

# Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

<b>Submitter No.</b>	S388
<b>Submitter Name</b>	Sharon Langridge
<b>Submitter first name</b>	Sharon
<b>Submitter middle name</b>	M
<b>Submitter surname</b>	Langridge
<b>Submitter is contact</b>	Yes
<b>Email</b>	<a href="mailto:westcoastnativeplants@gmail.com">westcoastnativeplants@gmail.com</a>
<b>Wish to be heard</b>	Yes
<b>Joint presentation</b>	Yes
<b>Trade competition</b>	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
<b>Directly affected</b>	N/A
<b>Withhold contact details?</b>	No

## Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
Mineral Extraction Zone	MINZ	Amend	<p>Resource consent for Mineral extraction was declined on the above property in 2022.</p> <p>This proposed zone is located in amongst housing, businesses &amp; farms in a quiet coastal setting famed for its enviromental beauty -not an industrial hub. Its not an appropriate location for this zone.</p> <p>If the Minz get approved for the plan its likely to stay in the plan for the next 15-25 yrs which is going to potentially devalue all the surrounding properties for up to a quarter of a century! This will turn over the rights of the residents/farming/tourism businesses in favour of the mining industry in terms of noise emmissions, traffic &amp; enviromental concerns. Consideration needs to be given to reverse sensitivity. Currently there is no large scale mining/industrial activity in Barrytown, any changes to this will no doubt change the area and impact on residents etc and should require consenting and public notification.</p>	<p>Amend - Barrytown Flats Mineral Zone - ie remove it from plan. We oppose a Mineral Extraction Zone on the Property Lot 1 DP412689 Rural Section 2847 Section 5 Block 5. This should be changed to General Rural Zone.</p> <p>We generally support the guidelines in the draft TTPP for Mineral extraction zones where long term activities are already exisiting, and not impacting on other businesses, housing &amp; farms etc.</p>
General Rural Zone	GRUZ - R12	Oppose	<p>Barrytown flats for example - has a mix or farming, businesses &amp; rural lifestyle/ General rural zone. Allowing 3ha at a time to be mined without consents is not appropriate .Mining is one of the most destructive/noisy industries generally motivated by money not enviromental protection. It therefore should come under more scrutiny not less, and the communities/neighbours/businesses that will be effected by such activites should be consulted.</p>	<p>Remove GRUZ -R12 - Mineral extraction should be a Restricted Discretionary or Discretionary activity in Rural Zones. - not a permitted activity.</p>
General Rural Zone	GRUZ - R18	Oppose	<p>Mineral extraction in General Rural should be Restricted Discretionry or Discretionary.</p> <p>There is no Schedule 10.</p>	<p>Remove GRUZ R18</p>
General Rural Zone	GRUZ - R25	Amend	<p>If multiple mining operations were working in a localised area as such as Barrytown plse consider the cumulative effects in regards to wildlife, water, transport, processing plant activites ,noise, dust. etc Please also change the notional boundary of properties to the actual property boundary - especially in relation to noise/dust.</p>	<p>Barrytown Flats - considering cumulative effects of mining in same locality</p>

Noise	NOISE - R11	Amend	Neighbouring properties notional boundary should not be used as a buffer for noise control. The boundary for noise should be the actual property boundary. Properties that are creating large noise volumn need to manage the noise within their own boundaries, eg industrial activities. Especially in areas where there are farms/housing. It is not acceptable for example for farm animals having to endure continual noise disturbance between the farm house and the source of the noise on a neighbouring property, especially during calving/lambing.	Amend notional property boundary to actual property boundary.
Noise	NOISE - R6	Amend	Farming activites have intermitment noise which is acceptable and vastly different to proposed activities such as mineral extraction in rural areas which is an industrial activity which maybe continious.	Amend 55db is too permissive, amend to 50 more appropriate. Change notional boundary to property boundary.
Noise	NOISE - R8	Amend	Amend notional boundary to property boundary. Especially in areas where housing is already present.	Amend notional boundary to property boundary.

## Documents included with submission

None