and adjacent properties; Be directed so that light is emitted away from any adjoining and adjacent overlay areas ;Be directed so that light is emitted away from any state highway or arterial or principal roads, or any oncoming traffic; and Where an activity is located on a site which adjoins or is separated by a road from a different zone, the activity on the site must meet the relevant zone standards for light for the adjoining zone at the zone boundary....
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.049	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	Requires the discretion of the relevant territorial authority to assess compliance	Delete LIGHT - R1
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.064	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	This rule requires the discretion of the relevant territorial authority to assess compliance, and is unnecessary if compliance with the relevant zone rules is achieved.	Delete LIGHT - R1
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.050	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	Requires the discretion of the relevant territorial authority	Delete LIGHT - R1
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.048	Light	LIGHT - R1	Oppose	Requires the discretion of the relevant territorial authority to assess compliance	Delete LIGHT - R1
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.671	Light	LIGHT - R1	Support	Support as the rule requires lighting to be directed away from state highways, arterial or principal roads, oncoming traffic.	Retain as proposed.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.124	Light	LIGHT - R1	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.124	Light	LIGHT - R1	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.522	Light	LIGHT - R2	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.033	Light	LIGHT - R2	Oppose	Clause d. is overly restrictive in regards to where any potential effect needs to be managed. If bounded by a paddock for example, there would be no effect 2 m inside the boundary of the site.	Amend Clause d. as follows. The above standards a-c shall be measured 2m inside the boundary of any adjoining site or the closest window in the adjoining property, whichever is the closest to the light source. The maximum level of light spill from any site shall meet the above standards measured at the notional boundary of any dwelling or building accommodating a sensitive activity.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.160	Light	LIGHT - R2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it provides for appropriate lighting levels for the zones identified.	Retain as proposed.
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.135	Light	LIGHT - R2	Amend	The Minerals Extraction Zones should also allow for 10 lux spill 24 hours of the day.	Amend LIGHT - R2 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am - 10.00pm: 25 Lux; b. 10.00pm - 7.00am: 10 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone, and MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone ; and c. 10.00pm - 7am: 5 Lux in the TCZ - Town Centre, MUZ - Mixed Use, COMZ - Commercial, HOSZ - Hospital, STADZ - Stadium, AIRPZ - Airport and all INZ - Industrial Zones;
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.325	Light	LIGHT - R2	Oppose	Council considers the lighting rules are too prescriptive for the low level of development in the District and Region and the reference to hours in Rules 2, 3	Delete Rules 1 to 5 and insert the following: LIGHT - R1: Artificial Outdoor Lighting Associated with Roads and Pedestrian/Cycle Pathways

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>and 4 is confusing. A simpler rule framework is requested, based on the BDP that uses the 10 lux maximum light spill limit as this has proven to provide adequate control for light spill. However, Council recognises that higher levels of light spill around General Industrial Zones, Port Zones and Mixed Use Zones is appropriate given the lower standards of amenity associated with these zones.</p> <p>A definition of 'Outdoor Artificial Lighting' is also sought to clarify that the rules do not capture residential activities, along with an additional permitted activity rule for lighting associated with roads and shared pathways.</p> <p>Council considers lighting controls are not needed within Outstanding Natural Character Areas as the rule framework across the Chapters is such that any activity of scale will trigger the need for consent where the effects of lighting on natural character and wildlife values will be assessed.</p>	<p>Activity Status Permitted LIGHT - R2: Activities with Artificial Outdoor Lighting Activity Status Permitted Where:</p> <p>1. The level of light overspill when measured at the notional boundary of any dwelling or building accommodating sensitive activities located within the General Rural Zone or Rural Lifestyle Zone does not exceed 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes); The level of light overspill when measured at a distance of 2m or greater from the boundary of any receiving site must not exceed: 20 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes) within the Mixed Use Zone, Port Zone and General Industrial Zone; and for all other zones 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes).</p> <p>Advice Note Lighting limits must be measured and assessed in accordance with Standard AS/NZS 4282- Control of the Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting.</p> <p>Consequential amendment to Rule 6 as follows: Artificial Outdoor Lighting within the Outstanding Coastal Natural Character Overlay and not meeting the Permitted Activity Standards.</p>
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.078	Light	LIGHT - R2	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.091	Light	LIGHT - R2	Amend	This rule has a particularly restrictive Lux limit for lighting after 10pm in industrial zones, which is considered inappropriate.	Amend LIGHT - R2 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						<p>exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels:</p> <p>a. 7.00am - 10.00pm: 25 Lux;</p> <p>b. 10.00pm - 7.00am: 10 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone; and</p> <p>c. 10.00pm - 7am: 5 Lux in the TCZ - Town Centre, MUZ - Mixed Use, COMZ - Commercial, HOSZ - Hospital, STADZ - Stadium, AIRPZ - Airport and all INZ - Industrial Zones;</p> <p>d. All hours - 25 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone</p>
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.072	Light	LIGHT - R2	Oppose	A particularly restrictive Lux limit after 10pm in industrial zones, which is considered inappropriate.	Amend LIGHT - R2 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am - 10.00pm: 25 Lux; b. 10.00pm - 7.00am: 10 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone, and MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone ; and c. 10.00pm - 7am: 5 Lux in the TCZ - Town Centre, MUZ - Mixed Use, COMZ - Commercial, HOSZ - Hospital, STADZ - Stadium, AIRPZ - Airport and all INZ - Industrial Zones;
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.050	Light	LIGHT - R2	Oppose	Particularly restrictive Lux limit after 10pm in industrial zones, which is considered inappropriate.	Amend LIGHT - R2 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am - 10.00pm: 25 Lux; b. 10.00pm - 7.00am: 10 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone, and MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone ; and c. 10.00pm - 7am: 5 Lux in the TCZ - Town Centre, MUZ - Mixed Use, COMZ - Commercial, HOSZ - Hospital, STADZ - Stadium, AIRPZ - Airport and all INZ - Industrial Zones;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.065	Light	LIGHT - R2	Amend	Particularly restrictive which is considered inappropriate	Amend LIGHT - R2 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am - 10.00pm: 25 Lux; b. 10.00pm - 7.00am: 10 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone, and MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone ; and c. 10.00pm - 7am: 5 Lux in the TCZ - Town Centre, MUZ - Mixed Use, COMZ - Commercial, HOSZ - Hospital, STADZ - Stadium, AIRPZ - Airport and all INZ - Industrial Zones;
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.051	Light	LIGHT - R2	Amend	Particularly restrictive Lux limit for lighting after 10pm	Amend LIGHT - R2 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am - 10.00pm: 25 Lux; b. 10.00pm - 7.00am: 10 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone, and MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone ; and c. ...
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.049	Light	LIGHT - R2	Oppose	This rule has a particularly restrictive Lux limit for lighting after 10pm in industrial zones	Amend LIGHT - R2 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am - 10.00pm: 25 Lux; b. 10.00pm - 7.00am: 10 Lux in the PORTZ - Port Zone, and MINZ - Mineral Extraction Zone ; and c. 10.00pm - 7am: 5 Lux in the TCZ - Town Centre, MUZ - Mixed Use, COMZ - Commercial, HOSZ - Hospital, STADZ - Stadium, AIRPZ - Airport and all INZ - Industrial Zones;
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.125	Light	LIGHT - R2	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.125	Light	LIGHT - R2	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.523	Light	LIGHT - R3	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.161	Light	LIGHT - R3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule and the requirements for artificial outdoor lighting listed under R3.2.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.326	Light	LIGHT - R3	Oppose	<p>Council considers the lighting rules are too prescriptive for the low level of development in the District and Region and the reference to hours in Rules 2, 3 and 4 is confusing. A simpler rule framework is requested, based on the BDP that uses the 10 lux maximum light spill limit as this has proven to provide adequate control for light spill. However, Council recognises that higher levels of light spill around General Industrial Zones, Port Zones and Mixed Use Zones is appropriate given the lower standards of amenity associated with these zones.</p> <p>A definition of 'Outdoor Artificial Lighting' is also sought to clarify that the rules do not capture residential activities, along with an additional permitted activity rule for lighting associated with roads and shared pathways.</p> <p>Council considers lighting controls are not needed within Outstanding Natural Character Areas as the rule framework across the Chapters is such that any activity of scale will trigger the need for consent where the effects of lighting on natural character and wildlife values will be assessed.</p>	<p>Delete Rules 1 to 5 and insert the following:</p> <p>LIGHT - R1: Artificial Outdoor Lighting Associated with Roads and Pedestrian/Cycle Pathways Activity Status Permitted</p> <p>LIGHT - R2: Activities with Artificial Outdoor Lighting Activity Status Permitted Where:</p> <p>1. The level of light overspill when measured at the notional boundary of any dwelling or building accommodating sensitive activities located within the General Rural Zone or Rural Lifestyle Zone does not exceed 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes); The level of light overspill when measured at a distance of 2m or greater from the boundary of any receiving site must not exceed: 20 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes) within the Mixed Use Zone, Port Zone and General Industrial Zone; and for all other zones 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes).</p> <p>Advice Note Lighting limits must be measured and assessed in accordance with Standard AS/NZS 4282- Control of the Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting.</p> <p>Consequential amendment to Rule 6 as follows:</p>

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						Artificial Outdoor Lighting within the Outstanding Coastal Natural Character Overlay and not meeting the Permitted Activity Standards.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.150	Light	LIGHT - R3	Amend	2200K lighting is a standard that should be adhered to in light-sensitive areas.	2 b. Have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 2200K 3000K (warm white);
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.150	Light	LIGHT - R3	Amend	Tekapo has installed 2200K lighting to prevent light pollution of the night sky.	2 b. Have a colour corrected temperature of no greater than 2200K 3000K (warm white);
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.079	Light	LIGHT - R3	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.092	Light	LIGHT - R3	Amend	This rule requires adherence to a very restrictive lux limit when a site is within the Outstanding Coastal Natural Character overlay.	Amend LIGHT - R3 as follows: Artificial Outdoor Lighting in the NOSZ - Natural Open Space Zone, SETZ - PREC 2 - Settlement Zone - Coastal Settlement Precinct, and in All Zones where the site falls lighting is located within the Outstanding Coastal Natural Character Overlay"
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.177	Light	LIGHT - R3	Amend	Amend the heading of Rule LIGHT-R3 so that it also applies to Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Outstanding Natural Features as these contain significant landscape, amenity and natural character values that can be adversely affected by light spill, and specifically exclude conservation activities from the rule.	Amend: LIGHT - R3 Artificial Outdoor Lighting in the NOSZ - Natural Open Space Zone, SETZ - PREC 2 - Settlement Zone - Coastal Settlement Precinct, and in All Zones where the site falls within the Outstanding Coastal Natural Character Overlay, Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay and Outstanding Natural Features Overlay, excluding conservation activities undertaken by the Department of Conservation.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.126	Light	LIGHT - R3	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.126	Light	LIGHT - R3	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.524	Light	LIGHT - R4	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
John Brazil (S360)	S360.057	Light	LIGHT - R4	Oppose in part	rules relating to light need to be amended before this rule is acceptable.	Amend to be more enabling of development.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.162	Light	LIGHT - R4	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this rule as it provides for appropriate levels of Lux in the specified timeframes.	Retain as proposed.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.054	Light	LIGHT - R4	Oppose in part	Outdoor lighting in the GRUZ is managed by LIGHT-R4. This sets standard of 10lux 7am - 10pm and 2 lux 10pm - 7am. This could limit night time harvesting activities and night loading of produce.	Amend LIGHT-R4 1b) to 5 lux
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.087	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	A limit which is consistent with the current plans generally is sought.	Amend LIGHT - R4 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am – 10.00pm: 10 Lux; and b. 10.00pm – 7.00am: 2 Lux;
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.099	Light	LIGHT - R4	Oppose	LIGHT-R4 sets a lux level for the rural zones of 10 lux 7am - 10pm and 2 lux 10pm - 7am as a permitted activity. This level is not practical from a farming perspective - a much higher lux level; is required for health and safety purposes, to waken the cows and security for farm workers. There is no analysis or explanation in the s32 Report to justify why these levels have been chosen. The standard is to be measured at the boundary of any adjoining site or the window closest to the light source. Where rural residential development has been	Amend LIGHT-R4 to exclude the RURZ

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>allowed in the rural area this could preclude legally established rural production activities.</p> <p>Further, this does not achieve the outcome of proposed LIGHT - O1 which identifies that rural production activity is enabled through artificial lighting.</p>	
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.327	Light	LIGHT - R4	Oppose	<p>Council considers the lighting rules are too prescriptive for the low level of development in the District and Region and the reference to hours in Rules 2, 3 and 4 is confusing. A simpler rule framework is requested, based on the BDP that uses the 10 lux maximum light spill limit as this has proven to provide adequate control for light spill. However, Council recognises that higher levels of light spill around General Industrial Zones, Port Zones and Mixed Use Zones is appropriate given the lower standards of amenity associated with these zones.</p> <p>A definition of 'Outdoor Artificial Lighting' is also sought to clarify that the rules do not capture residential activities, along with an additional permitted activity rule for lighting associated with roads and shared pathways.</p> <p>Council considers lighting controls are not needed within Outstanding Natural Character Areas as the rule framework across the Chapters is such that any activity of scale will trigger the need for consent where the effects of lighting on natural character and wildlife values will be assessed.</p>	<p>Delete Rules 1 to 5 and insert the following:</p> <p>LIGHT - R1: Artificial Outdoor Lighting Associated with Roads and Pedestrian/Cycle Pathways Activity Status Permitted</p> <p>LIGHT - R2: Activities with Artificial Outdoor Lighting Activity Status Permitted Where:</p> <p>1. The level of light overspill when measured at the notional boundary of any dwelling or building accommodating sensitive activities located within the General Rural Zone or Rural Lifestyle Zone does not exceed 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes); The level of light overspill when measured at a distance of 2m or greater from the boundary of any receiving site must not exceed: 20 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes) within the Mixed Use Zone, Port Zone and General Industrial Zone; and for all other zones 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes).</p> <p>Advice Note Lighting limits must be measured and assessed in accordance with Standard AS/NZS 4282- Control of the Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting.</p> <p>Consequential amendment to Rule 6 as follows:</p> <p>Artificial Outdoor Lighting within the</p>

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						Outstanding Coastal Natural Character Overlay and not meeting the Permitted Activity Standards.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.483	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	To provide for the managed approach should provide for management measures.	Amend: Control the intensity, location ... a. ensure that adverse effects of any artificial outdoor lighting on light sensitive areas and uses are avoided, remedied or mitigated. ... c. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on views ... d. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the significant habitats ... e. avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the health and safety ... f. recognises the technical, location, functional or operation constraints or requirements of activities.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.151	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	The intensity should be measured at the boundary, not inside the boundary.	c. The above standards a-b shall be measured at 2m inside the boundary
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.151	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	The intensity should be measured at the boundary, not inside the boundary.	c. The above standards a-b shall be measured at 2m inside the boundary
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.080	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.093	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	This rule introduces a very stringent lux limit in excess of what is required by the current operative District Plans.	Amend LIGHT - R4 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am – 10.00pm: 10 Lux; and b. 10.00pm – 7.00am: 2 Lux;"
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.073	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	A limit which is consistent with the current plans generally is sought.	Amend LIGHT - R4 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						7.00am – 10.00pm: 10 Lux; and b. 10.00pm – 7.00am: 2 Lux;
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.178	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	Amend the heading of Rule LIGHT-R4 to make it explicit that it applies to all zones and overlays not provided for in Rules LIGHT-R2 and LIGHT-R3.	Amend: LIGHT - R4 Artificial Outdoor Lighting in locations, zones, and overlays not provided for in Rule LIGHT - R2 or LIGHT - R3 Activity Status Permitted...
BRM Developments Limited (S603)	S603.051	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	Should not be more stringent than this in areas that are not considered particularly sensitive to lighting.	Amend LIGHT - R4 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am – 10.00pm: 10 Lux; and b. 10.00pm – 7.00am: 2 Lux;
Birchfield Ross Mining Limited (S604)	S604.066	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	Should not be more stringent in areas that are not considered particularly sensitive to lighting.	Amend LIGHT - R4 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am – 10.00pm: 10 Lux; and b. 10.00pm – 7.00am: 2 Lux;
Phoenix Minerals Limited (S606)	S606.052	Light	LIGHT - R4	Oppose in part	This rule introduces a very stringent lux limit for night time lighting	Amend LIGHT - R4 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am – 10.00pm: 10 Lux; and b. 10.00pm – 7.00am: 2 Lux;
Whyte Gold Limited (S607)	S607.050	Light	LIGHT - R4	Oppose in part	The Proposed Plan should not be more stringent than this in areas that are not considered particularly sensitive to lighting	Amend LIGHT - R4 as follows: Where: 1. Outdoor artificial lighting must not exceed the following vertical or horizontal light levels: a. 7.00am – 10.00pm: 10 Lux; and b. 10.00pm – 7.00am: 2 Lux;
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.127	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.127	Light	LIGHT - R4	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.525	Light	LIGHT - R5	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.163	Light	LIGHT - R5	Support	The rule is supported by Waka Kotahi as it provides for the consideration of effects on the transport networks as a matter of discretion.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.328	Light	LIGHT - R5	Oppose	<p>Council considers the lighting rules are too prescriptive for the low level of development in the District and Region and the reference to hours in Rules 2, 3 and 4 is confusing. A simpler rule framework is requested, based on the BDP that uses the 10 lux maximum light spill limit as this has proven to provide adequate control for light spill. However, Council recognises that higher levels of light spill around General Industrial Zones, Port Zones and Mixed Use Zones is appropriate given the lower standards of amenity associated with these zones.</p> <p>A definition of 'Outdoor Artificial Lighting' is also sought to clarify that the rules do not capture residential activities, along with an additional permitted activity rule for lighting associated with roads and shared pathways.</p> <p>Council considers lighting controls are not needed within Outstanding Natural Character Areas as the rule framework across the Chapters is such that any activity of scale will trigger the need for consent where the effects of lighting on natural character and wildlife values will be assessed.</p>	<p>Delete Rules 1 to 5 and insert the following: LIGHT - R1: Artificial Outdoor Lighting Associated with Roads and Pedestrian/Cycle Pathways Activity Status Permitted LIGHT - R2: Activities with Artificial Outdoor Lighting Activity Status Permitted Where: 1. The level of light overspill when measured at the notional boundary of any dwelling or building accommodating sensitive activities located within the General Rural Zone or Rural Lifestyle Zone does not exceed 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes); The level of light overspill when measured at a distance of 2m or greater from the boundary of any receiving site must not exceed: 20 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes) within the Mixed Use Zone, Port Zone and General Industrial Zone; and for all other zones 10 lux (in both the horizontal and vertical planes). Advice Note Lighting limits must be measured and assessed in accordance with Standard AS/NZS 4282- Control of the Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting.</p> <p>Consequential amendment to Rule 6 as</p>

