

Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Submitter No. | S355 |
| Submitter Name | Lindy Mason |
| Submitter first name | Lindy |
| Submitter middle name | Ann |
| Submitter surname | Mason |
| Submitter is contact | Yes |
| Email | lindyamason@gmail.com |
| Wish to be heard | No |
| Joint presentation | Yes |
| Trade competition | I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. |
| Directly affected | N/A |
| Withhold contact details? | No |

Submission points

| Plan section | Provision | Support/oppose | Reasons | Decision sought |
|--|------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity | ECO- O2 | Support | The indigenous ecosystems of our country are frequently interspersed with modified ecosystems, but this area , the Coast Road, has substantial corridors Linking forest, wetlands, dune and coastal landscapes. The effect for tourists and locals is the impression of a natural landscape inhabited lightly by residents and businesses. Most businesses here trade on the appreciation of this combination landscape and have mostly done so with minimal disruption to the overall character. | support ECO p2, P3, P4, P8 |
| General Rural Zone | GRUZ - R12 | Oppose | Making mineral extraction a permitted activity ignores the consequences for individual people or businesses which may be affected by single, or a number, of adjacent mining activities. In General Rural Zone, changes to other general rural activities would seldom cause much issue for adjacent properties. Mining or quarrying are not general rural activities in my opinion. Most reasonable people I know would agree that mining should only be considered alongside general or lifestyle rural properties on a case by case basis. | R12 should be removed. Mineral Extraction should be a restricted discretionary activity in General Rural Zones |
| General Rural Zone | GRUZ - R25 | Amend | While each application may cover a small area eg 3 hectares, there are already competing mining companies seeking the mine the entire Barrytown Flats. This has potential to radically alter the character of the Coast Road in ways that would not occur if the intended volume area restrictions were enforced. What is to stop Companies applying under pseudo identities to exceed volume restrictions | amend to take account of cumulative effects of multiple applicants mining in a location. |
| STRATEGIC DIRECTION | MIN - O6 | Support in part | Noone should have to "take one for the team" even if a lovely tennis court or other offset is given to the community. Supporting biodiversity elsewhere will not save ecosystems destroyed in our immediate landscape | while in agreement with strategic directions in general, MIN06 which allows offsets or compensation by vague methods, will not be adequate or useful to compensate individuals. Noone should have to "take one for the team" even if a lovely tennis court or other offset is given to the community. Supporting biodiversity elsewhere will not save ecosystems destroyed in our immediate landscape |

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------------|---|--|
| Mineral Extraction Zone | MINZ | Oppose in part | <p>The designation of GRUZ is appropriate and does not preclude mining in future, (provided GRUZ rules are not loosened to allow inappropriate mineral extraction land uses) Introduction of potentially incompatible land uses should be decided by a proper process.</p> <p>Pre-emptive changing of Zones to MINZ are neither necessary nor desirable. This Zone change proposal will erode local confidence and limit investment in socially and ecologically sympathetic land uses, along with property values. Our councils should be supporting existing ratepayers, while keeping an open mind for beneficial land uses to evolve in the future.</p> <p>Existing mixed agriculture and residential, along with pockets of other uses which are anything from commercial to unmodified natural environments should be respected. Changing Zones should either happen as a result of broad consultation (such as we see here) OR it will happen as a RESULT of changes in use sometime in future.</p> <p>Unless a strong majority of affected local residents choose to change the zone, we should leave it as GRUZ. Outside commercial interests should never be the driver of such a change.</p> | whilst the guidelines for designating land as MINZ in the draft TTPP are useful, I do not support the application of MINZ to areas up and down the Barrytown Flats. These should remain as GRUZ. |
| Mineral Extraction Zone | MINZ - O2 | Amend | <p>Predicted HMC heavy mineral concentrate expansion needs to be covered in the rules as it is very likely to happen during the life of TTPP. Westland Mineral Sands Ltd and TIGA Minerals and Metals Ltd are breaking their necks to mine 1600 ha of our region. How this can be done without unfair repercussions on existing land occupants and users is already the challenge of our decade. Level-headed leadership that respects the land is required urgently</p> | management of sand mining rules are required to provide for appropriate extraction where the balance of benefits to harms is in favor. |
| Appendix One: Transport Performance Standards | TRNS14 | Amend | <p>This road and the points of convergence at ports are geographically constrained. There are few passing bays available, houses are by necessity often close to the road, there is not a cycle trail or similar alternative route to separate heavy traffic from bicycle and horse road users. Stock is sometimes moved by road, and all such uses need to be given consideration before allowing articulated sand trucks to proliferate.</p> | points 3 and 4 to explicitly address cumulative transport movements from extraction site to port and effect on businesses and communities on entire route |

Documents included with submission

None