

## Kayla Sims

---

**From:** Janie Cook <janie.o.cook@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, 11 November 2022 14:42  
**To:** TTPP Info  
**Subject:** submission

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

This email is from an external sender. Please be careful with any links or attachments.

Janie Cook  
190C Gillams Gully Road  
Awatuna, Hokitika 7882  
027-6199930  
[janie.o.cook@gmail.com](mailto:janie.o.cook@gmail.com)

I strongly object to the TTPP plan for the following reasons:

### 1/ Complexity

It is difficult to ascertain the specific effects on me as an individual, others as individuals, and the West Coast community as a whole. The myriad of zones, spread up and down the coast, makes it overwhelming.

### 2/ Presentation

The website is infuriatingly difficult to navigate. It is so inscrutable that a How-To document was deemed necessary. This fails the first rule of Useability. Moreover, it is often unresponsive, spinning indefinitely. Being duplicated throughout in a language I - and most ratepayers - cannot read - makes it even more cumbersome. Having paid for this unworkable website, we ratepayers are entitled to one which does not consume heady amounts of our free time to navigate. And finally, the faulty mapping resulting in alarming communications to unaffected ratepayers is inexcusable. That should have brought a hiatus.

### 3/ Drinking Water

One of the rules I DID manage to uncover from this unwieldy website is homeowners losing the right to consume rain that falls on their property. They will be obligated to tap into (and pay for) treated water if available. If not available, they will be required to have their water tested and 'treated'. This is an appalling breach of human rights. This is the widely rejected Three Waters by deception. It is also a contradiction to West Coast District Mayor Tania Gibson's claim at a local anti-Three-Waters presentation that it was all about the water *infrastructure*, not the water itself. Yet she supports TTPP. At least one is a sleight of hand, probably both.

### 4/ Contradictory missions?

I attended two of the public sessions. There we ratepayers learned that  
a/ the TTPP committee was formed as a result of a ratepayer suggesting uniformity across the district councils.  
b/ The Committee is constrained by Government.

Which is it?

If the Government's remits are repugnant, then councils' TTPP committee should have defiantly supported ratepayers' rights and pushed back against Government, as some of them claimed they tried to do with Three Waters

### 5/ Done Deal!

We also learned by implication that the public consultation and submission process is unlikely to change a thing.

This is Government mandate by stealth, and local representatives and 'appointees' are complicit.