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						follows: Artificial Outdoor Lighting within the Outstanding Coastal Natural Character Overlay and not meeting the Permitted Activity Standards.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.484	Light	LIGHT - R5	Amend	Consideration of constraints and/or requirements of activities.	Add i. The technical, locational, functional or operational constraints and/or requirements of the activity.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.152	Light	LIGHT - R5	Amend	possibly visual amenity includes people and neighbourhoods	c Effects on visual amenity; people and neighbourhoods.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.152	Light	LIGHT - R5	Amend	possibly visual amenity includes people and neighbourhoods	c Effects on visual amenity; people and neighbourhoods.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.315	Light	LIGHT - R5	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.315	Light	LIGHT - R5	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.377	Light	LIGHT - R5	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.315	Light	LIGHT - R5	Support		Retain
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.672	Light	LIGHT - R5	Support	Support as the rule provides for the consideration of the effects on the transport networks as a matter of discretion.	Retain as proposed
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.526	Light	LIGHT - R6	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.316	Light	LIGHT - R6	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.317	Light	LIGHT - R6	Amend	Very restrictive for existing activities that may need to alter their lighting patterns.	Amend to be more enabling when in relation to existing users.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.316	Light	LIGHT - R6	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.317	Light	LIGHT - R6	Amend	Very restrictive for existing activities that may need to alter their lighting patterns.	Amend to be more enabling when in relation to existing users.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.378	Light	LIGHT - R6	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.379	Light	LIGHT - R6	Amend	Very restrictive for existing activities that may need to alter their lighting patterns.	Amend to be more enabling when in relation to existing users.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.316	Light	LIGHT - R6	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.317	Light	LIGHT - R6	Amend	Very restrictive for existing activities that may need to alter their lighting patterns.	Amend to be more enabling when in relation to existing users.
Christine Robertson (S99)	S99.001	Noise	NOISE	Support in part	Unclear why Greymouth needs to be included in Noise Overlay as more houses have been built in the new area but not recognised in the map. I understand and accept that emergency type aircraft noise cannot be objected to.	I would like the council to exclude the Greymouth runway from the proposed noise zone extension.
Westland District Council (S181)	S181.030	Noise	Noise	Support	Westland District Council supports these Objectives, Policies and Rules	Retain the objectives, policies and rules
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.034	Noise	Noise	Amend	Silver Fern Farms considers that this overview is important to alert the community to the nuisance effects that can arise when people choose to live in areas adjacent to industrial environments; however, they consider that 'meat processing plants' should also be captured here. The objectives and/or associated policies of this chapter should make it clear that sensitive activities located on land adjacent to/near	Given the contradicting aims of this overview and the rezoning proposal, Silver Fern Farms therefore requests that the proposed rezoning of Rural Zone land into GRZ and MRZ adjacent to the Plant is removed from the Proposed District Plan. Also include the following amendments: Noise - Ngā Oro Overview [...] Where noise sensitive activities are

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					industrial activities must hold different amenity expectations to those that would attach to land in urban residential zones. This overview highlights and summarises Silver Fern Farms' concerns around the reverse sensitivity effects which would very likely be created if the land adjacent to the Plant is converted to GRUZ, GRZ, and MRZ through the proposed rezoning. Silver Fern Farm notes, that the proposed rezoning of the aforementioned land is in direct conflict with this overview.	established near existing noise-generating activities, or areas where higher noise levels are to be expected, reverse sensitivity effects can arise, potentially resulting in the existing noise-generating activities being constrained, in terms of their ongoing operation or expansion. This is a particular concern for important services and community facilities, including Airports and Heliports, Sports Grounds and Stadiums, the State Highway, Railway Corridors, meat processing plants and the Ports, which could be constrained if reverse sensitivity effects arise. [...]
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.088	Noise	Noise	Amend	KiwiRail seeks the inclusion of noise and vibration controls requiring acoustic insulation and ventilation to be installed in new (or altered) sensitive uses within 100m of the railway corridor. Noise and Vibration from rail corridors can potentially give rise to adverse health and amenity effects on noise sensitive activities located nearby if not properly addressed and provided for. The proposed standard provides options for developers in achieving an appropriate level of amenity for residents who live within 100m of the rail corridor. The rail network is a 24 hour a day, seven day a week operation, and the frequency, length and weight of trains can change without community consultation. Noise and vibration can have an impact on the internal amenity of a building. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is pivotal to ensure	Include noise, vibration and mechanical ventilation standards provided in Appendix A.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network. Rail activities not only generate noise, but also vibration effects. KiwiRail seek amendment to require acoustic and vibration treatment for sensitive activities within identified corridors adjacent to the railway networks to ensure an appropriate level of internal amenity is achieved in buildings adjacent to the rail corridor. The proposed standard includes the requirement for feedback form KiwiRail. As the railway and network utility operator, KiwiRail's feedback about any effects of non-compliance is required to ensure that any proposed mitigation is appropriate. KiwiRail also seeks controls within 60m of the railway corridor, for buildings containing new (or altered) sensitive uses to be constructed to manage the impacts of vibration. These controls are important to ensure new development is undertaken in a way that achieves a healthy living environment for people locating within proximity to the railway corridor, minimising the potential for complaints about the effects of the railway network.	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird) (S560)	S560.332	Noise	Noise	Amend	The provisions of the chapter fail to appropriately address the effects of noise on indigenous biodiversity, and the need to manage those effects.	Include provisions in the Overview, Objectives, Policies and Rules that recognise and provide for the need to protect indigenous biodiversity from adverse effects caused by noise.
Westport Pistol Club (S336)	S336.008	Noise	Overview	Amend		We wish to have the words 'Rifle Range' added to the list of potentially affected activities in para 2 in Overview statement

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Westport Rifle Club Incorporated (S457)	S457.007	Noise	Overview	Support	The Rifle Range should be referred to as a noise generating activity	Amend the overview to read ""Where noise sensitive activities are established near existing noise-generating activities, or areas where higher noise levels are to be expected, reverse sensitivity effects can arise, potentially resulting in the existing noise-generating activities being constrained, in terms of their ongoing operation or expansion. This is a particular concern for important services and community facilities, including Airports and Heliports, Sports Grounds and Stadiums, Rifle Ranges , the State Highway, Railway Corridors and the Ports, which could be constrained if reverse sensitivity effects arise."
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.153	Noise	Overview	Amend		Where noise sensitive activities are established near existing noise-generating activities, or areas where higher noise levels are to be expected, reverse sensitivity effects can arise, Sensitivity can also arise when noisy industry sets up near existing peace-requiring activities such as a church or library.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.155	Noise	Overview	Oppose	Explanation as to how the noise-generating activities are not compromised by adverse effects.	Reword Objective
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.153	Noise	Overview	Amend	Where noise sensitive activities are established, reverse sensitivity effects can arise.	Add: Sensitivity can also arise when noisy industry sets up near existing peace-requiring activities such as a church or library.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.329	Noise	Noise Objectives	Support	Council supports the objectives and policies for Noise	Retain as notified. Objectives NOISE O1 - O3 Policies NOISE P1 - P4
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.319	Noise	Noise Objectives	Support		Retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.089	Noise	Noise Objectives	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.101	Noise	Noise Objectives	Amend	We support these objectives.	Retain.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.319	Noise	Noise Objectives	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.380	Noise	Noise Objectives	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.319	Noise	Noise Objectives	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.081	Noise	Noise Objectives	Support		Retain
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (S166)	S166.019	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support	An objective that recognises that the benefits of noise-generating activities are provided for is supported.	Retain NOISE-O1
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (S166)	S166.020	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support	An objective that recognises that the benefits of noise-generating activities are provided for is supported.	Retain NOISE-O1
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.528	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support in part	The need to provide for noise generating activities, subject to appropriate controls (including for health protection), is supported. However, the noise limits in the proposed plan (in keeping with all other district plans and New Zealand Standards), still represent some compromise to public health. Adverse health effects can occur at exposure levels below guideline regulatory noise values however it is not practicable to provide absolute protection and therefore the wording should be qualified.	Amend NOISE-O1 as follows: The benefits of noise generating activities are provided for in a way that is compatible with the role, function and character of each zone and does not unreasonably compromise community health, safety and wellbeing.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients (S345)	S345.001	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support	Ballance supports enabling strategies so that primary production activities (including ancillary activities such as agricultural aviation) can continue to operate efficiently and effectively	Retain Objective
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents	S441.035	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support	Silver Fern Farm supports this objective to recognise that each zone will have differing needs with respect to noise,	Retain as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)					particularly in the Industrial Zones where activities that are typical to these areas are geographically limited in spatial planning.	
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.055	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support	It is appropriate to recognise that noise generating activities do produce benefits.	Retain NOISE-O1
West Coast Regional Council (S488)	S488.030	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support	The Council considers that aerial biosecurity and biodiversity activities are consistent with the Noise Objective NOISE - O1, due to the short duration and infrequent nature of the activities, and that the locations are often remote and in rural or natural open space zones. Most livestock farmers are happy to have these operations based on their land as the aerial work is beneficial to herd health in controlling the spread of TB. Likewise, DOC is also supportive of these activities being based on public conservation land due to the benefits to indigenous biodiversity. The Council supports retaining this Objective.	Retain the objective.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.023	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support	It is appropriate to recognise the benefits of noise generating activities and provide for these in a way that is compatible with the role, function and character of each zone.	Retain Objective as notified or wording to similar effect.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.154	Noise	NOISE - O1	Amend		The benefits of noise generating activities are provided for in a way that is compatible with the role, function and character of each zone and does not compromise community health, safety and wellbeing, nor impact on noise sensitive activities.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.154	Noise	NOISE - O1	Amend		Add: The benefits of noise generating activities ..., safety and wellbeing, nor impact on noise sensitive activities.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.128	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.128	Noise	NOISE - O1	Support		Retain
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (S166)	S166.021	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	An objective that recognises that existing and permitted noise-generating activities are not compromised by adverse effects including reverse sensitivity effects from noise-sensitive activities is supported.	Retain NOISE-O2
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.529	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	We support this objective.	Retain objective.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients (S345)	S345.002	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	Ballance supports the recognition that existing noisy activities that support primary production, especially in the General Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone, should be protected from reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain objective
Manawa Energy Limited (Manawa Energy) (S438)	S438.124	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support in part	Manawa requests that the pTTPP provide for the continued operation of Manawa assets in various locations throughout the West Coast. This includes the operation of power stations and associated infrastructure within the Rural, Natural Open Space and Open Space zones. It requests that these standards are reviewed to ensure that Manawa can continue to operate its assets in an efficient manner. To ensure that consistent terminology is used throughout the pTTPP Manawa requests that the term 'community infrastructure' (which it notes is not defined in the Plan) be replaced with 'regionally significant infrastructure'.	Amend NOISE - O2 as follows: The function and operation of existing and permitted future noise generating activities and community regionally significant infrastructure are not compromised by adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, from noise-sensitive activities.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its	S441.036	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	Silver Fern Farms supports this objective and considers it appropriate to recognise	Retain as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)					the effect of reverse sensitivity from noise-sensitive activities.	
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.084	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	KiwiRail supports the recognition of the function and operation of existing rail activities, and the aim to protect these activities from reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain as proposed
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.164	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	The objective is supported by Waka Kotahi as it provides some basis for land use controls for sensitive activities near state highways to ensure they are not compromised by reverse sensitivity effects.	Retain as proposed.
Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited and Foodstuffs South Island Limited (S464)	S464.048	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	Recognising existing and permitted future noise generating noise activities is important. Foodstuffs' operations are often long-established activities that are known to produce noise effects. Persons living adjacent or nearby should be aware of this.	Retain as notified
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.056	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support in part	Recognition of potential reverse sensitivity effect is supported. However the objective should refer to 'legally established activities'. The objective refers to 'noise sensitive activities' but there is no definition for the term.	Amend NOISE-O2 by: Replacing 'existing and permitted future' with 'lawfully established' Include a definition for noise sensitive activities as being: residential activities, education facilities, visitor accommodation and health facilities.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.024	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	It is appropriate that the function and operation of existing and permitted noise generating activities are not compromised by reverse sensitivity effects from noise-sensitive activities.	Retain Objective as notified or wording to similar effect.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.100	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support in part	Recognising existing noise generating activities, including reverse sensitivity effects is important. However, the objective should refer to 'lawfully established activities' which is defined in the Plan.	Amend NOISE-O2 The function and operation of lawfully established activities that generate noise and community infrastructure are not compromised by adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, from noise sensitive

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Noise sensitive activities are not defined in the Plan. It should be clear the type of activity that is considered to be noise sensitive.	activities. Include a definition for noise sensitive activities: Means activities that may be affected by noise including residential activities, education facilities, visitor accommodation, health facilities.
Terra Firma Mining Limited (S537)	S537.017	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	NOISE-O2 appropriately recognises the importance of protecting existing and future noise-generating activities from adverse effects of sensitive activities, including reverse sensitivity.	Retain NOISE - O2
Fire and Emergency New Zealand (S573)	S573.014	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	Fire and Emergency supports NOISE-R2 as it exempts emergency service activities from noise limits, provided that the noise source is from warning devices, activities at emergency service facilities associated with emergency response and / or emergency response training. Fire and Emergency also supports the exemption of emergency aviation movements from noise limits.	No amendments sought.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.673	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support	Support the objective as it provides for the function and operation of existing and permitted future noise generating activities and community infrastructure are not compromised by adverse effects including reverse sensitivity effects from noise sensitive activities.	Retain as proposed.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.129	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.129	Noise	NOISE - O2	Support		Retain
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.530	Noise	NOISE - O3	Support	Based on evidence from the World Health Organisation, existing environmental noise causes significant harm to the health of communities. The	Retain NOISE-O3 as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					proposed objective is appropriate in relation to this public health matter.	
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.037	Noise	NOISE - O3	Support in part	Silver Fern Farms supports the broad direction of this objective but considers that this objective is too general and subjective in nature.	Silver Fern Farms suggest the objective be amended as follows: NOISE - O3 The health and wellbeing of people and communities of the zone are protected from significant levels of noise that are inconsistent with role and character of the zone.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.165	Noise	NOISE - O3	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the intent of the objective as it identifies that the health and wellbeing of people and communities are protected from significant levels of noise. This aligns with the position of Waka Kotahi, which identifies that the primary effects that needs to be controlled is the health effect on people rather than the reverse sensitivity effect on Waka Kotahi.	Retain as proposed.
Celine Stokowski Anthony Thrupp (S522)	S522.004	Noise	NOISE - O3	Support	We SUPPORT the objective	Retain as notified
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.130	Noise	NOISE - O3	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.130	Noise	NOISE - O3	Support		Retain
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.330	Noise	Noise Policies	Support	Council supports the objectives and policies for Noise	Retain as notified. Objectives NOISE O1 - O3 Policies NOISE P1 - P4
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (S166)	S166.022	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	A policy that enables the generation of noise when it is of a type, character, scale and level that is appropriate to the zone is supported.	Retain NOISE-P1
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the	S190.531	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)						
Ballance Agri-Nutrients (S345)	S345.003	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	Ballance supports the enabling of noisy activities that are appropriate to the zone. Agricultural aviation plays a critical supporting role for primary production on the West Coast.	Retain policy
Manawa Energy Limited (Manawa Energy) (S438)	S438.125	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support in part	Manawa supports the general intent of this policy, however to ensure that consistent terminology is used throughout the pTTPP Manawa requests that the term 'community infrastructure' (which it notes is not defined in the pTTPP) be replaced with 'regionally significant infrastructure'.	Amend NOISE - P1 as follows: Enable the generation of noise when it is of a type, character, scale and level that is appropriate to the zone, having regard to: The purpose, character and qualities of the zone that the activity is located in; The nature, frequency and duration of the noise generating activity; Whether the noise generating activity is critical regionally significant infrastructure; ...
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.038	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support in part	Silver Fern Farms supports the principle of this policy, as it is a function of the industrial areas to create noise at levels which can be substantially higher than in other zones. Silver Fern Farm points out that in the case of the Plant, higher noise levels are to be expected from existing operations and, as such, any rezoning which is to occur at the boundary of the site should not facilitate any sensitive activities.	Amend as follows: NOISE - P1 Enable the generation of noise when it is of a type, character, scale and level that is appropriate to the zone, having regard to: The purpose, character and qualities of the zone that the activity is located in; The nature, frequency and duration of the noise generating activity; Whether the noise generating activity is critical infrastructure; Methods of mitigation; and The sensitivity of the surrounding environment taking into account the potential reverse sensitivity effects where new noise sensitive activities are established adjacent to higher noise environments
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.085	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	KiwiRail supports having regard to critical infrastructure when enabling the generation of noise.	Retain as proposed

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.166	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the objective as it identifies that critical infrastructure can be a noise generating activity. The state highway provides for an activity associated with transport which produces noise and is generally anticipated.	Retain as proposed.
West Coast Regional Council (S488)	S488.031	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	The Council considers that aerial biosecurity and biodiversity activities are consistent with the this policy. The Council supports retaining this Policy.	Retain the policy.
Terra Firma Mining Limited (S537)	S537.018	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	TFM supports NOISE-P1 as a sensible approach to determining whether the generation of noise in a particular zone is appropriate or not.	Retain NOISE - P1
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.485	Noise	NOISE - P1	Amend	The constraints or requirement of activities are also considered in enabling these activities.	Add f. The technical, locational, functional or operational constraints and/or requirements of the activity.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.486	Noise	NOISE - P1	Amend	To take into account the benefits arising from the activity	Add g. Benefits from the work being undertaken and energy activities and infrastructure developed.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.320	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.090	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.102	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.320	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.381	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.320	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.082	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.674	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support	Support the policy as it recognises and provides for critical infrastructure as a noise generating activity.	Retain as proposed.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.131	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.131	Noise	NOISE - P1	Support		Retain
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.532	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.039	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support in part	Silver Fern Farms considers that this policy is important to alert the community to the nuisance effects that can arise when people choose to live in rural/industrial environments. However, the objective and/or associated policies should make it clear that sensitive activities located on land adjacent to/near industrial activities (or rural activities) must hold different amenity expectations to those that would attach to land in urban residential zones.	Silver Fern Farms recommends that the policy be amended to clarify that the burden of management lies with the new activity and not the existing noise generating activity. Silver Fern Farms suggests the following amendments: NOISE - P2 Require sensitive activities sited in higher noise environments and new noise sensitive activities adjacent to higher noise environments , to be located and designed so as to minimise adverse effects on the amenity values, public health and wellbeing and the safety of occupants and minimise sleep disturbance from noise, while taking into account: [...]
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.086	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support	KiwiRail supports policy requiring sensitive activities to be located and designed to minimise adverse effects on the amenity and health of occupants when located in close proximity to the railway corridor. Noise and vibration can have an impact on the internal amenity of a building. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the	Retain as proposed

