

Kayla Sims

From: Jon Barltrop <limestonecrk@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 11 November 2022 14:15
To: TTPP Info
Subject: Submission to TTPP

This email is from an external sender. Please be careful with any links or attachments.

----- Forwarded message -----

Jon Barltrop


Submission

1)I request the Outstanding Natural Landscapes classification on our property to be reviewed. With a view to the boundaries being shifted to South of the stand of Pine trees, to allow us to use our usable portion of our land.

2)I request the High Natural Character on our property to be reviewed. With a view to the boundaries being shifted to South of the stand of Pine trees, to allow us to use our usable portion of our land.

3)Also pertaining to the proposed rules for homestay accomodation in the Buller district we believe this should be reviewed for the following reasons-

BDC should not ask for different rules from other councils if this is a one district plan.

The rule being sought is contrary to the RMA, and will invite legal challenges. To adopt rules likely to invite legal challenges and associated costs is irresponsible of any councils budget

Existing rights under the RMA are contrary to the above

BDC can not justify such regulation and therefore it should be deleted from the plan.

As long term residents of this area we have had the long term objective of taking time out from this area at different life stages.

Our long term plan was to move for high school and have our house managed by a local firm as an Air bnb type accomodation.

Our home was designed with a mind to using the family home as visitor accomodation at periods of time while we lived away.

This has always been part of our plan and we allowed for this in the design of our site and home at huge cost to us.

This rule should not be implemented as it would cause an unfair financial disadvantage to us as home owners. We have spent money in our design over time to make this an ideal visitor accomodation.

This rule would make our long term plan unachievable as there is no other accomodation on site for a manager. This is an unfair disadvantage and is not a fair rule to be in place for this area. It is an area of high visitor values and part of the reason for our purchase in the first instance.

This proposed rule is not at all future focused for a highly sought after tourist area

Also in rule RLZ-R9

3. There is a maximum of paying 6 guests at any one time;

3-Larger families should be able to stay in this precious area at any time and not be restricted due to family size