

Online submission

This is a submission that was made online via the Council's website.

Submitter No.	S208
Submitter Name	Barry Mason
Submitter first name	Barry
Submitter middle name	Ralph
Submitter surname	Mason
Submitter is contact	Yes
Email	justmorestardust@gmail.com
Wish to be heard	Yes
Joint presentation	Yes
Trade competition	I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
Directly affected	N/A
Withhold contact details?	No

Submission points

Plan section	Provision	Support/oppose	Reasons	Decision sought
--------------	-----------	----------------	---------	-----------------

[General]	[General]	Oppose	<p>lots of reasons ..</p> <p>first and foremost barrytown is a rural lifestyle zone and has been settled by property owners with the (obvious) understanding that the area as zoned will continue to zoned as such as determined by the council otherwise why would people seek to settle here ?</p> <p>...to suddenly wake up one morning and find that there is a proposal to totally change the environment you (and all other barrytown rural lifestylers) have chosen to settle in is literally "having the rug pulled out from under your feet" and would be a shock to any person anywhere under the same circumstances i put it to the reader and the committee to consider for a moment how it would they FEEL about a mining proposal in their backyard having committed themselves to a peaceful lifesstyle in the country as decreed by the existing zoning ..</p> <p>one is naturally is affronted by such a proposal as we (yes reader and committee members all) emotionally connected to the sanctity of our environment as we are all dependent on it not only for its sustinense but its beauty support of multiple habitats and its continued symbiosis in the present zoning not to mention the tranquility all which would be SEVERELY affected by the proposed ..</p> <p>furthermore the particular section of the west coast highway (thru , but not only) barrytown is considered one of the 10 most beautiful coastal highways IN THE WORLD how would it then look if all the barrytown flats were ripped up and inhabited with noisy (proposed 24 hours-a-day) mining infrastucture ! i fail to see why the paparoa "side of the road "" is considered beautiful and NOT the barrytown flats side of the road surely the coastal highway divides the beauty in half...</p> <p>there are as is well documented protected areas of wetlands on the barrytown flats significant areas of natural habitat (fauna and flora) also well documented and they in close proximity to the ONLY PLACE IN THE WORLD where the petrels land to nest, how would they manage with 24hour mining with lights on and constant noise (not to mention 24 hour trucking up and down the highway !)</p> <p>another point of consideration is the fact that the proposed mining will mean excavation below the water table a well documented survey done by dill sampling done after the (much) earlier dredging shows that all subsequent minerals NOW lie below the water table this will therefore have a marked effect on the natural aquifers and the likelihood the the adjacent sea water will find its way into the excavated areas and effect any natural habitats and the water table</p> <p>,,,the ""proposal"" showed a diagram of an excavator in the bottom of a ""dry"" hole this cannot possibly be the case</p> <p>last but not least it is also well documented that the size of the garnets were too small to be profitable as sampled further down the coast around lake mahinapua so with the constant grinding action of the sea currents , carrying, as they are, continuous greywake boulders and gravel as it travels north along the shore what chances are there the the garnets will be too small to be of value this far north and hence is it really worth ripping up the barrytown flats...</p>	<p>*To remove proposed MINZ from Barrytown</p> <p>*Remove GRUZ R12</p> <p>*Make all HMC mining in Barrytown a discretionary activity</p>
-----------	-----------	--------	---	--

[General]	[General]	Oppose	<p>i wish to oppose provision GRUZ-R12</p> <p>and wish to make mineral extraction a discretionary and restricted activity in rural zones</p> <p>quite simply the provision as it stands would allow a mining company (ie TIGA) to commence mining at any time on a 3 hectare block and mine up to 20,000 cubic meters per year without resource consent being required ! and then on completion move on and mine the next (adjacent) 3 hectare block (again without resource consent) on and n and on until a whole LIMITLESS area is mined far be it from be a ""hobby" mine activity and so needs to be removed ! and so (ie TIGA) could mine the whole of the barrytown flats in this manner</p>	<p>i wish to oppose GRUZ-R12</p>
-----------	-----------	--------	---	----------------------------------

Documents included with submission

None

[General]

[General]

Amend

with reference to the above written material i would like to reiterate that

there are already many protected and precious areas on the Barrytown flats (documented)
the only place in the world where the Petrels nest (adjacent to the Barrytown flats and adjacent to the proposed mining areas)
and my lack of trust in a council which FAILED to make previous mining proposal publicly notified surely we have a council which is there to act in accordance with set guidelines and act therefore on behalf on/ for the benefits of the landholding residents not only urban and rural but with local revenue generating

businesses in mind ie tourism how will the coastal highway (Barrytown to Westport) cope with 24 hour trucks carrying heavy mineral concentrate for instance not to mention the proposed blasting (proposed by TIGA up till 10 pm !)
heavy mineral concentrate mining is new in NZ and therefore as such has no proven background on the potential effects on the community and environment the TTPP could look at making provision for NEW rules around such activity the only consented heavy mineral concentrate mining at present on the west coast (at Tauranga Bay) hitherto most mining on the coast is OUT OF SIGHT and relatively small operations compared with the current Barrytown proposal
also it is well documented that the coastline along Barrytown is being constantly eroded and any mining proposal which begins with a (fixed) margin of 250 meters could well find the sea has progressed much further inland as the proposed mining takes place resulting in vast areas not only unsuitable for subsequent mining activity but which could be awash with sea water AFTER having BEEN mined !

to make any form of mining activity in Barrytown and over the Barrytown flats a discretionary activity requiring public notification and resource consent