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is pivotal to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.167	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support	Waka Kotahi supports this policy as it requires noise sensitive activities to consider adverse effects associated to higher noise environments, such as the state highway network. By doing so they will need to be located and designed to minimise effects on amenity values, public health, and wellbeing to minimise sleep disturbance. This policy suitably reflects NOISE-O2 and NOISE-O3.	Retain as proposed.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.057	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support in part	HortNZ consider that the rural zone should be classed as a higher noise environment as noise from rural production activities can generate reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend NOISE-P2 to include GRUZ as a higher noise environment.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.101	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support in part	The rural area is not listed as being a higher noise environment, yet many rural dwellers complain about noise experienced in a rural location. Rural production activities are noise generating activities and the Rural zones should be recognised as areas where noise levels may be higher than anticipated by people who think it is a 'quiet' environment.	Amend NOISE-P2 to include GRUZ as a higher noise environment.
Terra Firma Mining Limited (S537)	S537.019	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support	Sensitive activities sited in higher noise environments should be required to be located and designed to minimise adverse effects on occupants.	Retain NOISE - P2
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.321	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.091	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.103	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.321	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.382	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.321	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.083	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		Retain
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.132	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		Retain
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.132	Noise	NOISE - P2	Support		Retain
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.533	Noise	NOISE - P3	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.675	Noise	NOISE - P3	Support	Support the policy as it identifies airports as a noise generating activity that requires noise mitigation measures to be implemented for sensitive activities.	Retain as proposed.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.534	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support in part	To give effect to NOISE-O3, this policy should have a primary focus on protection of health and wellbeing (as opposed to say NOISE-P1 which is focussed on enabling activities). While this matter is covered by the proposed drafting, it is framed around activities generating noise rather than receivers of the noise.	Amend the header sentence of NOISE-P4 as follows: Ensure noise effects generated by an activity are of a type, scale and level that are appropriate for the predominant role, function and character of the receiving environment and protect the health and wellbeing of people and communities by constraining noise generating activities in terms of having regard to:...
Ballance Agri-Nutrients (S345)	S345.004	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support	It is important that noise associated with agricultural aviation taking place within the General Rural Zone and Rural Lifestyle Zone is recognised as	Retain policy

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					appropriate for the predominant role, function and character of the zone.	
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.040	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support in part	Silver Fern Farm supports this policy to ensure that noise effects are consistent to the role and character of each zone but notes that the role and function of certain zones (e.g., Industrial Zone) will have differing needs with respect to noise generating activities. Careful spatial planning should ensure that existing activities are not hindered as a result of rezoned land allocated next to higher noises environments.	Silver Fern Farm suggests the following amendment: NOISE - P4 Ensure noise effects generated by an activity are of a type, scale and level that are appropriate for the predominant role, function and character of the receiving environment and protect the health and wellbeing of people and communities by having regard to: a Maximum noise limits to reflect the character and amenity of each zone; b Type, scale and location of the activity in relation to any noise sensitive activities; c Hours of operation and duration of activity; d The temporary or permanent nature of any adverse effects; and e The ability to internalise and/or minimise any conflict with adjacent activities within the zone
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.168	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy. Any new state highway infrastructure would consider the receiving environment to minimise any conflict with adjacent activities to protect the health and wellbeing of people and communities.	Retain as proposed.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.058	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support in part	HortNZ does not support the use of 'protect' in the policy and seek that it be replaced.	Amend NOISE-P4 by replacing 'protect' with 'provide for'.
West Coast Regional Council (S488)	S488.032	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support	The Council considers that aerial biosecurity and biodiversity activities are consistent with the this policy. The Council supports retaining this Policy.	Retain the policy.
Celine Stokowski Anthony Thrupp (S522)	S522.005	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support	We SUPPORT the Policy	Retain as notified

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.102	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support in part	NOISE-P4 seeks to 'protect' the health and wellbeing of people and communities but if people are inappropriately located in a higher noise environment such level of protection may not be possible.	Amend NOISE-P4 to: Ensure noise effects generated by an activity are of a type, scale and level that are appropriate for the predominant role, function and character of the receiving environment and provide for protect the health and wellbeing of people and communities having regard to:
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.487	Noise	NOISE - P4	Amend	Reflects the managed approach intended in the rule for the outcome sought.	Amend e. The ability to internalise and/or avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.488	Noise	NOISE - P4	Amend	The constraints or requirement of activities are also considered in enabling these activities.	Add f. The technical, locational, functional or operational constraints and/or requirements of the activity.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.489	Noise	NOISE - P4	Amend	The benefits arising from the activity in enabling certain activities.	Add g. Benefits from the work being undertaken and energy activities and infrastructure developed.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.322	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.092	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.104	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.322	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.383	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.322	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.084	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.133	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.133	Noise	NOISE - P4	Support		Retain
Michael Hill (S70)	S70.019	Noise	Noise Rules	Oppose	The noise rules in this plan have been subtly altered to allow increases in noise levels at more antisocial hours. It appears to have been designed to accommodate increases in commercial activities/mining without due consideration for the wellbeing of communities. The rulings for acoustic insulation for new buildings are unnecessarily restrictive, arbitrary and confusing.	Noise rules should revert back to the those in the old (Grey District) plan
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.008	Noise	Noise Rules	Amend	KiwiRail seeks the inclusion of a definition of Noise Sensitive Activity to assist with clear interpretation of the Proposed Plan.	Insert as follows: Noise sensitive activity means any lawfully established: residential activity, including activity in visitor accommodation or retirement accommodation, including boarding houses, residential visitor accommodation and papakāinga; educational activity; health care activity, including hospitals ; congregation within any place of worship; and activity at a marae.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.087	Noise	Noise Rules	Amend	Rural environments are working rural production areas and should not be portrayed as quiet. Noise does occur in those areas, sometimes on an intermittent basis. Ensuring adequate setbacks of dwellings from horticultural properties is an important part of minimising the potential for reverse sensitivity complaints.	Amend Rural Noise Standards so they consider the following factors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rural activities in rural areas should not be subject to urban standards for noise as it will curtail rural productivity • Daytime noise controls should be effective seven days per week - not limited to Monday to Friday as primary production activities are not limited Monday to Saturday • Noise standards in rural zones should be at least 55 LAeq to ensure that any assessment against the permitted baseline represents the normal rural environment

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An exemption should be provided for some rural production activities that are not able to be controlled by noise standards such as frost fans and audible bird scaring devices. Such a provision is included in most district plans, such as Whakatane and Western Bay of Plenty and an example is provided below.
Dean Trott (S330)	S330.002	Noise	Permitted Activities	Amend	<p>This safety noise area also needs to be enacted along with the Shooting ranges as a consented and approved Area and Activity in the new district plan. As once housing developments gets to close to Shooting ranges, inevitable there will be persons who once they have moved in decide that it is too noisy and start to make complaints to the council demanding their intervention at rate payers expenses. This has happened in other parts of New Zealand as housing has been put to close to long established shooting ranges and clubs. With bills costing tens of thousands of dollars to remedy.</p> <p>These sorts of problems and costs can easily be avoided in the Westport situation by clearly defining the Shooting ranges and approved activities along with the required safety noise zones.</p>	Require development close to the Westport Rifle Range to install acoustic insulation and other noise mitigation requirements.
Jan and Heward (S353)	S353.003	Noise	Permitted Activities	Oppose	<p>Issue three "Noise levels"</p> <p>The world health has stated that the maximum noise level one should be exposed to without causing health issues is 45 DBA</p>	The noise level of 45 DBA should not be exceeded at anytime against any residential property

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>No new areas should be set up where the noise exceeds this level.</p> <p>In today's age with shift work and weekend work the noise level should not exceed 45 DBA from one zone to another at any time where houses are, and people may be sleeping. Provision should be allowed for normal household activities like mowing, use of chainsaw for firewood, use of motorbike etc. The use of these items should be limited to exclude evenings night and early morning.</p> <p>On the West Coast I do not believe that it is necessary to have a heavy noise zone next to residential housing. If there was ever a need for basic human rights to be protected in a plan surely noise would be the one.</p>	
Inger Perkins (S462)	S462.033	Noise	Permitted Activities	Oppose	<p>I understand that the permitted noise limits have been raised from the current 45dB (Westland) to 55dB, ten times louder. I acknowledge that 55dB is not a particularly loud noise, however in any area where the usual sound may be birds, a creek or the wind, it can be extremely intrusive and stressful. Try turning off things like computer fans and fridges at home or in an office and the immediate return to peace and quiet is like letting stress go.</p> <p>Further, it is likely that noise can negatively impact some wildlife species, more commonly loud noise such as from vehicles and aircraft.⁶</p>	Restrict Permitted Activities to a noise limit of 45 dB LAeq (15 min) at all times of the day and week.
Rocky Mining Limited (S474)	S474.050	Noise	Permitted Activities	Amend		that the noise provisions are amended so that the weekend/public holiday hours in the General Rural Zone and Open Space Zone are the same as the weekday hours, being

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						7:00am to 10:00pm
Papahaua Resources Limited (S500)	S500.034	Noise	Permitted Activities	Support		that the noise provisions are amended so that the weekend/public holiday hours in the General Rural Zone and Open Space Zone are the same as the weekday hours, being 7:00am to 10:00pm
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (S166)	S166.023	Noise	NOISE - R1	Amend	General standard 5) applies NZS6807:1994 to helicopter landing areas. The standard is not appropriate for intermittent use of helicopter landing areas for agricultural aviation activities which are exempted from the noise standards in NOISE-R2.	Amend NOISE-R1 5) by adding:Unless otherwise exemptedin NOISE-R2.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.535	Noise	NOISE - R1	Oppose in part	The use of New Zealand Standards for environmental noise is supported. However, the rule is confusing in that in one instance it specifies measurement and assessment standards to be used in association with noise limits in other rules (1), but in other instances it also specifies criteria from the standards (2), (3) and (5). For (4) the rule gives a measurement standard but no corresponding criteria. Item (3) applies the construction noise standard to "mobile noise sources" which is not a defined term and could include activities that should be subject to noise limits.	Amend NOISE-R1 as follows: 1. Noise levels arising from activities must be measured and assessed in accordance with the New Zealand Standard NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics – Measurement of environmental sound and the New Zealand Standard NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental noise except where more specific requirements apply. 2. The noise from any construction work activity must be measured and assessed in accordance with the requirements of New Zealand Standard NZS6803:1999 Acoustics - Construction noise. Construction work is defined in New Zealand Standard NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics - Construction noise. 3. Noise from mobile noise sources shall comply with the noise limits set out in Tables 2 and 3 of NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics - Construction Noise, with reference to "construction noise" taken to refer to "mobile noise sources"; 4. Noise from wind turbines shall be measured and assessed in accordance with section 7.7 of NZS 6808: 2010 Acoustics Wind Farm Noise; 5. Noise from Helicopter Landing areas shall be managed in accordance with and

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						comply with the noise standards and limits of NZS 6807: 1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Area
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.169	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it provides appropriate reference to NZS6803:1999 for construction related noise.	Retain as proposed.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.025	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support	NZDF supports the noise from helicopter landing areas being a permitted activity in all zones provided that it meets the recommended limits and noise management provisions as set out in NZS 6807: 1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas. This is consistent with NZDF's bespoke noise standards for TMTA.	Retain Rule as notified.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.331	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support	Council supports Rules 1 & 2.	Retain as notified.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.323	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.323	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.384	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.323	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support		Retain
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.676	Noise	NOISE - R1	Support	Relevant standards referred to.	Not stated
Jet Boating New Zealand (S161)	S161.004	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	Noise from recreational jet boating activities is not excessive, is intermittent and of short duration and clearly the noise source is ever moving. In these circumstances the effects of the generated noise is acceptable and comparable to other noise generating	Retain the Rule as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					activities such as vehicles using the road network, trains operating on their rail network and aircraft flying in the vicinity. These noises are part of the lawfully established environment.	
Jet Boating New Zealand (S161)	S161.005	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	From time to time there are organised recreational jet boating activities that may sit within the definition of a Temporary Activity as a special event. In these instances the noise effects of a group of recreational jet boats may be more prolonged than for individual boat(s). However, the noise from such activities is still not excessive, is still intermittent and of short duration and the noise source continues to be ever moving. Hence JBNZ supports these activities being exempted within the specified time period of 7:00am and 10:00pm.	Retain the Rule as proposed.
New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (S166)	S166.024	Noise	NOISE - R2	Amend	NZAAA supports the intent of the rule which permits infrequent aircraft landing for rural production purposes on an intermittent basis, including aerial topdressing and helicopter movements as an exemption to the zone noise rules. NZAAA further seeks to have infrequent aircraft landing and helicopter movements for plantation forestry, biosecurity, and biodiversity included in the exemption to the zone noise rules and seeks an amendment that encompasses these activities.	Amend NOISE-R2 12) as follows:Infrequent aircraft take-offs and landingsfor agricultural aviation activities on an intermittent basis for ruralproduction and conservation activities including biosecurity and biodiversityactivities.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.536	Noise	NOISE - R2	Oppose in part	The exclusion of certain activities from zone noise limits is appropriate. However, in this instance a wider range of activities are included than in most district plans, including some activities that commonly cause noise disturbance.	Amend NOISE-R2 as follows: 1. Intermittent residential activities, use of lawn mowers, vehicles, machinery or equipment operated and maintained in accordance with the manufacture's specifications and used on an intermittent basis (e.g. spraying, harvesting,

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					If retained, those activities should be subject to alternative controls, such as timing and duration, or removed from this rule. There also appear to be errors in the drafting.	etc); ... 10. Any residential activity on the same site as a noise source being assessed; ... 12. Infrequent aircraft landing for rural production purposes on an intermittent basis, including aerial topdressing and helicopter movements; 13. Non-commercial motorised watercraft operating on the surface of waterbodies; ... 15. Impulsive sounds (such as hammering and bangs) and dog barking noise which are poorly assessed by reference to NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics Environmental Noise; 16. The noise is emitted from an audible bird scaring device between the hours of half an hour before sunrise and until half an hour after sunset, not used at a frequency of more than 12 events per hour, and generating less than 65 dB LAE for each event; and 17. The noise is from a Temporary Activity where the temporary activity occurs between 7:00am and 10:00pm only, and if operating outside of these hours complies with the underlying noise standards of the zone. This does not include any amplified noise.
Westport Pistol Club (S336)	S336.009	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support in part	We support Noise R2.14 as a permitted activity at any time. Noting it shall have no limitations to time of day.	Ensure noise R2.14 is permitted at any time of day.
Ballance Agri-Nutrients (S345)	S345.005	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	Ballance supports agricultural aviation as a Permitted Activity. Agricultural aviation plays a vital support role for primary production on the West Coast.	Retain rule
Manawa Energy Limited (Manawa Energy) (S438)	S438.126	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support in part	Manawa supports the general intent of this rule, however to ensure that consistent terminology is used throughout the pTTPP Manawa requests that the term 'lifeline utilities' be replaced with 'regionally significant infrastructure'.	Amend NOISE - R2(5) as follows: 5. The use of generators and mobile equipment (including vehicles) for emergency purposes, including testing and maintenance not exceeding 2 weeks in duration, where they are operated by emergency services or lifeline utilities providers of regionally significant infrastructure.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.087	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	KiwiRail support the inclusions of train movements and level crossing bells as a permitted activity.	Retain as proposed
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.170	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	The rule is supported by Waka Kotahi as it provides a permitted activity status for vehicles driving on a road (R.2.7) and road construction work with noise management controls (R.2.9). These are practical for the state highway network and avoids ambiguity.	Retain as proposed.
Westport Rifle Club Incorporated (S457)	S457.008	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	We support NOISE - R2 14. as a permitted activity at any time. We support this rule as drafted with no limitations e.g. no limitations as to time of day.	Retain NOISE - R2.14 as notified
Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited and Foodstuffs South Island Limited (S464)	S464.049	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support in part	Existing and normal noise activities should be recognised across all zones for consistency. This change also reflects submissions made on transport amenity effects above. Foodstuffs supports conditions 5 and 7 (as amended) as they recognise the functional and operational needs of supermarkets to utilise emergency generators and onsite vehicle movements, without restrictive noise limits.	Where the following activities are exempted from meeting Zone noise standards: ... 5. The use of generators and mobile equipment (including vehicles) for emergency purposes, including testing and maintenance not exceeding 2 weeks in duration, where they are operated by emergency services or lifeline utilities; ... 7. Vehicles being driven on a road (within the meaning of Section 2(1) of the Transport Act 1998), or within a site as part of or compatible with a normal residential activity expected for that zone;
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.059	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support in part	NOISE-R2 11) provides an exemption for rural production activities undertaken for a limited duration however agriculture, horticulture and pastoral farming should be replaced with 'rural production activities'. NOISE-R2-12 provides an exemption of aircraft for rural production purposes. This is supported. NOISE-R2 16) provides for audible bird	Amend NOISE-R2 11) by replacing 'agricultural, horticulture and pastoral farming activities' with 'rural production activities'. Retain NOISE-R2 12) Retain NOISE-R2 16) Include a definition for 'audible bird scaring device' as: ' Gas guns and avian distress alarms used for the purposes of disturbing or scaring birds '.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					scaring devices which is supported. However there is no definition for 'audible bird scaring device' so a definition is sought.	
West Coast Regional Council (S488)	S488.033	Noise	NOISE - R2	Amend	Rule R2 is appropriate for aerial pest control operations as it applies in all zones. While the activity is mostly undertaken in rural and natural open space zones, there may be times when it needs to be based in another zone. However, noise from aerial biosecurity and biodiversity activities is not specifically included in R2. Item 12 under R2 allows for "infrequent aircraft landing for rural production purposes on an intermittent basis, including aerial top-dressing and helicopter movements" is listed. It is unclear whether "rural production purposes" includes aerial biosecurity and biodiversity activities, even though the activity is indirectly related to rural production purposes in the sense that it is protecting farm livestock from disease	Rule NOISE - R2 should be amended to cover aerial biosecurity and biodiversity activities more clearly.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.026	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	NZDF supports that compliance with the relevant noise standards does not apply to activities at emergency service facilities associated with emergency response and emergency response training.	Retain Clause as notified.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.027	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	NZDF supports that compliance with the relevant noise standards does not apply to helicopter movements associated with an emergency.	Retain Clause as notified
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.332	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	Council supports Rules 1 & 2.	Retain as notified.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.324	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support		Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.324	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.385	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.324	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support		Retain
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.094	Noise	NOISE - R2	Amend	Do not consider there is a justification for the exemption to apply only to emissions 'as part of or compatible with a normal residential activity'.	Amend NOISE - R2 as follows: Where the following activities are exempted from meeting Zone noise standards: ... 7. Vehicles being driven on a road (within the meaning of Section 2(1) of the Transport Act 1998), or within a site as part of or compatible with a normal residential activity; ...
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.180	Noise	NOISE - R2	Amend	Support Rule NOISE-R2 and add an addition rule so that noise from aircraft use for conservation activities such as biodiversity projects and biosecurity activities is exempt. This will avoid the need for any exemptions for the activities to be issued in accordance with Section 7A of the biosecurity act where they are for pest control purposes.	Amend: Activity Status Permitted Where the following activities are exempted from meeting Zone noise standards:Infrequent aircraft landing for rural production or conservation purposes on an intermittent basis, including aerial topdressing and helicopter movements;....
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.677	Noise	NOISE - R2	Support	Support this rule as it provides for noise generated from the construction of roads as a PA.	Retain as proposed
Jacobus Wiskerke (S95)	S95.004	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	the proposal will require new residential construction location, design and its surroundings to be assessed by an acoustic engineer. If the initial house design fails this test, it should be redesigned and re-assessed leading to further costs. The WCRC proposal does not contain any guidance if and how a typical NZ-style house could meet the acoustic requirements. I believe this lack of guidance by WCRC	Delete the proposed rules to limit exposure of residential housing occupants to transport noise.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					would leave potential house owners and their builders rather frustrated. As it seems to be driven to avoid reverse sensitivity aspects on roading, would it not just be easier to drop a speed limit in areas where new housing is built adjacent to roads (as this means more vehicle traffic joining those main roads, but also more cyclists, pedestrians and pets? The section Noise-R4 also includes requirements on ventilation and temperature control, which are inappropriate, restrictive and expensive..	
Misato Nomura (S151)	S151.002	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	This rule does not take into account Buller's low traffic volumes or the potential for property owners and developers to come up with a unique way to block noise based on the property that they own. such as bunds, heavy curtains internally, or shelter to block the noise. It will be too costly and time-consuming for property owners to hire a qualified engineer to assess the noise based on a home design on paper. We believe it is up to the individual owners to come up with ways to block the noise from State highways if even necessary.	That this rule be deleted. Or amended to be a permitted activity if noise mitigation designs have been provided. E.g. Bunding, growing a shelter belt or providing fencing.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.537	Noise	NOISE - R3	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Building - Coast Wide (S223)	S223.001	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	All residential and commercial buildings have to meet all the requirements of the building Act. The new district plan has added an additional building requirement of acoustic ratings to buildings within the state highway/ rail and airport set backs.	Remove acoustic insulation requirements and delete rule.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>This acoustic requirement is near impossible for designers to address as acoustic ratings are generally a complete installation system ie GIB. Very few products have been tested for acoustic ratings (as a complete system) so the data is not available</p> <p>The areas effected are small settlements and rural areas ie Ross, Kumara, Rueue, Inchbonnie, Charleston, Moana Whataroa, Paroa etc. There is no concise online design program for entering acoustic data to calculate the STC rating of a dwelling exterior wall/ ceiling system.</p>	
Jackie and Bart Mathers and Gillman (S228)	S228.005	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	<p>We believe this rule is unworkable and unnecessarily costly for new builds in an area where geography and complex topography means that both the State Highway and Rail Corridors have no option but to locate close to and run through, rural and coastal residential areas. Consequently, a large number of residential sections would lie within 80/40m of the existing SHW carriageway and 40m of existing rail tracks. Our communities are fully aware of this fact and thus aware of the underlying noise and vibration that these existing activities create. Where are the baseline measurements and why should new builds be lumbered with the expense of those?</p> <p>Network providers of road and rail are only too happy and financially capable of engaging a project team, providing extensive assessment matrixes and benefit/cost ratios supported by acoustic specialist advice in support of their projects and even hold "noise mitigation"</p>	As there are no relevant NZ standards setting out recommended vibration limits and assessment methodologies, we submit that no vibration standard be employed for stand-alone singlestorey residential dwellings.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>workshops with affected residents where necessary, attended by a swathe of consultants and project staff. However, in this situation, we're talking about individuals and families who in most instances, do not have the financial resources to engage an acoustic or vibration engineer to simply build a single residential dwelling next to an existing rail or road corridor.</p> <p>Recent professional acoustic engineering advice at The Lyric Theatre in Granity cost \$3000. The Tasman Sea creates more noise than the road or railway networks here, and it is a constant.</p> <p>The NZTA's own guide to assessing road-traffic noise references their "go to" standard NZS 6806, which is used in applications for proposed new or altered roads. It states that the agency considers NZS 6806 "a robust tool to help determine appropriate mitigation of the noise effects of new and altered roads" but the standard is widely quoted in documents the agency appears to now be routinely sending to district and regional council planners where plan reviews are underway.</p> <p>From 1 May 2023 new building work in homes must meet new wall, floor and roof insulation performance requirements. These by default will mitigate noise in new buildings with increased glazing standards and extra insulation requirements in floors and ceilings. Homes will be required to reach a minimum R value of 0.37 for all windows and doors from November 2022 and increase this to R0.46 in our part of</p>	

