

# Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

<b>Submitter No.</b>	S255
<b>Submitter Name</b>	Graeme Quickfall
<b>Submitter first name</b>	Graeme
<b>Submitter middle name</b>	Paul
<b>Submitter surname</b>	Quickfall
<b>Submitter is contact</b>	Yes
<b>Email</b>	<a href="mailto:graeme@gsinz.nz">graeme@gsinz.nz</a>
<b>Wish to be heard</b>	No
<b>Joint presentation</b>	Yes
<b>Trade competition</b>	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
<b>Directly affected</b>	N/A
<b>Withhold contact details?</b>	No

# Submission points

---

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
--------------	-----------	----------------	---------	-----------------

[General]

[General]

Oppose

Objection to the proposed TTPP plan

I am a landowner with a 2.4 hectare property within the boundary of Greyhound road and SH 6 at Arahura.

My property is impacted by the proposed zoning of the land under the proposed plan which is proposed to be zoned as Coastal alert.

My objection to the proposed plan changes are the number of inconsistencies in the plan which pertains specifically to my land. I am

aware that other property owners are also impacted by such irregularities.

The property has been surveyed and is at 4m elevation above high tide mark and 320 metres set back from the beach and behind 7-

8m high sand dunes. The property is 600 metres to the west of the Arahura River. The Arahura River has flood protection on the

southern side of the river, east of the bridge towards the head water. The northern side of the river is lower lying and sees most of the

flood waters yet this is not accounted for in the TTPP reports.

The TTPP report states there is little long term study done on accretion and erosion for our area. Now that they have given the

classification of coastal alert and not coastal severe, they have reported that they have only completed inundation modelling and

mapping and erosion for areas classed as severe, which means the property is really only affected by inundation for a 1m sea level rise

over the next 100 years. This is captured on the modelling picture below.

It looks as if the report shows my property is affected by 1m or less, predominantly less than 0.5m inundation. In my opinion the report

is incorrect. The property is elevated around 1-1.5m above the Mawhera owned land which borders the river and south to my

boundary, but the map shows this is less affected than my property. To the best of my knowledge and our seaside neighbour who has

lived here since 1998, neither that property nor mine have ever been affected by flood waters. He owns the coastal side of the road

from the start to Greyhound Road to his house and the rest in Maori lease land.

The plan also states that no areas of the state highway which on the southern boundary of my land, is affected, yet their modelling

map shows the inundation colouring over the main road, contradicting their plan comments.

The west coast region has suffered from a number of recent extreme rainfall and flood events and in particular in 2022, and yet my

property was not affected by any flooding or inundation. This is clear evidence that the property is not at risk of extreme flood events.

Given the lack of Engineering evidence, coastal studies or other

evidence to support the TTPP perceived risk to this particular

location, the proposed zoning for this area within the boundary

of Grehound road and SH 6 should not be classified as coastal

alert.

## Documents included with submission

**Document name** coastal alert map

**File**

[coastalalert.png](#)

**Description**

coastal alert map showing coastal alert zone for the land bounded by Greyhound road and SH 6., Arahura





Arahura River

Old School Rd

Arahura

Arahura Pa Rd

KRH1

NZTA3

Greyhound Rd

Old Christchurch Rd

Arahura Valley Rd

Kaihinu

NZTA3

KRH1

One Mile Line Rd

Arahura Valley Rd