

Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

Submitter No.	S402
Submitter Name	Shaun and Carissa du Plessis
Submitter first name	Shaun and Carissa
Submitter surname	du Plessis
Submitter is contact	Yes
Email	shaunduplessis@gmail.com
Wish to be heard	Yes
Joint presentation	Yes
Trade competition	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
Directly affected	N/A
Withhold contact details?	No

Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
[General]	[General]	Amend	<p>To ensure that the plan can be used and easily interpreted by all.</p> <p>To ensure natural hazard overlays are justified.</p>	<p>General readability of the plan needs to be addressed. The plan should be simplified to ensure a user-friendly experience for everyone.</p> <p>Some of the rules are unnecessarily complex, the headings are long and in many instances, to understand the rules a constant reference to the definitions is required. An example of the need to refer to definitions is in the natural hazard section and the constant need to refer to the definition of Additions and Alterations to understand the rules. This makes the rules unnecessarily complicated and hard to use.</p> <p>In terms of the maps, the plan user needs to be able to search using common criteria such as an address, legal description, a valuation number and record of title reference. The e-plan maps are not as user-friendly as they could be. Some searches for property addresses result in unknown address when it's a known address.</p> <p>The number of overlays within the plan are over-whelming and it is requested that a more simplified approach is taken. The overlays are extensive in many areas. A review of all natural hazard overlays is needed. The overlays should be supported with evidence to justify their extent. All overlays should be supported with a relevant technical report that has been prepared by a suitably qualified person.</p> <p>Given the short timeframe to draft the plan, it is assumed that grammar and formatting issues will be fixed (noted throughout the plan).</p> <p>There is a lot of repetition within the rules, some rules could be condensed where they are similar and essentially seeking to achieve the same outcome.</p>

Documents included with submission

None