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>the country by May 2023. We believe that new dwellings will thus have a higher reduction by default, so why the need to add more complexity in the district plan. The effects of noise and vibration from any new proposals for road or rail expansion can very adequately be managed through the RMA consent process for reverse sensitivity issues and thus the inclusion of the words "or expansion" in the overview for this section of the TTPP is unnecessary. There are no acoustic engineering firms on the coast that we are aware of, although we are confident anyone in those industries would need multiple offices coastwide if this rule is held. In addition, the noise level rules proposed must also be achieved at the same time as adequate ventilation, which will usually require windows to be partially open. Thus, new builds would be subjected to compliance with ventilation requirements of G4 of the Building Code at the same time as having to meet internal noise criteria. The vibration performance standards proposed are not quantifiable and therefore unworkable. Our concern is that it is a difficult and complex task to predict ground-borne vibration, because it is highly dependent on both the rail and the surrounding ground conditions. As a result, it is normally necessary to undertake measurements of actual vibration at a site as part of any assessment and in our view, the cost of this is not warranted. There are many existing dwellings</p>	

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>throughout New Zealand that are within about 12 metres of a rail line, and whilst noise and vibration may exceed accepted guidelines at these locations, we believe that rail vibration is widely tolerated in detached single storey residential dwellings.</p> <p>Vibration from existing road and railway corridors are generally considered acceptable as they are within recognised guidelines for human comfort applied internationally. At a proposed vibration level of 0.3mm/s (which appears to have come from a British standard concerned with construction related vibrations), that has clearly been recognised in the proposed TTPP. In the standard (BS 5228-2:2009 Annex B) a level of 0.3mm/s is defined as vibration that might be "just perceptible" in a residential environment. But again, there is no baseline information available in our district, and the proposed TTPP is making it the responsibility of the homeowner to determine this through qualified engineers, potentially adding thousands of dollars to the cost of a new build.</p>	
Jackie and Bart Mathers and Gillman (S228)	S228.006	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	<p>We believe this rule is unworkable and unnecessarily costly for new builds in an area where geography and complex topography means that both the State Highway and Rail Corridors have no option but to locate close to and run through, rural and coastal residential areas. Consequently, a large number of residential sections would lie within 80/40m of the existing SHW carriageway and 40m of existing rail tracks. Our</p>	<p>We further submit that the proposed Noise R3 rules for new builds only be held if baseline information specific to each area is made freely available to consent seekers and it is provided to them by the noise generating activities as outlined in the overview for this section of the plan. These should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • quantifying the current vibration magnitudes induced by traffic or trains operating on existing SHW network and rail corridors throughout the district; and • establishing how

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>communities are fully aware of this fact and thus aware of the underlying noise and vibration that these existing activities create. Where are the baseline measurements and why should new builds be lumbered with the expense of those?</p> <p>Network providers of road and rail are only too happy and financially capable of engaging a project team, providing extensive assessment matrixes and benefit/cost ratios supported by acoustic specialist advice in support of their projects and even hold "noise mitigation" workshops with affected residents where necessary, attended by a swathe of consultants and project staff. However, in this situation, we're talking about individuals and families who in most instances, do not have the financial resources to engage an acoustic or vibration engineer to simply build a single residential dwelling next to an existing rail or road corridor.</p> <p>Recent professional acoustic engineering advice at The Lyric Theatre in Granity cost \$3000. The Tasman Sea creates more noise than the road or railway networks here, and it is a constant.</p> <p>The NZTA's own guide to assessing road-traffic noise references their "go to" standard NZS 6806, which is used in applications for proposed new or altered roads. It states that the agency considers NZS 6806 "a robust tool to help determine appropriate mitigation of the noise effects of new and altered roads" but the standard is widely quoted in documents the agency appears to now</p>	<p>quickly the traffic or train induced vibrations decay with distance forthe local soil types; and• derive site-specific soil attenuation coefficients for use in estimating the magnitude ofground vibrations resulting from the noise generating activity.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>be routinely sending to district and regional council planners where plan reviews are underway.</p> <p>From 1 May 2023 new building work in homes must meet new wall, floor and roof insulation performance requirements. These by default will mitigate noise in new buildings with increased glazing standards and extra insulation requirements in floors and ceilings. Homes will be required to reach a minimum R value of 0.37 for all windows and doors from November 2022 and increase this to R0.46 in our part of the country by May 2023. We believe that new dwellings will thus have a higher reduction by default, so why the need to add more complexity in the district plan. The effects of noise and vibration from any new proposals for road or rail expansion can very adequately be managed through the RMA consent process for reverse sensitivity issues and thus the inclusion of the words "or expansion" in the overview for this section of the TTPP is unnecessary. There are no acoustic engineering firms on the coast that we are aware of, although we are confident anyone in those industries would need multiple offices coastwide if this rule is held. In addition, the noise level rules proposed must also be achieved at the same time as adequate ventilation, which will usually require windows to be partially open. Thus, new builds would be subjected to compliance with ventilation requirements of G4 of the Building Code at the same time as having to meet internal noise</p>	

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>criteria.</p> <p>The vibration performance standards proposed are not quantifiable and therefore unworkable.</p> <p>Our concern is that it is a difficult and complex task to predict ground-borne vibration, because it is highly dependent on both the rail and the surrounding ground conditions. As a result, it is normally necessary to undertake measurements of actual vibration at a site as part of any assessment and in our view, the cost of this is not warranted.</p> <p>There are many existing dwellings throughout New Zealand that are within about 12 metres of a rail line, and whilst noise and vibration may exceed accepted guidelines at these locations, we believe that rail vibration is widely tolerated in detached single storey residential dwellings.</p> <p>Vibration from existing road and railway corridors are generally considered acceptable as they are within recognised guidelines for human comfort applied internationally. At a proposed vibration level of 0.3mm/s (which appears to have come from a British standard concerned with construction related vibrations), that has clearly been recognised in the proposed TTPP. In the standard (BS 5228-2:2009 Annex B) a level of 0.3mm/s is defined as vibration that might be "just perceptible" in a residential environment. But again, there is no baseline information available in our district, and the proposed TTPP is making it the responsibility of the homeowner to determine this through</p>	

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					qualified engineers, potentially adding thousands of dollars to the cost of a new build.	
Jackie and Bart Mathers and Gillman (S228)	S228.007	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	<p>The dBL and setback rules under this section of the plan appear to be pandering to Waka Kotahi and KiwiRail, based on unfounded fears of reverse sensitivity issues in relation to our existing rail and road corridors. A 2021 "Assessment of Plan Provisions to Provide for Human Health and Amenity in accordance with Section 32 of the RMA" was provided by NZTA senior planner Natasha Reid to the Central Hawkes Bay District Council in March 2022. The executive summary of that report states that "Waka Kotahi seeks a gradual reduction in health and amenity effects implemented as new activities are established or existing activities are altered in close proximity to the operational state highway network". It also states that there are "various regulatory methods (within and outside of the RMA) to achieve this outcome. A district plan based method has been assessed as the most implementable method in the current environment".</p> <p>On viewing the document (Appendix B - attached) we cannot help but suspect this report has formed the basis for the rules being imposed on us in relation to noise and vibration for new builds. It rather helpfully provides its own version of potential objectives, provisions and rules for council planning purposes and it appears the majority of these have simply been accepted and put into the proposal</p>	If NZTA and KiwiRail would not jointly support the provision of freely available and area specific data associated with noise and vibration, we submit in favour of a "no complaints" covenant approach to residential or rurally zoned new build activity within the setback limits provided to address perceived issues of reverse sensitivity. Even though Waka Kotahi don't support that approach (refer page 31 of their assessment attached), it is nonetheless a mitigation option that resolves the issues outlined in our submission. We have enclosed a document related to covenants of this nature as produced by the Quality Planning Resource (qualityplanning.org.nz).

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>plan verbatim. Most of us don't have the time or resources to put together a report like the one produced by Waka Kotahi, but that doesn't mean that because those agencies do, we should simply accept their views.</p> <p>The assessment also suggests, through an appendix report provided by an acoustic engineering firm, that the cost of building a detached residential home with the dBL rules in place, would be minimal at 0-2% of the overall cost of building. However, the engineers note that "the increase in costs is very dependent on the external noise level" which in turn supports our view that existing external noise levels from the noise generating activities need to be provided by those activities - not the homeowners. By enabling this one request, potential homeowners might find they don't even need upgrades for their builds if external noise levels are very low.</p>	
Deb Langridge (S252)	S252.006	Noise	NOISE - R3	Amend	Some mining companies and other noise producers especially, sustained ones, put little effort into keeping their noise onsite with regard to their machinery/ crushing noise. Bothering to follow best practice and effort to reduce noise pollution to neighbours should be enforced.	Buildings which produce noise should be insulated to a standard so that their machinery or whatever is not offencing the neighbours.ie mine buildings, workshops
Gina Hogarth (S304)	S304.002	Noise	NOISE - R3	Support in part	I support the need to mitigate noise effects by a set of appropriate rules. The Acoustic Requirements for sensitive activities appear arduous for the traffic volumes in the Buller District.	Amend the noise rules with consideration of the lower traffic volumes in Buller and to provide a set of permitted mitigations (such as bunding) to negate the need for a Suitably qualified acoustic engineer to verify that the building meets the permitted criteria.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Westport Pistol Club (S336)	S336.010	Noise	NOISE - R3	Support	It makes no sense to constrain Noise Insulation requirements only to commercial or accommodation providers as it pertains to noise insulation for habitable rooms and not have this in effect for residential building requirements. We require all Sensitive Activities, such as residential activity; visitor accommodation; retirement home; healthcare facility; community facility; and education facility to hold this level of acoustic insulation.	Require all Sensitive Activities, such as residential activity; visitor accommodation; retirement home; healthcare facility; community facility; and education facility to hold this level of acoustic insulation.
Chris Hartigan (S338)	S338.002	Noise	NOISE - R3	Amend	The distinction between requirements for sound proof between commercial and residential in adjoining properties makes no sense, the requirement should be across the board to ensure new developments are less likely to be affected by existing users and minimize the chance of conflict between the parties.	Apply acoustic insulation requirements to all sensitive activities and ensure these provisions apply to properties adjacent to the Westport rifle range
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.041	Noise	NOISE - R3	Amend	Silver Fern Farms agrees that it is appropriate that impacts from external noise sources are mitigated by suitable acoustic insulation in new buildings and or alterations to existing buildings. However, they request that new buildings or additions/alterations to existing buildings for use by sensitive activities adjacent to an industrial site be designed, constructed, and maintained in accordance with the design certificate from a suitably qualified	NOISE [...] 2. For new buildings and additions or alterations to existing buildings for use by a noise sensitive activity adjacent to an industrial site, compliance with (1) above shall be achieved if an acoustic design certificate from a suitably qualified acoustic engineer is provided to the Council which certifies that the proposed design and construction of the building, alterations or additions will achieve the required internal sound levels. The building shall be designed, constructed,

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					acoustic engineer which certifies that the building will achieve the required internal sound levels	and maintained in accordance with the design certificate.
Margaret Montgomery (S446)	S446.080	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	This standard for setbacks is restrictive for development, considering the setbacks which would require a large distance setback for development - particularly those in settlements which have looser speed limits, e.g. Charleston & the outskirts of Greymouth - this should be amended	Reduce the setback requirements.
Totally Tourism Limited (S449)	S449.005	Noise	NOISE - R3	Support	The submitter agrees with the identification of an air noise boundary within which new buildings housing noise sensitive activities shall be required to meet minimum levels of acoustic insulation.	Support acoustic requirements for sensitive activities within the Airport Noise Boundary,
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.171	Noise	NOISE - R3	Support in part	<p>Waka Kotahi supports the rule in general for the following reasons:</p> <p>The distance controls from the state highway (80/40m) are appropriate in these Districts given the relatively low traffic flows (albeit heavy vehicles).</p> <p>The internal noise limit of 40dB LAeq(24h) and the additional 3dB when adjacent to the state highway is consistent with Waka Kotahi standards and requirements.</p> <p>The ventilation standards and associated thermal relief are consistent with Waka Kotahi standards and requirements.</p> <p>However, the following points have been raised where consideration is sought:</p> <p>It appears that the requirements (i, ii, and iii) under R3.1 are only applicable to R3.1.b and not to R3.1.a based on the proposed wording. The requirements should be applicable to both R3.1.a and</p>	Amend the rule to ensure that the requirements (i, ii, and iii) under R3.1 are applicable to both R3.1.a and R3.1.b. Amend the rule to require that buildings within 20m from the sealed state highway carriageway require vibration requirements. If available, include the state highway noise contours as a Variable Noise Control Overlay to replace the 40m/80m buffer approach.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>R3.1.b and should be reworded as such to provide clarity. • The inclusion of vibration limits under R3.1.a/b.iii is generally supported, but as currently proposed the vibration requirement would be on all buildings within either 40m or 80m from the state highway depending on the speed limit. Waka Kotahi requires that properties within 20m from the edge of the sealed state highway consider the vibration requirements.</p> <p>• Waka Kotahi has been working on noise contours showing actual noise levels on the state highway network, this could be used to replace the 40m/80m buffer from the state highway. It is anticipated that this would reduce the area for application of controls. If Waka Kotahi can provide these, then it is recommended the rule be updated to refer to the SH noise overlay rather than the current 40m/80m approach in the proposed rule.</p>	
Westport Rifle Club Incorporated (S457)	S457.009	Noise	NOISE - R3	Amend	It makes no sense to constrain acoustic insulation requirements only to commercial or accommodation providers as it pertains to noise insulation for habitable rooms and not have this in effect for residential building requirements.	Require acoustic insulation for residential development
Bert Hofmans (S504)	S504.012	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	High cost of meeting the standard and difficult to meet in an off-grid dwelling as connection to power may be required to achieve the ventilation requirements.	Delete the rule, or NOISE - R3.1.f or add the following exception "except where the property owner accepts a form or level of acoustic treatment that results in a different internal sound design level and accepts that this is registered on the title in the form of a consent notice".
Lindy Millar (S505)	S505.012	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	High cost of meeting the standard and difficult to meet in an off-grid dwelling as	Delete the rule, or NOISE - R3.1.f or add the following exception "except where the

