

Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Submitter No. | S343 |
| Submitter Name | Tony Schroder |
| Submitter first name | Tony |
| Submitter middle name | Paul |
| Submitter surname | Schroder |
| Submitter is contact | Yes |
| Email | tonyschroder@yahoo.co.nz |
| Wish to be heard | Yes |
| Joint presentation | Yes |
| Trade competition | I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. |
| Directly affected | N/A |
| Withhold contact details? | No |

Submission points

| Plan section | Provision | Support/oppose | Reasons | Decision sought |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----------------|
|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-----------------|

[General]

[General]

Oppose

Read attachment
please

Natural Character – High Coastal Overlay for
the Chester field

Terraces - Schedule 7 unit #C33

I oppose the schedule 7 listing for the terrace
area South of Chester field

Road to North of the Waimea Creek. I do not
believe this area warrants a

designation of High on the 5 point "Natural
Character" scale and want

Council to remove the High Natural Character
overlay.

This terrace and the seaward coastal environs
have been modified and re

modified over many decades by national
infrastructure, settler and

landowner activity.

There are also issues and/or inconsistencies with

the "broad brush" identification approach and lack of "ground truthing" for the initial overlay (2012/13)

mapping and alignment problems and uncorrected errors in the ttp overlays (ref Brown NZ 2022 report and Greymouth Star information)

the removal of a similar terrace area from the Chester old high

Christchurch
coastal natural character overlay by Brown NZ
after a "please
review" request by regional council (ref Brown
2021)

the fact the natural character scales do not appear to be clearly defined in either the RMA or NZ Coastal Policy Statement.

the impacts on current and future owners of freehold rural land within this overlay and the lack of "owner" knowledge that Regional had identified this area as being of High

Natural Character since
2012/13.

Coastal Environment Overlay.

I want the Coastal Environment boundaries amended for our property and realigned with the terrace edge.

The proposed overlay currently covers more than 60% of my/ our property. Most of this area has no visual connection to the coastal environment and does not have the described characteristics of the coastal environment as listed in the NZCPS. (NZ Coastal Policy Statement)
Indigenous Vegetation Clearance and

Documents included with submission

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Document name | Awatuna property |
| File | tonyschrodersubmission.docx |
| Description | Awatuna property |

Disturbance.

ECO Rules 1 and 2 need amendment and clarification regarding permitted activities and clearance areas. ECO rule 2 is particularly harsh and restrictive for free hold rural landowners in the coastal environment. There is no clear provision in the permitted activities list for many basic west coast landowner/ homesteading activities.

Natural Character – High Coastal Overlay for the Chesterfield Terraces - Schedule 7 unit #C33

I oppose the schedule 7 listing for the terrace area South of Chesterfield Road to North of the Waimea Creek. I do not believe this area warrants a designation of High on the 5 point “Natural Character” scale and want Council to remove the High Natural Character overlay.

This terrace and the seaward coastal environs have been modified and re modified over many decades by national infrastructure, settler and landowner activity.

There are also issues and/or inconsistencies with

- the “broad brush” identification approach and lack of “ground truthing” for the initial overlay (2012/13)
- mapping and alignment problems and uncorrected errors in the ttp overlays (ref Brown NZ 2022 report and Greymouth Star information)
- the removal of a similar terrace area from the Chesterfield high coastal natural character overlay by Brown NZ after a “please review” request by regional council (ref Brown 2021)
- the fact the natural character scales do not appear to be clearly defined in either the RMA or NZ Coastal Policy Statement.
- the impacts on current and future owners of freehold rural land within this overlay and the lack of “owner” knowledge that Regional had identified this area as being of High Natural Character since 2012/13.

Coastal Environment Overlay.

I want the Coastal Environment boundaries amended for our property and realigned with the terrace edge.

The proposed overlay currently covers more than 60% of my/ our property. Most of this area has no visual connection to the coastal environment and does not have the described characteristics of the coastal environment as listed in the NZCPS. (NZ Coastal Policy Statement)

Indigenous Vegetation Clearance and Disturbance.

ECO Rules 1 and 2 need amendment and clarification regarding permitted activities and clearance areas. ECO rule 2 is particularly harsh and restrictive for free hold rural landowners in the coastal environment. There is no clear provision in the permitted activities list for many basic west coast landowner/ homesteading activities.

ECO Rules needs clarification regarding permitted activities and clearance areas. There is conflicting interpretation and information circulating.

Buildings and Structures in the Coastal Environment

CE Rule 5 needs amending. Restricting permitted new building size to a maximum 100m² footprint is not realistic.

Further detail on all the above can be found in the L Lever/ G Tinney submission. I have similar views and concerns.

Tony Schroder