

# Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

<b>Submitter No.</b>	S249
<b>Submitter Name</b>	Glenn Elley
<b>Submitter first name</b>	Glenn
<b>Submitter middle name</b>	Percival
<b>Submitter surname</b>	Elley
<b>Submitter is contact</b>	Yes
<b>Email</b>	<a href="mailto:glenyselley@gmail.com">glenyselley@gmail.com</a>
<b>Wish to be heard</b>	No
<b>Joint presentation</b>	Yes
<b>Trade competition</b>	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
<b>Directly affected</b>	N/A
<b>Withhold contact details?</b>	No

## Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
Subdivision	SUB - S1	Amend	As detailed above - the minimu sizes are highly impracticle on the west Coast - they would tie up far too much land in non productive rural blocks.	<p>Alter all the proposed rural subdivision minimum sizes to reflect the topography of our region. 4 Ha blocks are not a feasible minium size on the West Coast - We do not have enough useable land to warrant this and it needs to be dropped to 1 Ha or less if connected to wastewater services.</p> <p>The 10Ha minimum, for high value productive land, needs to come down to 4Ha as it is almost impossible to run an agricultural business on a 10Ha property so far too much land could be tied up in blocks that ended up not being utilised. There is no reason to restict the number of dwellings in either situation as we require more housing - not less, as would be the result of the proposal as it stands.</p> <p>The proposed figures are more suitable to the East Coast and make no sense here.</p>

## Documents included with submission

None