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					connection to power may be required to achieve the ventilation requirements.	property owner accepts a form or level of acoustic treatment that results in a different internal sound design level and accepts that this is registered on the title in the form of a consent notice".
Leonie Avery (S507)	S507.069	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	We believe that these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities that built in the General Residential Zone at Alma Road if that proceeds to any extent.	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.
Jared Avery (S508)	S508.069	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	We believe that these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities that built in the General Residential Zone at Alma Road if that proceeds to any extent.	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.
Kyle Avery (S509)	S509.069	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	We believe that these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities that built in the General Residential Zone at Alma Road if that proceeds to any extent.	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.
Avery Bros (S510)	S510.069	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	We believe that these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities that built in the General Residential Zone at Alma Road if that proceeds to any extent.	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.
Bradshaw Farms (S511)	S511.069	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	We believe that these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities that built in the General Residential Zone at Alma Road if that proceeds to any extent.	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Paul Avery (S512)	S512.069	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	We believe that these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities that built in the General Residential Zone at Alma Road if that proceeds to any extent.	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.
Brett Avery (S513)	S513.069	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose in part	We believe that these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities that built in the General Residential Zone at Alma Road if that proceeds to any extent.	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.333	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	<p>Rule 3 specifies acoustic insulation requirements for new buildings used by sensitive activities when located within specified setbacks of the state highway, railway line, airport noise contour boundary and specified zones e.g. commercial and industrial zones. Compliance with the acoustic insulation requirements is to be confirmed through the provision of an acoustic design certificate to the relevant Council from a suitably qualified acoustic engineer. Council considers this rule to be overly onerous and unnecessary duplication of building code requirements. The rule would impact any new buildings within Westport or Reefton that adjoin the state highway (e.g. Brougham Street) with buildings unlikely to be able to comply with the required 40m setback.</p> <p>It is assumed that the acoustic requirements for sites within proximity to state highways is based on Waka Kotahi's requirements to manage reverse sensitivity. While this is considered appropriate for large centres and roading</p>	Council seeks that Rule 3 is deleted and consequential amendments are made to other chapters where this rule is referenced in the Advice Notes.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					networks with high traffic volumes, Council does not consider this is justified for its District's transport environment which has low traffic volumes, and this is not expected to change over the life of the plan. Council considers the rule is too onerous and not necessary in the context of the District's transport environment.	
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.325	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.325	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.386	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.325	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
Avery Brothers (S609)	S609.061	Noise	NOISE - R3	Amend	That these acoustic insulation requirements should apply within 100m of our consented quarry to new buildings used for sensitive activities	Amend NOISE - R3 so that this rule includes that to include acoustic insulation requirements within 100m of our consented quarry for new buildings used for sensitive activities built at the proposed residential development at Alma Road.
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu, Te Runanga o Ngati Waewae, Te Runanga o Makaawhio (S620)	S620.211	Noise	NOISE - R3	Oppose	The level of insulation required beyond the 7-year-old national guidance that is not West Coast specific.	That Council engages an acoustic expert to assess the generated noise, vehicle speeds and times it is generated on the state highway and railway networks and based on that assessment re-assess if the rules are protecting human health at their current setbacks.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the	S190.538	Noise	NOISE - R4	Amend	The Noise and Vibration Metrics National Planning Standard requires noise measurement methods and symbols to	Amend NOISE-R4 as follows: ... i. 7am to 7pm: 95 dBC- LCpeak ; ii. 7pm to 7am: 85 dBC- LCpeak .

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)					be in accordance with the applicable New Zealand Standards. NZS 6801:2008 requires the symbol for C-weighted peak sound level to be LCpeak.	
Westport Pistol Club (S336)	S336.011	Noise	NOISE - R4	Amend	allows for Temporary military training activities with a minimum separation distance from houses of 500m during the hours of 7am to 7pm, but then reduces that minimum distance to just over 1m for night times. We suspect this is a drafting error	amend the rule with the inclusion of a capital 'K' to read 1.250Km (as in Kilometres)
Westport Rifle Club Incorporated (S457)	S457.010	Noise	NOISE - R4	Amend	allows for Temporary military training activities with a minimum separation distance from houses of 500m during the hours of 7am to 7pm, but then reduces that minimum distance to just over 1m for night times. We suspect this is a drafting error and it should have the inclusion of a capital 'K' to read 1.250Km (as in Kilometres)	Correct reference to 1.250Km
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.028	Noise	NOISE - R4	Support	NZDF supports a specific permitted activity rule for TMTA noise in the District Plan and the noise standards outlined in this Rule with respect to TMTA, which reflect NZDF's bespoke noise standards specifically developed to manage the particular noise characteristics of TMTA. The standards provide appropriate controls to manage noise effects from TMTA (which include minimum separation distances and peak sound levels for both daytime and night-time periods), as well as NZDF's standard practice of informing nearby landowners and occupiers of properties. Based on these control methods, adverse noise effects in any zone can be appropriately mitigated.	Retain Rule as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.334	Noise	NOISE - R4	Support	Rule 4 is supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.540	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose in part	Contrary to comments provided in relation to the draft plan, the proposed plan has still structured noise limits based on the zones where noise is emitted from rather than where the potential effects occur, which is where it is received. The structure adopted results in numerous inconsistencies and omissions. Throughout the noise rules the format of times specified for weekdays and weekends results in potential ambiguity as to the limits on Saturday morning and Monday morning	1) Restructure all zone noise limits to relate to sites receiving noise. 2) Reformat day/time definitions for noise limits to follow the format recommended in NZS 6802:2008. 3) If the current structure is retained, amend NOISE-R5 as follows: 1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits at any point within the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any site receiving noise : another site in the RESZ – Residential Zones, SETZ – Settlement Zone and NOSZ – Natural Open Space Zone:
Scott Freeman (S383)	S383.002	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Rural-lifestyle properties are small discrete areas which are generally entirely and regularly used by the properties occupants. They have more in common with residential and settlement zoned properties than rural zoned properties and so should also be protected in their entirety from excessive noise from adjacent activities.	The rural-lifestyle zone should be included in Noise-R 5.
Leonie Avery (S507)	S507.070	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.
Jared Avery (S508)	S508.070	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.
Kyle Avery (S509)	S509.070	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.
Avery Bros (S510)	S510.070	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.
Bradshaw Farms (S511)	S511.070	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Paul Avery (S512)	S512.070	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.
Brett Avery (S513)	S513.070	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.335	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose in part	<p>While the noise limits are supported, Council is concerned to that the end of the daytime period at 7pm weekdays and 5pm weekends and public holidays is too restrictive and that higher noise limits in the evenings are anticipated within residential zones, particularly during day light saving hours. Council requests that the daylight time limits period is extended to 10pm regardless 7 days per week.</p> <p>It is also suggested that the rule refer to 'any other site' rather than 'another' site for consistent wording across the noise rules.</p>	<p>Amend Rule 5 as follows:</p> <p>1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits at any point within any other another site in the RESZ - Residential Zones, SETZ - Settlement Zone and NOSZ - Natural Open Space Zone: a. 7.00am to 7.00 10.00pm Monday to Friday and 8.00am to 5.00 10.00pm weekends and public holidays; 55dB LAeq(15 min)</p>
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.326	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.093	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.094	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend to enable quarry operations
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.105	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.108	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.109	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.326	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.387	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.326	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.085	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Koiterangi Lime Co quarry.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.088	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Koiterangi Lime Co quarry.
Avery Brothers (S609)	S609.062	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Opposition due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to explicitly exclude consented quarrying operations and similar.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.134	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.135	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.134	Noise	NOISE - R5	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.135	Noise	NOISE - R5	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Lorree Wilson (S81)	S81.001	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	The rule will make noise rules worse for residents of the West Coast as it removing any opportunity for reprieve from maximum noise levels at any given time, be it day or night, therefore potentially exposing people to levels considered by the WHO to be seriously annoying and potentially sleep effecting 24/7. Most other councils have or are adopting more conservative noise rules to ensure	If keeping a 7 day day-time schedule for noise limits, a lower day-time level of 50dBA should be set as the baseline. Alternatively should 55dBA be set as the baseline, Saturdays after 6pm, Sundays and Public Holidays should be set at the lower night-time limit to allow for some levels of reprieve for people who live in a rural residential environment. Night-time noise levels should be set at 40dBA.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					annoyance is kept to a minimum and human physical and mental health can be preserved. A lower baseline levels of 50/40, will better ensure residential amenity is preserved.	
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.541	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose in part	As set out for NOISE-R5	Restructure all zone noise limits to relate to sites receiving noise.
Deb Langridge (S252)	S252.004	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Making lots of noise after 5pm or before 8am is unacceptable especially if its a sustained activity ie mining 10 decibels above normal ambient is 'annoying' and unacceptable. WHO. People need to enjoy all their properties and their animals well being depends on a low level of noise- so notional boundary is not good enough should be measured at property boundary.	Reduce noise limits to no more than 50/45 decibels or lower and have shorter hours. 8-5pm for noisier times. There must be weekend and holidays as quiet times for well being of people, especially if a sustained activity . There should be a distinction between occasional farm noise and mining incessant noise in the noise limits. Noise should be measured on the boundary not notional
Scott Freeman (S383)	S383.001	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	Rural-lifestyle properties are small discrete areas which are generally entirely and regularly used by the properties occupants. They have more in common with residential and settlement zoned properties than rural zoned properties and so should also be protected in their entirety from excessive noise from adjacent activities.	Noise in the rural-lifestyle zone should be measured at any point within the site (as per residential and settlement zones) rather than at the notional boundary. The rural-lifestyle zone should be included in Noise-R 5.
Sharon Langridge (S388)	S388.006	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Farming activities have intermittent noise which is acceptable and vastly different to	Amend 55db is too permissive, amend to 50 more appropriate. Change notional boundary

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					proposed activities such as mineral extraction in rural areas which is an industrial activity which maybe continious.	to property boundary.
Horticulture New Zealand (S486)	S486.060	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose in part	NOISE-R6 sets noise limits for the rural zones and includes reduced times over weekends and public holidays. Rural production activities are 24/7 activities and do not make a differentiation based on the day of the week. Such an approach is not appropriate in the GRUZ.	Amend NOISE-R6 1) a) and b) by deleting specified days of the week 7.00am to 10.00pm: 55dB LAeq(15 mins) 10.00pm to 7.00am: 45dB LAeq(15 mins) 10.00pm to 7.00am all days: 75 dB LAFmax
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.088	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	A consistent time is sought for every day of the week.	Amend: Where: 1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits at any point within the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any site receiving noise: a. 7:00am to 10:00pm Monday to Sunday Friday and 8:00am to 8:00pm weekends and public holidays: 55 dB LAeq (15 min) b. 10:00pm to 7:00am Monday to Sunday Friday and 8:00pm to 8:00am weekends and public holidays: 45 dB LAeq (15min) c. 10:00pm to 7:00am all days - 75 dB LAFmax
Celine Stokowski Anthony Thrupp (S522)	S522.006	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	We OPPOSE the effective increase in noise limits from the Westland District Plan. Proposed noise levels throughout the Plan are stated as Leq as opposed to L10. The same noise measurement over time results in a lower Leq result than L10 result. The difference is estimated to be 3db. This means the Plan is proposing an increase to allowable noise levels (i.e. 55 Leq) 3db higher than currently allowed in the Westland District Plan (i.e. 55 L10).	Amend the noise limits to that they are the same as the operative Westland District Plan
Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S524)	S524.103	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose in part	NOISE-R2 11) provides an exemption for rural production activities undertaken for a limited duration. This is supported. NOISE-R2-12 provides an exemption of	Amend NOISE-R2 11) by replacing 'agricultural, horticulture and pastoral farming activities' with 'rural production activities'. Retain NOISE-R2 12)

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					aircraft for rural production purposes. This is supported. The reference in NOISE-R2 16) to 'audible bird scaring device' requires a corresponding definition.	Include a definition for 'audible bird scaring device' as: 'Gas guns and avian distress alarms used for the purposes of disturbing or scaring birds'.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.336	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose in part	Rule 6 is supported, but amendments are required to clarify that the noise limits apply at another site's notional boundary not from dwelling/s etc located on the same site from which noise is being generated from. Other minor changes are suggested for readability. The reference to 'sensitive activity' may also not be needed as this is captured in the definition of 'notional boundary'.	Amend Rule 6 as follows: 1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits within at the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any other site receiving noise
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.159	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend		3. There probably needs to be more explanation as to what 'other temporary activities' are, including such as spatial size, expected number of people.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.327	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.095	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.096	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend to enable quarry operations
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.106	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.110	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.327	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.388	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.327	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.086	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Koiterangi Lime Co quarry.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.089	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Koiterangi Lime Co quarry.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.095	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Do not consider there is an appropriate justification to limit the weekend/public holiday daytime hours to 8:00am to 8:00pm in the General Rural and Open Space Zones in particular. A consistent time is sought for every day of the week.	"Amend NOISE - R6 as follows: Where: 1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits at any point within the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any site receiving noise: a. 7:00am to 10:00pm Monday to Sunday Friday and 8:00am to 8:00pm weekends and public holidays : 55 dB LAeq (15 min) b. 10:00pm to 7:00am Monday to Sunday Friday and 8:00pm to 8:00am weekends and public holidays : 45 dB LAeq (15min) c. 10:00pm to 7:00am all days - 75 dB LAFmax"
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.074	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Do not consider there is an appropriate justification to limit the weekend/public holiday daytime hours	Amend NOISE - R6 as follows: Where: 1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits at any point within the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any site receiving noise: a. 7:00am to 10:00pm Monday to Sunday Friday and 8:00am to 8:00pm weekends and public holidays : 55 dB LAeq (15 min) b. 10:00pm to

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						7:00am Monday to Sunday Friday and 8:00pm to 8:00am weekends and public holidays: 45 dB LAeq (15min) c. 10:00pm to 7:00am all days - 75 dB LAFmax
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.136	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.138	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.136	Noise	NOISE - R6	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.138	Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.542	Noise	NOISE - R7	Oppose in part	As set out for NOISE-R5	Restructure all zone noise limits to relate to sites receiving noise.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.337	Noise	NOISE - R7	Oppose in part	Rules 7 and 8 are supported, but amendments are required to clarify that the noise limits apply at another site's notional boundary not from dwelling/s etc located on the same site from which noise is being generated from. Other minor changes are suggested for readability. The reference to 'sensitive activity' may also not be needed as this is captured in the definition of 'notional boundary'.	Amend Rules 7 and 8 as follows: 1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits within at the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any other site receiving noise
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.543	Noise	NOISE - R8	Oppose in part	As set out for NOISE-R5. Also, the noise limits might be overly stringent for receivers within the industrial zones but are inadequate to protect any nearby sensitive activities in other zones. Unless the rules are restructured, this rule should be adequate to protect other zones.	1) Restructure all zone noise limits to relate to sites receiving noise. 2) If the current structure is retained, amend NOISE-R8 as follows: ... a. 7:00am to 10:00pm Monday to Friday and 7:00am to 10:00pm weekends and public holidays: 55 60 dB LAeq (15 min)

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Sharon Langridge (S388)	S388.007	Noise	NOISE - R8	Amend	Amend notional boundary to property boundary. Especially in areas where housing is already present.	Amend notional boundary to property boundary.
Silver Fern Farms Limited by its authorised agents Mitchell Daysh Limited (S441)	S441.042	Noise	NOISE - R8	Oppose	<p>Silver Fern Farms are of the view that this rule is unduly restrictive of the existing permitted activities which occur at the Plant and given the proposed rezoning of adjacent land to categories GRUZ, GRZ, and MRZ, this rule will unreasonably constrain the functional operations of the Plant.</p> <p>Furthermore, Silver Fern Farms understands that the now outdated L10 noise measurement specified in Table 5.1 of the Westland District Plan is louder than the currently used LAeq measurement. As such the TTPP-proposed rule 1(b) reduces the night-time noise limit for industrial zones to 45 dB LAeq (15 min) from 50 db L10 (per Table 5.1 of the Operative Westland District Plan. This is of note given the s32 evaluation of options around noise management (section 4.2.2 of the Report 7 s32 report) states the current noise rules "...have been operating without significant concern for the last 20 years". The upshot appears to be a significant reduction in the permitted baseline for nighttime noise in the Industrial Zones. Silver Fern Farms opposes this as inappropriate given the functional need for industrial activities to generate noise. Silver Fern Farms also reiterates its opposition to the proposed residential rezoning of land near its site, as this may generate potentially significant reverse sensitivity effects.</p> <p>Amendments to Rule NOISE - R3 for</p>	<p>Amend Rule NOISE - R8(1)(b) to provide a commensurate permitted noise level to that specified in Table 5.1 of the Westland District Plan.</p> <p>Remove the proposed zoning of GRZ and MRZ adjacent to the Silver Fern Farms Plant (140 Kumara Junction Highway, Hokitika).</p>

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					acoustic insulation as suggested with respect to rule NOISE-R3 will help to reduce the effects of noise on any future noise sensitive activities seeking to establish in the environs around the site.	
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.338	Noise	NOISE - R8	Oppose in part	<p>Rules 7 and 8 are supported, but amendments are required to clarify that the noise limits apply at another site's notional boundary not from dwelling/s etc located on the same site from which noise is being generated from. Other minor changes are suggested for readability.</p> <p>The reference to 'sensitive activity' may also not be needed as this is captured in the definition of 'notional boundary'.</p>	<p>Amend Rules 7 and 8 as follows:</p> <p>1. Noise generated by any activity shall not exceed the following noise limits within at the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any other site-receiving noise</p>
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.544	Noise	NOISE - R9	Support in part	The reference to NZS 6809 for the management of port noise is supported. The standard is wide-ranging and the rule should include methods to demonstrate appropriate implementation.	Amend NOISE-R9 to add the following: 2. All activities are conducted in accordance with a Port Noise Management Plan that is updated annually, sets out details of how NZS 6809 is being implemented, and is publicly available on the port operator's website.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.339	Noise	NOISE - R9	Support	Rules 9 and 10 are supported.	Retain as notified.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.096	Noise	NOISE - R9	Amend	WMS support reliance on NZS 6809: 1999 Acoustics Port Noise Management and Land Use Planning.	Retain as notified
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.545	Noise	NOISE - R10	Oppose in part	Noise emissions should be subject to a limit at all aircraft/helicopter facilities. Pre and post flight aircraft engine running should be included in the aircraft operation noise limits in parts 4 and 5 as it is part of the same noise events. Engine running associated with maintenance should be subject to normal zone noise limits. If the structure of the	Amend NOISE-R10 as follows: ... 3. The maximum noise levels from aircraft engine testing associated with maintenance at any point within the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any site receiving noise boundary of a site within a RESZ- Residential Zone, MPZ- Māori Purpose Zone or RURZ- Rural Zone shall not exceed: a. on any day 7.00am to 10.00pm exceed 55 dB

