

Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

Submitter No.	S254
Submitter Name	Mark Wiechern
Submitter first name	Mark
Submitter middle name	Anthony
Submitter surname	Wiechern
Submitter is contact	Yes
Email	mark@tbaygroup.co.nz
Wish to be heard	Yes
Joint presentation	Yes
Trade competition	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
Directly affected	N/A
Withhold contact details?	No

Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
--------------	-----------	----------------	---------	-----------------

Settlement Zone	SETZ - PREC 4 - Rural Residential Precinct	Amend	We currently reside within 40m of the proposed rural residential boundary and the balance of our land is not suitable or used for farming or other general rural activities. The 3 rural residential properties directly in front of us are accessed via a driveway on our land (easement) We have started the process of compiling to lodge a RC application for subdivision to create 3 new 'rural residential' lots on our property to extend the rural residential options for people to reside here in an area that is not of coastal significance or amenity value. Our lot is perfectly positioned for rural residential minor development in a considered and limited manner and this is our intent. We would request our lot (hatched parcel) because it is not and could not be productive as a general rural zone be re-classified as 'rural residential' inline with our direct neighbor's and our plans to undertake a considered sub division. We are the logical property to extend this classification to.	Valuation ID	1885026009
				Assessment Number	841,254
				Legal Description	Lot 5 DP 460905 Blk II Steeples SD
					<p>We are the land owner for the hatched land parcel below that directly borders the proposed rural residential zone. The zone needs to extend to include for our property. We currently reside within 40m of the proposed rural residential boundary and the balance of our land is not suitable or used for farming or other general rural activities. The 3 rural residential properties directly in front of us are accessed via a driveway on our land (easement) We have started the process of compiling to lodge a RC application for subdivision to create 3 new 'rural residential' lots on our own property to extend the rural residential options for people to reside here in an area that is not of high coastal significance or amenity value. Our lot is perfectly positioned for rural residential minor development in a considered and limited manner and this is our intent.</p> <p>We would request our lot (hatched parcel) because it is not and could not be productive as a general rural zone be re-classified as 'rural residential' inline with our direct neighbor's and our plans to undertake a considered sub division of 3 new lots. We are the logical property to extend this classification too.</p>

Documents included with submission

Document name	TTPP Submission Lot 5 DP 460905 Blk II Steeples SD
File	tppsubmissionlot5dp460905blkiisteeplessd.docx
Description	Includes map of our property and adjacent rural residential zone



Valuation ID	1885026009
Assessment Number	841,254
Legal Description	Lot 5 DP 460905 Blk II Steeples SD

We are the landowner for the hatched land parcel below that directly borders the proposed rural residential zone. The zone needs to extend to include for our property. We currently reside within 40m of the proposed rural residential boundary and the balance of our land is not suitable or used for farming or other general rural activities. The 3 rural residential properties directly in front of us are accessed via a driveway on our land (easement) We have started the process of compiling to lodge a RC application for subdivision to create 3 new 'rural residential' lots on our own property to extend the rural residential options for people to reside here in an area that is not of high coastal significance or amenity value. Our lot is perfectly positioned for rural residential minor development in a considered and limited manner, and this is our intent.

We would request our lot (hatched parcel) because it is not and could not be productive as a general rural zone be re-classified as 'rural residential' in line with our direct neighbour's and our plans to undertake a considered subdivision of 3 new lots. We are the logical property to extend this classification too.