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					zone noise limits is not changed, any noise limit in NOISE-R10 should comply with the Noise and Vibration Metrics National Planning Standard, which does not allow for 15 hour and 9 hour average levels by reference to NZS 6802:2008. The rule structure does not define the relationship between individual aircraft and their operators generating noise, and the facility operator responsible for monitoring and enforcing compliance with noise limits/rules (through limiting use of the facility if necessary). A noise management plan could address these issues.	LAeq (15 hour)(15min) b. on any day 10.00pm to 7.00am not exceed 45 dB LAeq (9 hours)(15 min) and 75 dB LAmax; and 4. The maximum noise generated from aircraft operations at Hokitika and Westport Airports and Greymouth and Karamea Aerodromes over any 90 continuous days, including taxiing and pre and post flight engine running, shall not exceed: ... 8. All activities are conducted in accordance with a Noise Management Plan that is updated annually, sets out details of how NZS 6805 or NZS 6807 is being implemented, and is publicly available on the facility operator's website.
Totally Tourism Limited (S449)	S449.006	Noise	NOISE - R10	Support	The submitter acknowledges and supports the consenting pathway available if noise limits from aircraft operations at Franz Josef Airport exceed the 50db Ldn noise contour as a Restricted Discretionary Activity as per proposed Rule NOISE R10(5).	Retain as notified including RDA where Permitted Activity standards exceeded.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.029	Noise	NOISE - R10	Support	NZDF supports Rule R10 Standard (6) which specifies that Standard 4 (noise generated from aircraft operations at Hokitika Airport) and Standard 5 (noise generated from helicopter operations at Franz Josef Heliport) does not apply to: (d) Military aircraft movements;	Retain Rule as notified.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.340	Noise	NOISE - R10	Support	Rules 9 and 10 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.546	Noise	NOISE - R11	Oppose in part	As set out in NOISE-R5	Restructure all zone noise limits to relate to sites receiving noise.
Deb Langridge (S252)	S252.003	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	R11 NoiseMineral extraction zone/ mining activities in general rural zone- if there are houses nearby thought must be	Amend the plan so that noisy activities have shorter working hours, 8am-5pm is more suitable if there are residents nearby who will

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>given to the residents 7-10pm everyday is too much noise. 8-5pm would be more suitable. No noise on weekends and public holidays otherwise its not a holiday or a break is it? If people are not getting good rest, there are health consequences. Mining companes should be made to reduce noise as much as possible not just aim for hitting the liimit. does the plan encourage best practice in noise reduction by applicants?</p> <p>With technology the way it is, mines these days must be able to reduce their noise output alot, iie insulation, materials, quieter engines, and design. ts just up to whether they are made to bother to explore these. They wont bother unless the plan makes them have best practice and put effort into reducing noise output. 55 dB is too loud Meeting the 55 may mean being 25 decibels above the ambient level- this is totally unacceptable for those residents who live in that area for quiet. 45 would be a better limit. Properties bounding mineral extraction or any activity which is noisey should be able to use all their property comfortably. Farm animals are also affected by noise- they prefer a quiet environment too- for this reason- noise limits should be taken from the boundary of property, not notional.</p>	<p>be disturbed by this. ..Weekends and public holidays should be quiet otherwise they are not holidays or breaks are they? Reduce the noise limit from 55 dB to 45 dB.The noise limit should be taken at the boundary not a notional boundary,</p>
Sharon Langridge (S388)	S388.005	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	<p>Neighbouring properties notional boundary should not be used as a buffer for noise control. The boundary for noise should be the actual property boundary. Properties that are creating large noise volumn need to manage the noise within their own boundaries, eg industrial</p>	<p>Amend notional property boundary to actual property boundary.</p>

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					activities. Especially in areas where there are farms/housing. It is not acceptable for example for farm animals having to endure continual noise disturbance between the farm house and the source of the noise on a neighbouring property, especially during calving/lambing.	
Rocky Mining Limited (S474)	S474.022	Noise	NOISE - R11	Support		Retain limits for the MEZ as notified
TiGa Minerals and Metals Limited (S493)	S493.089	Noise	NOISE - R11	Support	TiGa supports the inclusion of an appropriate noise standard for the Mineral Extraction Zone.	Retain as notified.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.341	Noise	NOISE - R11	Oppose in part	Rule 11 is supported, but amendments are required to clarify that the noise limits apply at another site's notional boundary not from dwelling/s etc located on the same site from which noise is being generated from. Other minor changes are suggested for readability and to achieve consistent wording with other rules. The reference to 'sensitive activity' may also not be needed as this is captured in the definition of 'notional boundary'.	Amend Rule 11 as follows: 1. The maximum Noise generated by any activity from activities does shall not exceed the following limits at any point within the notional boundary of any sensitive activity within any other site receiving noise.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.328	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.330	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.097	Noise	NOISE - R11	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend to enable quarry operations
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.098	Noise	NOISE - R11	Oppose	We are opposing this due to reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Geoff Volckman (S563)	S563.099	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.107	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.111	Noise	NOISE - R11	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Catherine Smart-Simpson (S564)	S564.112	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.328	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.330	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.389	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.390	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.328	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend timeframes to be more enabling of noise generating activities.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.330	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.087	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding our quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Koiterangi Lime Co quarry.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.090	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Koiterangi Lime Co quarry.
Koiterangi Lime Co LTD (S577)	S577.091	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
WMS Group (HQ) Limited and WMS Land Co. Limited (S599)	S599.097	Noise	NOISE - R11	Support	The submitters support the inclusion of an appropriate noise standard for the Mineral Extraction Zone.	Retain as notified.
Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd (S601)	S601.075	Noise	NOISE - R11	Support	The submitter supports the inclusion of an appropriate noise standard for the Mineral Extraction Zone.	Retain as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.137	Noise	NOISE - R11	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.139	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Karamea Lime Company (S614)	S614.140	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.137	Noise	NOISE - R11	Oppose	Timeframes for noise emissions are too restrictive.	Delete time restrictions
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.139	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Reverse sensitivity concerns regarding quarry operations.	Amend to further mitigate reverse sensitivity issues for the Karamea Lime Co quarry.
Peter Langford (S615)	S615.140	Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Correct the error where a Mineral Extraction Zone is referred to as "MEZ".	Correct "MEZ" error.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.547	Noise	NOISE - R12		We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Margaret Montgomery (S446)	S446.081	Noise	NOISE - R12	Amend		Clarify how noise effects on wildlife and habitat are assessed,
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.172	Noise	NOISE - R12	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the rule and the matters of discretion identified. However, it is noted that there is an error with R12.a and R12.g as they both state 'Effects on the health and wellbeing of people'.	Amend the rule to delete either R12.a or R12.g.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.342	Noise	NOISE - R12	Support	Rule 12 is supported.	Retain as notified.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.490	Noise	NOISE - R12	Amend	Consideration of constraints and/or requirements of activities is required.	Add k. The technical, locational, functional or operational constraints and/or requirements of the activity.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.156	Noise	NOISE - R12	Amend	Repeated provision	g Effects on the health and wellbeing of people;

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.156	Noise	NOISE - R12	Amend	Repeated provision	g Effects on the health and wellbeing of people;
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.331	Noise	NOISE - R12	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.331	Noise	NOISE - R12	Support		Retain
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.391	Noise	NOISE - R12	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.331	Noise	NOISE - R12	Support		Retain
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.548	Noise	NOISE - R13		We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.343	Noise	NOISE - R13	Oppose	Council has requested that Insulation Rule 3 be removed as being too onerous, therefore Rule 13 would no longer be needed.	Delete Rule 13.
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.332	Noise	NOISE - R13	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.332	Noise	NOISE - R13	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
William McLaughlin (S567)	S567.392	Noise	NOISE - R13	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.332	Noise	NOISE - R13	Oppose	This rule is very restrictive and onerous particular in relation to the State Highway.	Delete.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.087	Signs	SIGN	Amend	subsequent amendment	Remove all references to "Site or Area of Significance to Māori" in the Chapter

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Westland District Council (S181)	S181.031	Signs	Signs	Support	Westland District Council supports these Objectives, Policies and Rules	Retain the objectives, policies and rules
David Marshall (S347)	S347.005	Signs	Signs	Support	It is good to see the chapter for Signs, especially P6, supporting bilingual place names, again fulfilling a treaty obligation. I would hope that this will be utilised hand-in hand with the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori and the Historic Areas zoning so that historic information is made available beyond the TTPP map, in the real world.	Implementation of Signs framework, especially P6
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.004	Signs	Signs	Oppose	proposed signage rules to be excessive and very restrictive	Signage rules to be reviewed on a case by case basis, with restrictions reduced in order to support small business
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.344	Signs	Signs Objective	Support	Council supports the objectives and policies for signs	Retain the objective and policies as notified. Objectives SIGN- O1 Policies SIGN-P1 - P6
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.550	Signs	SIGN - O1	Support	We support this objective.	Retain objective.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.173	Signs	SIGN - O1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the objective which provides for signs that contribute to infrastructure, community activities and the maintaining of public safety. This allows for the roading authority to install signage the provides for the safe and efficient operation of the transport network.	Retain as proposed.
Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited and Foodstuffs South	S464.050	Signs	SIGN - O1	Support	Foodstuffs supports the recognition of the contribution signs make to businesses.	Retain as notified

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Island Limited (S464)						
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.002	Signs	SIGN - O1	Support in part	Go Media supports SIGN-O1(1), but SIGN-O1 (2) requires amendments	Delete reference in SIGN-O1 (2) to <i>"and protected from any adverse visual and amenity effects"</i> .
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.491	Signs	SIGN - O1	Amend	To ensure effects are appropriately managed.	Amend: 2. Ensuring that any proposed signage is compatible with the character and amenity values of the surrounding area. ...
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.678	Signs	SIGN - O1	Support	Support as the objective provides for signs that contribute to infrastructure and community activities.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.345	Signs	Signs Policies	Support	Council supports the objectives and policies for signs	Retain the objective and policies as notified. Objectives SIGN- O1 Policies SIGN-P1 - P6
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.551	Signs	SIGN - P1	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.174	Signs	SIGN - P1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it enables a range of signs whilst maintaining public safety and access needs.	Retain as proposed.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.679	Signs	SIGN - P1	Support	Support the policy as it provides for signs while maintaining public safety and access needs.	Retain as proposed.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.552	Signs	SIGN - P2	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.175	Signs	SIGN - P2	Support	The policy is supported by Waka Kotahi as it provides restriction from the overuse of the number and size of signs to	Retain as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					address adverse visual and amenity effects.	
Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited and Foodstuffs South Island Limited (S464)	S464.051	Signs	SIGN - P2	Amend	Adverse visual and amenity effects can result from some signage, including where signs are visible from, but not directed at the more sensitive areas. A more appropriate limit is on unacceptable adverse effects.	Ensure the landscape, natural character and amenity values of residential areas, settlements, rural areas, open space and outstanding natural landscapes and features are protected from unacceptable adverse visual and amenity effects from large areas or numbers of signs.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.492	Signs	SIGN - P2	Amend	The intent should be to ensure effects are appropriately managed.	Amend: Ensure that the adverse effects, including cumulative effects, of signs on the landscape, natural character and amenity values of residential areas, settlements, rural areas, open space and outstanding natural landscapes and features are avoided, remedied or mitigated. protected from adverse visual and amenity effects from large areas or numbers of signs.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.553	Signs	SIGN - P3	Support	We strongly support this policy which refers to health and safety legislation and provides for health and safety of people and communities.	Retain policy.
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.089	Signs	SIGN - P3	Support	KiwiRail supports policy direction that ensures signs do not adversely affect traffic safety, particularly at rail level crossings.	Retain as proposed
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.176	Signs	SIGN - P3	Support	The policy is supported by Waka Kotahi as it identifies the importance for signs to not adversely affect traffic safety of all road users or obstruct roads or footpaths. This is an important policy to ensure safe operation of the state highway network.	Retain as proposed.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.680	Signs	SIGN - P3	Support	Support the policy as it ensures the signs do not adversely affect traffic safety or obstruct roads or footpaths.	Retain as proposed
Te Mana Ora (Community and	S190.554	Signs	SIGN - P4	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)						
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.555	Signs	SIGN - P5	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.177	Signs	SIGN - P5	Support	Support this policy that requires signs to relate to the activity occurring on the site the sign is situated.	Retain as proposed.
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.003	Signs	SIGN - P5	Amend	Digital advertising can have a broader purpose and significantly less visual impact when compared with on-site advertising due to the flexibility digital advertising provides. Digital advertising also allows for some advertising to be site related. Any potential adverse effects from non-site related advertising in relation to traffic safety, landscape and amenity values can be managed through location and appropriate built form standards. Environmental effects assessments done for Go Media's existing static and digital billboards nationwide have demonstrated that effects are acceptable. These assessments were supported by technical traffic and urban design analysis where required.	Provide for off-site advertising within the policy
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.556	Signs	SIGN - P6	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Stephen Page (S270)	S270.004	Signs	SIGN - P6	Oppose in part	The proposed size of the lettering is excessive at the same time as restricting words and symbols. This limits business names hugely if the signs need to include	Reduce the minimum size of lettering.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					all the other business and directional information. Please reconsider this, not least on purely practical grounds.	
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.009	Signs	SIGN - P6	Amend	promoting bilingual signage you will need to allow for many more words and characters	Amend to allow for many more words and characters
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.157	Signs	SIGN - P6	Amend		To Support the use of bilingual signage and the use of traditional Poutini Ngāi Tahu place names within the District.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.157	Signs	SIGN - P6	Amend		To Support the use of bilingual signage and the use of traditional Poutini Ngāi Tahu place names within the District.
Margaret Montgomery (S446)	S446.082	Signs	Signs Rules	Amend	this section is incredibly confusing	Clarify the rules
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.001	Signs	Permitted Activities - All Zones	Amend	Advertising, including off-site signage, contributes to the commercial vitality of a community through supporting business, infrastructure and community activities. It is a legitimate commercial activity that generates economic activity by enabling the commercial community to advertise goods and services. Advertising can enhance the character of areas, buildings and structures also provides a focal point and adds vibrancy and interest. These positive effects should be expressly recognised in the TTPP.	Billboards (including digital billboards) and non-site related advertising should be explicitly enabled in the TTPP provisions and in appropriate zones (commercial, industrial and port zones) through an activity specific rule and subject to appropriate industry standards.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.557	Signs	SIGN - R1	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Griffen & Smith Ltd (S253)	S253.012	Signs	SIGN - R1	Amend	Rule SIGN - R1(10) is grammatically incorrect and confusing. The rule sets out a "minimum" lettering size but states that sign should not "exceed" these	Amend Rule SIGN - R1 to clarify that signage lettering should be larger than the minimum size stated and to exclude lower speed roads from the requirements of SIGN - R1(10)(iii)

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>dimensions.</p> <p>In the Commercial, Mixed Use and Industrial zones, the limit on the number of words and characters required by R1(10)(iii) is too restrictive. This limit may be appropriate for higher-speed areas but is not practical to convey the level of information often displayed on commercial signage.</p> <p>The separation distances required by Rule SIGN - R1(11) are also seriously flawed when considered against typical site sizes in the Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones.</p>	within the Commercial, Mixed Use, and Industrial zones.
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.090	Signs	SIGN - R1	Support	KiwiRail supports this rule as it does not permit signs that obstruct the line of sign of all rail crossing or obstruct, obscure or impair the view of and of any railway sign or signal. This is essential to avoid safety issues arising at level crossings.	Retain as proposed
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.178	Signs	SIGN - R1	Support in part	<p>Waka Kotahi generally supports the permitted activity performance standards for signage in all zones. These are generally consistent with the Waka Kotahi 'Traffic Control Devices Manual - Part 3 Advertising Signs' (TCD), which outlines recommended requirements for signs in different speed environments, including but not limited to maximum number of words/letters, lettering height, and separation distances.</p> <p>However, the following matters have been raised that have the potential to cause confusion or result in adverse effects on the state highway network: R1.10 says that signs shall not exceed the minimum lettering heights. These are minimum lettering heights, and they</p>	<p>Amend the rule as follows:</p> <p>R1.10. Exceed Be smaller than with the following minimum lettering size and character requirements:....</p> <p>R1.NEW - be inconsistent with performance specification TNZ P/24:2008 when adjacent to the state highway.</p> <p>R1.NEW - be for an activity not occurring at the site of the sign. R1.NEW - be a digital or LED sign.</p> <p>Include new restricted discretionary activity rule for digital or LED signs/billboards.</p> <p>R1.NEW - Unrestricted visibility</p> <p>Posted Speed Limit (km/h) , Minimum visibility (m)</p> <p>50 , 80</p> <p>60 , 105</p>

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>should be allowed to be exceeded, as larger lettering is easier to read especially in higher speed environments. It is recommended that the words 'exceed with' be deleted and replaced with 'be smaller than'.</p> <p>Include an additional activity performance standard to require frangibility of signage in close proximity to State Highways. The Waka Kotahi frangibility specifications are identified within the TCD Manual under the performance specification TNZ P/24:2008.</p> <p>The rule should require that the content of the sign be associated to the activity which relates on the site. Off-site signage is not supported and can cause confusion to road users.</p> <p>The rule does not clearly specify digital billboards and any associated controls associated to manage the effects associated to these types of signs. It is recommended that the rule require that no signs are to be digital or LED when adjacent to or visible from the state highway. A new Restricted Discretionary rule should be included with controls for digital billboards, such as dwell time, dissolve time, luminance, etc.</p> <p>Signs should be located to present an unrestricted view to approaching motorists. It is recommended that the minimum forward sight distances along the road for roadside advertising signs for different speed limits are applied.</p>	<p>70 , 130 80 , 175 100 , 250</p> <p>Include new restricted discretionary rule for digital billboards, with effects on traffic safety as a matter of discretion.</p>
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.008	Signs	SIGN - R1	Oppose	The proposed size of the lettering is excessive at the same time as restricting words and symbols.	Please reconsider this

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Davis Ogilvie & Partners Ltd (S465)	S465.029	Signs	SIGN - R1	Amend	Rule SIGN - R1(10) is grammatically incorrect and confusing. The rule sets out a "minimum" lettering size but states that sign should not "exceed" these dimensions. This rule should be re-worded to clarify that signage lettering should be larger than the minimum size stated.	Clarify the rule.
Davis Ogilvie & Partners Ltd (S465)	S465.030	Signs	SIGN - R1	Amend	In the Commercial, Mixed Use and Industrial zones, the limit on the number of words and characters required by R1(10)(iii) is too restrictive. This limit may be appropriate for higher-speed areas but is not practical to convey the level of information often displayed on commercial signage.	That Rule SIGN - R1(10)(iii) should be amended to exclude lower-speed roads within the Commercial, Mixed Use, and Industrial zones.
Davis Ogilvie & Partners Ltd (S465)	S465.031	Signs	SIGN - R1	Amend	The separation distances required by Rule SIGN - R1(11) are also seriously flawed when considered against typical site sizes in the Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones. The rule requires 60m separation between signs in areas with	That Rule SIGN - R1(11) should be amended to exclude lower-speed roads within the Commercial, Mixed Use, and Industrial zones.
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.007	Signs	SIGN - R1	Amend	This is too restrictive in towns, commercial and industrial areas.	Delete the restrictions of 6 words and 40 characters facing a road (SIGN-R1 10(iii))
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.008	Signs	SIGN - R1	Oppose	this is too in the township and industrial areas it would mean only every second or third property would be able to have a sign	Delete the spacing requirements between signs in (SIGN-R1 11 (i))
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.346	Signs	SIGN - R1	Support	Rule 1 is supported, but clarification is sought on what is meant by 'transport corridor'. It is assumed that this just relates to the formed road rather than the unformed road reserve either side which can extend a significant distance.	Include a definition of 'transport corridor' within the Definition Section.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.681	Signs	SIGN - R1	Support in part	Advice note does not include requirements for approvals from Council for local roads.	Clarity and consistency.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Again, use of language is not consistent, i.e. "transport corridor" rather than "road reserve" references to rail, etc.	
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.558	Signs	SIGN - R2	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.091	Signs	SIGN - R2	Amend	Railway signs are important to alert people of the rail corridor and train movements. KiwiRail supports provisions which allow for signs to be located within the rail corridor as a permitted activity. KiwiRail seek amendment to ensure the Proposed Plan refers to the correct organisation.	Amend as follows: Activity Status Permitted Where: The sign is required by Waka Kotahi - NZ Transport Agency and is located within a road reserve; or The sign is required by NZ Railways Corporation /Kiwi Rail and is located within a rail corridor; or The sign is required by the Council and is located within a road reserve or road corridor for a formed legal road.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.179	Signs	SIGN - R2	Support	The rule is supported as it provides for traffic signs located within the road reserve that are required by Waka Kotahi.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.347	Signs	SIGN - R2	Support	Rule 2 is supported.	Retain as notified.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.682	Signs	SIGN - R2	Support in part	Clarification between 'formed' and 'unformed' legal roads. Not defined. Does formed refer to sealed and unformed legal roads refer to a 'paper road'? Why no requirement for compliance with the general standards?	Clarity and consistency.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.559	Signs	SIGN - R3	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.005	Signs	SIGN - R3	Amend	A business offers multiple services, has many entrances or needs additional directional information	Retain

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.348	Signs	SIGN - R3	Oppose in part	Rule 3 requires all performance standards of Rule 1 to be met for official signs that are not traffic and railway signs. Council is concerned that signs related to health and safety obligations may not be able to convey the necessary information within the 6 word limit (R1.10(iii)) and suggests that this should not be a requirement for health and safety signs.	Amend Rule 3 as follows: 3. All performance standards of Rule SIGN-R1 are complied with, the exception being that signs required to meet health and safety obligations are not subject to the 6 word limit.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.560	Signs	SIGN - R4	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.180	Signs	SIGN - R4	Support in part	The rule is generally supported by Waka Kotahi as it provides for signs related to temporary activities with relevant controls to manage the potential effects on the roading network. Waka Kotahi has General Election Sign Guidance when these signs establish adjacent to the state highway network. It is recommended that the rule includes an advice note to include reference to this guidance.	Amend the rule to include an advice note for Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency General Election Sign guidance when adjacent to the state highway.
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.006	Signs	SIGN - R4	Support	If there is a community event at that location or temporary signage	Retain
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.349	Signs	SIGN - R4	Oppose in part	Rule 4 is supported subject to the following matters being addressed: Clauses 1 and 2 refer to the removal of signs 'within 7 days of the event'. The intent of the rule is to require signs to be removed after an event has taken place, but this is not clearly conveyed and a minor change is suggested to avoid any confusion. Clause 3 manages construction signs	Amend Rule 4 as follows: 1. These are for community events and the sign is erected no earlier than 6 months before the event and is removed within 7 days of the event ending ; or 2. These are for temporary activities and the sign is erected no earlier than 1 month before the activity and

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>and allows them to be erected for a 6-month lead in period. Council considers construction signs should be limited to the duration of construction activity and the reference to 'event' needs to be removed.</p> <p>Clause 7 manages real estate signs and requires them to be removed within 7 days. The Council has no specific concerns with this clause but notes this may not be consistent with land purchase agreement obligations that require signage (e.g. sold signs) to remain for longer periods.</p> <p>Clause 8 provides for signs to be up to 4m high. Council considers this to be a significant height and not necessarily compatible with amenity values and suggests 2.5m is a reasonable height limit. It is also noted that the equivalent clause for Rule 5 below also refers to the height being 'measured' from ground level and this is considered a helpful addition to the rule.</p>	<p>is removed within 7 days of the activity ending; or</p> <p>3. These are for construction sites and the sign is erected at the start of construction activity no earlier than 6 months before the event and is removed within 7 days of the event construction activity ending....</p> <p>4. The maximum height measured from ground level is 4-2.5m; and.....</p>
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.561	Signs	SIGN - R5	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.007	Signs	SIGN - R5	Oppose	Restricting the height of a sign to 4m is also unnecessary.	Delete
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.350	Signs	SIGN - R5	Oppose in part	Clause 3 provides for signs to be up to 4m high. Council considers this is a significant height and not necessarily compatible with amenity values and suggests 2.5m is a more reasonable height limit.	Amend Rule 5 as follows: 3. The maximum height measured from ground level is 4-2.5m; and...

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.562	Signs	SIGN - R6	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.351	Signs	SIGN - R6	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.563	Signs	SIGN - R7	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.005	Signs	SIGN - R7	Amend	The maximum size provisions are onerous	Delete maximum size provisions or significantly increase these.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.352	Signs	SIGN - R7	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.564	Signs	SIGN - R8	Support in part	We support a consistent 2m clear space for pedestrians on the footpath, however, as many sight-impaired people are inclined to use the footpath area closer to buildings we recommend that signs are positioned on the outer edge of the footpath and not immediately in front of premises in line with the existing GDC signage bylaw (clause 11.3) which states: " Street signage must not compromise pedestrian safety and must be positioned to allow a minimum clearance of 2m between the sign and the internal boundary of the footpath, or where there is licensed Outdoor Dining, there must be a 2m clearance between the sign and the street furniture."	Amend SIGN-R8 as follows: Activity Status Permitted Where: 1. The sign does not compromise pedestrian safety; 2. The sign is placed on the footpath immediately in front of the premises to which it relates; 3. A consistent 2m wide clear space for pedestrians is maintained between the sign and the internal boundary of the footpath; 4. Where there is approved outdoor dining there must be a 2m clearance between the sign and the outdoor furniture; 5. The sign is removed from the footpath at the completion of the day's trading and not returned until the start of the next day's trading; 6. ...

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.010	Signs	SIGN - R8	Amend	Is 2 metres really required for a person to walk around a footpath sign outside a business	Amend rule
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.353	Signs	SIGN - R8	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.565	Signs	SIGN - R9	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.354	Signs	SIGN - R9	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.566	Signs	SIGN - R10	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.355	Signs	SIGN - R10	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.567	Signs	SIGN - R11	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.356	Signs	SIGN - R11	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.568	Signs	SIGN - R12	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.357	Signs	SIGN - R12	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.569	Signs	SIGN - R13	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Stephen Page (S270)	S270.003	Signs	SIGN - R13	Oppose	Discretion should be applied in this instance rather than a blanket rule which does not take into account differing circumstances for businesses.	If the landowner has been granted permission for signage to be displayed that is not related to that property, then this agreement between the land owner(s) and/or business owner(s) should be honoured even if it is not on an adjoining site.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.181	Signs	SIGN - R13	Support in part	The intent of the rule is supported. However, the rule permits a sign for an activity on an adjoining site, which would be considered to be an 'off-site sign'. There is potential that this could cause confusion to motorists or proliferation of signage. It is recommended that the reference to 'adjoining site' be removed.	Amend the rule as follows: 1. The sign relates to an activity occurring on the site or an adjoining site ;
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.011	Signs	SIGN - R13	Amend	Agreements not on adjoining sites	Amend
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.358	Signs	SIGN - R13	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.683	Signs	SIGN - R13	Support in part	Support the intent of the rule, however the rule permits a sign on an adjoining site. Signs on adjoining site has the potential to cause traffic implications where motorists have passed the site the sign is referring to.	Remove the wording 'adjoining site' from R13 - 1
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.570	Signs	SIGN - R14	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.359	Signs	SIGN - R14	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.571	Signs	SIGN - R15	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.182	Signs	SIGN - R15	Support in part	The intent of the rule is supported. However, the rule permits a sign for an activity on an adjoining site, which would be considered to be an 'off-site sign'. There is potential that this could cause confusion to motorists or proliferation of signage. It is recommended that the reference to 'adjoining site' be removed.	Amend the rule as follows: 1. The sign relates to an activity occurring on the site or an adjoining site ;
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.360	Signs	SIGN - R15	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.088	Signs	SIGN - R15	Amend	The most common sign size is consistent with a standard sheet of plywood.	Amend Condition 3 to increase size of sign to 3m ² . Condition to read: "There is a maximum sign face of 2-3 3m ² ; and"
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.684	Signs	SIGN - R15	Support in part	Support the intent of the rule, however the rule permits a sign on an adjoining site. Signs on adjoining site has the potential to cause traffic implications where motorists have passed the site the sign is referring to.	Remove the wording 'adjoining site' from R13 - 1
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.572	Signs	SIGN - R16	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.361	Signs	SIGN - R16	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.573	Signs	SIGN - R17	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited and Foodstuffs South Island Limited (S464)	S464.009	Signs	SIGN - R17	Amend	Rule SIGN-R17 is too restrictive in prescribing the lesser of 3m ² or 10% of the building façade as the sign size limit in the Commercial and Mixed Use Zones. These zones are high-activity commercial areas where retail activity is commonplace. A supermarket, as an	Increase the maximum size signage per activity.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					essential service provider, can easily absorb signage greater than 3m2 per sign.	
Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited and Foodstuffs South Island Limited (S464)	S464.052	Signs	SIGN - R17	Oppose in part	Signage is expected in the commercial environment and generally does not cause significant adverse effects. There is also a functional and operational need for reasonably sized signage in these areas, to efficiently direct customers.	1. Where: Signs must relate to an activity occurring on the site; Signs are not directed towards residential or rural areas where practicable ; Signs attached to the structure or face of the building must be a maximum of 10% of the area of the building facade or 3m2, whichever is the lesser; and All performance standards for Rule SIGN - R1 are complied with.
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.004	Signs	SIGN - R17	Amend	Any potential adverse effects from non-site related advertising in relation to traffic safety, landscape and amenity values can be managed through location and appropriate built form standards. Environmental effects assessments done for Go Media's existing static and digital billboards nationwide have demonstrated that effects are acceptable. These assessments were supported by technical traffic and urban design analysis where required.	Provide for off-site advertising in the rule.
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.006	Signs	SIGN - R17	Amend	The maximum size provisions are onerous	Delete maximum size provisions or significantly increase these.
Go Media Limited (S501)	S501.009	Signs	SIGN - R17	Oppose	any third party sign falls straight to restricted discretionary (SIGN-R17 1).	Delete Sign R17 (1) that escalates all third party signs to restricted discretionary.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.362	Signs	SIGN - R17	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.089	Signs	SIGN - R17	Amend	to allow better understanding	Amend Condition 3 to re-word as follows. Condition to read: "Signs attached to the structure or face of the building must be no larger than a maximum of 10% of the area of the building facade or 3m2 , whichever is the

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						lessor; and"
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.574	Signs	SIGN - R18	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.363	Signs	SIGN - R18	Support	Rules 6 to 18 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.575	Signs	SIGN - R19	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.183	Signs	SIGN - R19	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the rule and the associated matters of discretion as they provide adequate consideration of the content, location, size and how they can impact the road. The notification clause is also supported as it states that if SIGN-R1 is not complied with when a sign is adjacent/visible to a state highway then notification could be served to Waka Kotahi to consider the potential effects.	Amend the discretion matters to include content of the sign.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.364	Signs	SIGN - R19	Oppose in part	Rule 19 includes a statement on notification. Council is concerned with pre-determining the notification decision and considers this should be assessed at the application stage based on the merits of individual proposals and requests this statement is removed.	Amend Rule 19 as follows: Notification: Where a sign is visible from a state highway and does not meet the Performance Standards in Rule SIGN-R1 then the application will be Limited Notified to Waka Kotahi – NZ Transport Agency and may be publicly notified.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.493	Signs	SIGN - R19	Amend	Consideration of constraints and/or requirements of activities is required.	Add g. The technical, locational, functional or operational constraints and/or requirements of the activity.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.494	Signs	SIGN - R19	Amend	The benefits arising from the activity in enabling certain activities.	Add: h. Benefits from the work being undertaken and energy activities and

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
						infrastructure developed.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.685	Signs	SIGN - R19	Support in part	The intent of the rule is support. Recommend that the matters of discretion include content of the sign. Advice note does not include requirements for approvals from Council for local roads.	Reword to include 'content of sign at R19-c Clarity and consistency.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.576	Signs	SIGN - R20	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.365	Signs	SIGN - R20	Support	Rules 20 to 22 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.577	Signs	SIGN - R21	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.366	Signs	SIGN - R21	Support	Rules 20 to 22 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.578	Signs	SIGN - R22	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Greenstone Retreat (S459)	S459.012	Signs	SIGN - R22	Oppose	These signs are on private land	Delete
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.367	Signs	SIGN - R22	Support	Rules 20 to 22 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.090	Temporary Activities	TEMP	Amend	subsequent amendment	Remove all references to "Site or Area of Significance to Māori" in the Chapter
Westland District Council (S181)	S181.032	Temporary Activities	Temporary Activities	Support	Westland District Council supports these Objectives, Policies and Rules	Retain objectives, policies and rules

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
New Zealand Motor Caravan Association (S490)	S490.001	Temporary Activities	Temporary Activities	Amend	Camping is an important economic activity on the West Coast and should have appropriate objectives/policies/rules.	Include objectives, policies and rules for camping in the Plan.
Buller Conservation Group (S552)	S552.158	Temporary Activities	Temporary Activities	Amend	Need to say so long as environmental values are not degraded and that wildlife undisturbed.	add to rules environmental values are not degraded and that wildlife is not disturbed - e.g. temporary light could severely disturb long-tailed bat foraging; motorsports' noise and air pollution could severely disturb nesting birds, as could the noise of military training camps. Restricted discretionary matters consider effects on wildlife
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.158	Temporary Activities	Temporary Activities	Amend	All Temp rules need a clause to say so long as environmental values are not degraded.	add to rules environmental values are not degraded and that wildlife is not disturbed - e.g. temporary light could severely disturb long-tailed bat foraging; motorsports' noise and air pollution could severely disturb nesting birds, as could the noise of military training camps.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.225	Temporary Activities	Temporary Activities	Amend		Restricted discretionary matters consider effects on wildlife
Jet Boating New Zealand (S161)	S161.006	Temporary Activities	TEMP - O1	Support	JBNZ supports the provision of organised recreational jet boating events - being events promoted and organised by JBNZ.	Retain the Objective as proposed.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.580	Temporary Activities	TEMP - O1	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.185	Temporary Activities	TEMP - O1	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports the objective as it provides for temporary activities where they contribute to social, economic, and cultural wellbeing. However, it should also be recognised that temporary activities should minimise adverse effects, which would be consistent with	Amend the objective as follows To provide for temporary activities where they contribute to social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the West Coast while minimising adverse effects.:

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					the Overview and TEMP-P1 and TEMPP2.	
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.014	Temporary Activities	TEMP - O1	Support	It is appropriate to recognise the benefits of temporary activities, including TMTA, which contribute to the wellbeing of the community.	Retain Policy as notified or wording to similar effect.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.368	Temporary Activities	TEMP - O1	Oppose in part	Council supports the objectives and policies for Temporary Activities with the exception of Policy 4 that seeks to manage freedom camping within the state highway road corridor. Council does not consider its role extends to managing activities within the state highway corridor, which is a function of Waka Kotahi and requests that Policy 4 is deleted.	Retain the objective and policies as notified, with the exception of Policy 4 which Council seeks to have deleted. Objectives TEMP- O1 Policies TEMP-P1 - P4
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.581	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P1	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.186	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P1	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the policy as it enables temporary construction and demolition of structures while minimising adverse effects on amenity values of adjacent sites. It is considered that this policy will support the ability for Waka Kotahi to undertake temporary minor projects along the state highway.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.369	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P1	Oppose in part	Council supports the objectives and policies for Temporary Activities with the exception of Policy 4 that seeks to manage freedom camping within the state highway road corridor. Council does not consider its role extends to managing activities within the state highway corridor, which is a function of Waka Kotahi and requests that Policy 4 is deleted.	Retain the objective and policies as notified, with the exception of Policy 4 which Council seeks to have deleted. Objectives TEMP- O1 Policies TEMP-P1 - P4

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.582	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P2	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
KiwiRail Holdings Limited (S442)	S442.092	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P2	Amend	KiwiRail seeks amendment to this provision to allow for the establishment of temporary works sites to carry out works to the rail corridor, which may be adjacent to transport or other infrastructure. For safety reasons it is not always possible to work from within a transport corridor. A discretionary status where a worksite is proposed adjacent to the corridor will not facilitate critical infrastructure works.	Amend as follows: Activity Status Permitted Where: These are removed within 1 month of the activity ceasing and the site reinstated to the original or better condition; The building or structure is located on the same site or an adjacent site as the construction or demolition activity; Relevant zone Setback standards are met where the activity adjoins any Residential or Settlement Zone; The building or structure is on the same site or adjacent site as the construction or demolition activity; Any temporary building is no more than 50m2 in gross floor area where this adjoins a residential zone.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.015	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P2	Support	It is important for NZDF to be able to undertake TMTA across a wide range of locations while ensuring effects on amenity values and the environment are minimised.	Retain Policy as notified or wording to similar effect.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.370	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P2	Oppose in part	Council supports the objectives and policies for Temporary Activities with the exception of Policy 4 that seeks to manage freedom camping within the state highway road corridor. Council does not consider its role extends to managing activities within the state highway corridor, which is a function of Waka Kotahi and requests that Policy 4 is deleted.	Retain the objective and policies as notified, with the exception of Policy 4 which Council seeks to have deleted. Objectives TEMP- O1 Policies TEMP-P1 - P4
Jet Boating New Zealand (S161)	S161.007	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P3	Support	JBNZ supports the Policy. Controls on recreational jet boating events are appropriate where these coincide with Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori.	Retain the Policy as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.583	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P3	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.187	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P3	Support in part	The intent of the policy is supported as it enables a range of temporary activities that contribute to community wellbeing. However, it is also important to recognise transport and/or safety effects related to temporary activities in addition to natural and cultural values. It is recommended that the policy be amended to address this.	Amend the policy as follows: Enable a wide range of temporary events on the West Coast recognising their positive contribution to community wellbeing in locations where these do not adversely affect natural or cultural values, or transport safety.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.371	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P3	Oppose in part	Council supports the objectives and policies for Temporary Activities with the exception of Policy 4 that seeks to manage freedom camping within the state highway road corridor. Council does not consider its role extends to managing activities within the state highway corridor, which is a function of Waka Kotahi and requests that Policy 4 is deleted.	Retain the objective and policies as notified, with the exception of Policy 4 which Council seeks to have deleted. Objectives TEMP- O1 Policies TEMP-P1 - P4
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.584	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P4	Support	We support this policy.	Retain policy.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.188	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P4	Oppose	The policy is opposed as Waka Kotahi does not provide for free camping areas that are within the state highway Network and are actively discouraged, as they can cause problems from a maintenance perspective and be difficult to manage. All freedom camping should be located out of the state highway Network with suitable safe entry to and from the state highway.	Amend the policy as follows: Ensure that freedom camping activities are undertaken in a designated Responsible Camping Site or Freedom Camping Site and not within the state highway road corridor. are managed in a manner consistent with freedom camping in other parts of the relevant district.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					<p>However, Waka Kotahi does have the obligation to provide for rest areas to ensure people can safely park to rest rather than taking risks by driving if tired. These are intended to differentiate from freedom camping areas.</p> <p>It is sought that the policy be amended so that freedom camping will not occur in the state highway network.</p>	
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.372	Temporary Activities	TEMP - P4	Oppose in part	<p>Council supports the objectives and policies for Temporary Activities with the exception of Policy 4 that seeks to manage freedom camping within the state highway road corridor. Council does not consider its role extends to managing activities within the state highway corridor, which is a function of Waka Kotahi and requests that Policy 4 is deleted.</p>	<p>Retain the objective and policies as notified, with the exception of Policy 4 which Council seeks to have deleted.</p> <p>Objectives TEMP- O1 Policies TEMP-P1 - P4</p>
New Zealand Motor Caravan Association (S490)	S490.003	Temporary Activities	Temporary Activities Rules	Oppose	<p>The approach to freedom camping in the plan is inconsistent with the Freedom Camping Act and is contrary to Section 76 (c) of the RMA. The rule and supporting analysis do not identify the effects to be managed, the rule is unreasonable as it contains a factual error, the proposal to require RDA consent for more than 2 nights camping is heavy handed regulation. Waka Kotahi is able to regulate freedom camping if necessary and this is outside the scope of a District Plan. No formal register of Permitted Freedom Camping sites exist - NZTA does not designate sites. Sites are formally described as Permitted everywhere where not Restricted or Prohibited. The approach undermines the intention of the Self Containment Bill.</p>	Delete the rules relating to Freedom Camping

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Amendments to the Freedom Camping Act address any issues.	
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.016	Temporary Activities	Temporary Activities Rules	Support	It is appropriate to provide for TMTA as a permitted activity in the District-wide Matters Chapter, which applies across all zones in the Plan.	Retain permitted activity rule status as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.585	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R1	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.017	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R1	Amend	TMTA are by their very nature temporary, ranging from a period of days through to several weeks on an intermittent or continuous basis depending on the nature of the activity. NZDF does not consider it necessary or appropriate to impose a time limit on TMTA as per TEMP - R1 Standard (1). However, NZDF would support an amendment to this standard to allow TMTA to be undertaken so as not exceed a period of 31 consecutive days (excluding set up and pack down activities). This would also ensure a consistent approach to TMTA is adopted nationwide. Many district plans around the country are being or have been reviewed and NZDF is engaging in those review processes to ensure that where training activities might be subject to controls through a district plan, those controls are consistent, and that compliance is simply achieved and assessed.	Amend Standard TEMP - R1 Standard (1) to state (additions shown underlined): These are for a maximum of 31 consecutive days per year; (excluding set up and pack down activities) ;
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.018	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R1	Support	From time to time, and at the landowner's request, buildings or structures constructed as part of a TMTA may be permanent and not removed at the conclusion of the training exercise,	Retain Standard as notified or wording to similar effect.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					provided it is a permitted activity, or a resource consent obtained. Buildings or structures constructed as part of TMTA should not be required to be removed when they are intended to be permanent and meet relevant permitted activity rules in the Plan (or otherwise a resource consent is obtained in accordance with the relevant Plan rules). This is foreshadowed by the explanatory note in the Temporary Activities Rules section which states that "there may be a number of Plan provisions that apply to an activity, building, structure and site. In some cases, consent may be required under rules in this Chapter as well as rules in other Chapters in the Plan."	
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.019	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R1	Support	Occasionally TMTA involve minor earthworks. As above, this should be recognised and provided for where relevant permitted activities elsewhere in the Plan can be complied with, or a resource consent is obtained.	Retain Standard as notified or wording to similar effect.
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.020	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R1	Amend	NZDF seeks to amend Permitted Activity Standard (4), which states that TMTA is a permitted activity where these "do not occur on a Site or Area of Significance to Māori identified in Schedule Three". This standard is not consistent with TMTA Permitted Activity Rules in other district plans across New Zealand. Furthermore, this standard contradicts Rule SASM - R5 Standard (2), which states that Temporary Events on Sites and Areas in Schedule Three - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori that are temporary events and activities in accordance with the Temporary Activities Chapter are a permitted activity, providing they comply	Amend Standard (4) to state: These do not occur on a Site or Area of Significance to Māori identified in Schedule Three unless written approval from the relevant Poutini Ngāi Tahu rūnanga is provided to the relevant District Council at least 10 working days prior to the activities commencing.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					with Standard (3), which requires that for works on listed SASM, written approval from the relevant Poutini Ngāi Tahu rūnanga is provided to the relevant District Council at least 10 working days prior to the activities commencing.	
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.373	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R1	Support	Rule 1 is supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.586	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R2	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.189	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R2	Support	The rule provides for a pragmatic approach for allow temporary activities associated with buildings and structures required for construction and demolition.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.374	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R2	Support in part	Clauses 2 and 4 are a double up therefore the later clause can be deleted.	Amend Rule 2 as follows: 4. The building or structure is on the same site as the construction or demolition activity;
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.495	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R2	Amend	Some allowance should be made for locating temporary buildings and structures.	Amend: 2. The building or structure is located on the same site as the construction or demolition activity, or on a site in the vicinity where there will be no greater effect arising to any other landowner
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.496	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R2	Amend	There appears to be a repeat of wording.	Review items 2. and 4. for duplication of provisions and delete item 4 if required.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.091	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R2	Amend	Conditions 2 and 4 are identical. One should be removed.	Remove Condition 4.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.587	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R3	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.190	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R3	Support	The rule is supported as it includes an advice note that identifies that Waka Kotahi would need to be contacted if the temporary motorsport activity was to be accessed from or utilise the State Highway, which is a practical approach to managing these types of temporary activities.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.375	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R3	Support	Rule 3 is supported.	Retain as notified.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.092	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R3	Amend	It is not unusual for essential equipment to be stored in containers off site.	Amend Rule 3.1 to read: " Ancillary buildings or structures are allowed on a temporary basis. They can be moved on site no more than 3 days prior to the activity and must be removed from site within 24hrs after the completion of the activity. ;
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.588	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R4	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.376	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R4	Oppose in part	Council is concerned with the limited scope of Rule 4 to Emergency Declaration events. Extreme weather events are being experienced more regularly, impacting the District's towns and settlements but these are also resulting in localised weather events where individual dwellings are being impacted. Council considers that the ability to provide for temporary accommodation should apply to any dwelling which becomes uninhabitable due to a natural hazard event, subject to the temporary accommodation being located on the same site or an adjoining site to prevent abuse of the rule.	Amend the heading and body of Rule 4 as follows: Temporary Residential Buildings Following an Emergency Declaration Natural Hazard Event Activity Status Permitted Where: 1. These are established following a Local, Regional or National State of Emergency declaration; The temporary residential building is placed on the same site or adjoining site to the residential building damaged by the natural hazard event. 2. Notice of the activity is provided to the relevant district council prior to the temporary residential building being established; 3. Any temporary residential building is

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					Due to the temporary nature of the activity, Council does not see the need for standards limiting the number of temporary dwellings based on land parcel size (Clause 4). For example, following Westport's flood event, the temporary accommodation provided by MBIE for some sites involved two units on a site in order to meet resident's needs. Compliance with boundary setbacks when a natural hazard events has occurred is also considered too onerous and unnecessary.	removed within 24 months of being established on the site; 4. A single temporary residential building is located on any property less than 500m² in size; 5. Any new access provided meets the requirements of Rule TRN-R1; 6. Where multiple emergency residential buildings are located on the same site, then relevant zone setback standards are met where the activity adjoins any Residential or Settlement Zone.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.589	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R5	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Neville Higgs (S329)	S329.003	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R5	Oppose	Freedom camping should not be limited to being in locations identified and signposted by Waka Kotahi - New Zealand Transport Authority or the relevant District Council as a designated Responsible Camping Site or Freedom Camping Site as this limits the sites on which freedom camping is permitted to areas actually sign posted as such. Apart from the obvious problem of signs being knocked over or vandalised, there are many areas on state highway reserve that are not so identified and sign posted that are suitable for freedom camping and have been used for such for years. There would seem to be no reason not to continue using these areas.	Delete section 1 of this provision
Lynda Richmond (S423)	S423.003	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R5	Oppose	We object to Freedom Camping in general as we have too many unpoliced sites.	Not stated

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.191	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R5	Support in part	Waka Kotahi supports that freedom camping activities should occur in designated responsible camping sites or freedom camping sites. This is due to the issues outlined in the submission point above for Policy TEMP-P4. It is recommended that the title of the rule and R5.1 be amended to reflect that freedom camping activities should not occur within the state highway network.	Amend rule as follows: Freedom Camping Activities on land adjacent to the state highway network Activity Status Permitted Where: 1. This activity is in locations identified and signposted by Waka Kotahi – New Zealand Transport Authority or the relevant District Council as a designated responsible camping site or freedom camping site;
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.377	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R5	Oppose	Council has an existing Freedom Camping By-Law and this is considered the appropriate mechanism for management of freedom camping rather than through this plan. Council considers enforcement of such a rule would be problematic. If the rule standards are not complied with, freedom camping becomes a restricted discretionary activity. It is not considered practicable or workable to require resource consent for such activities.	Delete Rule 5.
Grey District Council (S608)	S608.093	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R5	Oppose	Freedom camping is best left to the respective Councils bylaws or the agency in control/ownership of the site.	Remove rule
Jet Boating New Zealand (S161)	S161.008	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R6	Support	JBNZ supports the Rule. Recreational jet boating events need to be PERMITTED where the activity standards are met.	Retain the Rule as proposed.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.590	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R6	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.192	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R6	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule and associated advice note as it outlines that contact needs to be made with the relevant road controlling authority to	Retain as proposed.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					ensure that safe access can be achieved for a temporary event. This ensures that effects on the state highway can be appropriately managed.	
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.378	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R6	Support	Rules 6 to 9 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.159	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R6	Amend		Amend 3. to provide explanation as to what 'other temporary activities' are, including such as spatial size, expected number of people.
Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu, Te Runanga o Ngati Waewae, Te Runanga o Makaawhio (S620)	S620.212	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R6	Support	Cultural activities within Māori Purpose Zone could also be temporary. There is no permitted pathway within the plan.	Amend a to read s follows: 1. These are aircraft or helicopter movements; or 2. Community markets occur a maximum of 1 day/week at any one site; or 3. For other activities and events: a. These are for a maximum of 3 times in any one year at one site; b. These only occur for a maximum of 3 consecutive days at any site; c. In all zones except the Open Space and Recreation Zones the relevant d. Permitted Activity NOISE and LIGHT standards are met; and In the Open Space and Recreation Zones a temporary activity may exceed the zone Permitted Activity NOISE and LIGHT standards on a site on one occasion in a 3 month period and for a maximum of 3 days. or d. The activity is an Māori Purpose Activity within a Māori Purpose Zone.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.591	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R7	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.021	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R7	Support	NZDF supports a Controlled Activity status for TMTA that do not meet the Permitted Activity standards of Rule TEMP R1. NZDF considers that this activity status is appropriate where the effects are known, and should apply when any of the permitted activity standards are not met (i.e. standards (1) - (4)), noting that as per Submission Point 20, NZDF requests that Permitted Activity Standard (4) is amended.	Retain Rule and controlled activity status as notified
New Zealand Defence Force (S519)	S519.022	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R7	Oppose	The matters of control for TMTA that require consent as a controlled activity, as listed under TEMP-R7 are not considered suitable or appropriate given the inherent nature of TMTA. TMTA are temporary by their very nature and may include a broad range of activities as outlined in the introduction to this submission. Many TMTA have effects similar to other day-to-day activities and training activities carried out by other emergency services and civilian organisations, such as the Police Force, search and rescue organisations and Fire and Emergency NZ. The only effect from TMTA which requires specific management is noise. In this regard, NZDF has developed appropriate controls to manage noise effects from TMTA outlined in Submission Point 28 below. Given the nature of TMTA i.e. that they are not public events held in public spaces and outdoor events venues, it is not appropriate to require resource consent applications for TMTA to consider the adverse effects on visual amenity from neighbouring properties or public places. Similarly, it is not	Delete the matters of control as notified.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					appropriate to require assessment of traffic safety. Depending on the nature of a TMTA, they may not involve any traffic movements or effects on pedestrians. The assessment criteria as notified may be more appropriate for other temporary events, such as parades held on public streets or in public spaces, or community, recreational or sporting orientated events but are not considered to be relevant to TMTA, which are very closely managed by NZDF and do not generally give rise to any particular traffic or visual amenity effects. The location and design of TMTA are tightly controlled, and reflect the specific activities being undertaken. The requirement for Council to have control over the location and design of TMTA is overly onerous and would be more appropriate in relation to permanent activities / structures.	
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.379	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R7	Support	Rules 6 to 9 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.592	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R8	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.380	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R8	Support	Rules 6 to 9 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.497	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R8	Amend	Consideration of constraints and/or requirements of activities	Add c. The technical, locational, functional or operational constraints and/or requirements of the activity.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.498	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R8	Amend	To take into account the benefits arising from the activity in enabling certain activities.	Add d. Benefits from the work being undertaken and energy activities and infrastructure developed.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.593	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R9	Support	We support this rule.	Retain rule.
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.193	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R9	Support	Waka Kotahi supports the rule as it allows for discretion of traffic safety effects.	Retain as proposed.
Buller District Council (S538)	S538.381	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R9	Support	Rules 6 to 9 are supported.	Retain as notified.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.499	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R9	Amend	Consideration of constraints and/or requirements of activities	Add g. The technical, locational, functional or operational constraints and/or requirements of the activity.
Westpower Limited (S547)	S547.500	Temporary Activities	TEMP - R9	Amend	To take into account the benefits arising from the activity in enabling certain activities.	Add h. Benefits from the work being undertaken and energy activities and infrastructure developed.
Department of Conservation (S602)	S602.179	Light	Note:	Neutral	DOC is neutral as these do not affect priority conservation values, biodiversity values, or DOC's interests.	NA
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.501	Earthworks	Overview	Support	Te Mana Ora supports the balanced management of earthworks which are essential to facilitate necessary and essential development for communities, while at the same time protecting natural character and amenity values which also nourish physical and emotional wellbeing. Coordination and integration of earthworks control and management is critical across natural, built, social and economic environments.	
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.515	Light	Overview	Support	We support well designed neighbourhoods and public activities that increase social and emotional well-being and agree that the appropriate design, control, location, orientation of artificial outdoor lighting is important to ensure good health outcomes. Coordination and	

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					integration of artificial outdoor light control and management is critical across natural, built, social and economic environments.	
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.527	Noise	Overview	Amend	See submission point on NOISE-R1 below. Reference to noise measurement and assessment standards needs to apply across numerous rules.	Add new text as follows: Noise levels arising from activities must be measured and assessed in accordance with the New Zealand Standard NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics - Measurement of environmental sound and the New Zealand Standard NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics - Environmental noise except where more specific requirements apply.
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.549	Signs	Overview	Support	We support well designed neighbourhoods and public activities that increase social and emotional well-being and agree that the appropriate design, control, location, orientation of signage is important to ensure good health outcomes. Coordination and integration of signage control and management is critical across natural, built, social and economic environments.	
Te Mana Ora (Community and Public Health) of the NPHS/ Te Whatu Ora (S190)	S190.579	Temporary Activities	Overview	Support	We support well designed neighbourhoods and public activities that increase social and emotional well-being and agree that the appropriate design, control, location, orientation of temporary activities such as concerts, parades, festivals, fairs, meetings, sporting and special events and exhibitions is important to ensure good health outcomes. Coordination and integration of temporary activities control and management is critical across natural, built, social and economic environments.	
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S450)	S450.184	Signs	Overview	Support in part	The overview is generally supported. However, it states that camping adjacent to the state highway is a matter regulated	Amend the overview to provide clarification on what land adjacent to the state highway is referred to.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
					by these provisions. It is unclear whether the term 'adjacent' refers to land within the state highway corridor directly adjacent to the sealed carriageway, or whether it is land adjacent to the state highway corridor. Waka Kotahi does not support freedom camping within the state highway corridor, so further clarification on this is sought to address any potential confusion.	
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.137	Earthworks	Overview	Amend	Too often drainage hydraulics are overlooked, its about time they were considered.	The scope and scale of earthworks range from large bulk earthworks, which can alter the landform, and its topography, and drainage hydraulics , to small and discrete areas of works most often associated with minor development
Frida Inta (S553)	S553.144	Light	Overview	Amend	There must be rules around the use of streetlights, particularly in areas sensitive to night light.it may adversely affect the amenity of neighbourhoods , neighbouring properties and light sensitive areas; result in a reduction or loss loss or reduction of views of the night sky, cloud form and landscape views ; and potentially disturb wildlife
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.310	Earthworks	Restricted Discretionary Activities	Support		Retain
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.310	Earthworks	Restricted Discretionary Activities	Support		Retain
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.310	Earthworks	Restricted Discretionary Activities	Support		Retain
Chris & Jan Coll (S558)	S558.314	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.

Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Section	Provision	Position	Reasons	Decision Requested
Chris J Coll Surveying Limited (S566)	S566.314	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.
Laura Coll McLaughlin (S574)	S574.314	Light	Permitted Activities	Amend	These rules are too complicated and restrictive.	Amend significantly to reduce complexity and be more enabling of development.